Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (E. coli) bacteria are the most numerous commensal aerobic germs located in the human colon. Diarrhea caused by E. coli pathogenic strains is a major cause of death in developing countries, especially the sub-Saharan and South Asian areas. Some strains cause diarrhea, and all of them may produce an infectious disease. This book includes ten chapters covering the main aspects of infections related to E. coli, their pathogenic mechanisms, treatments, and resistance to diverse antibiotics.
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Preface

Food-borne microorganisms are major pathogens that affect food safety and cause human illness worldwide. Bacteria are the causative agents of two-thirds of human food-borne diseases globally, with high burden in developing countries. Meat, dairy products, and eggs are the main avenues by which people are exposed to zoonotic bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Escherichia coli*. These are the major zoonotic bacterial pathogens responsible for food-borne illness and death associated with consumption of contaminated animal products.

Production of toxins and structural virulent factors are responsible for the pathogenesis of these bacteria. These major zoonotic bacteria cause human infections characterized mainly by gastrointestinal symptoms including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal cramps, and other agent-specific symptoms. Some bacteria may cause severe complications. Conventional (culturing), serological, and molecular techniques are important for detecting these common zoonotic bacteria and their toxins in food.

The emergence of multidrug-resistant zoonotic bacteria associated with consumption of contaminated animal products is a great concern for public health. As such, there should be coordinated surveillance and a monitoring system for food-borne zoonotic bacterial pathogens, particularly in developing countries. International trade and the ever-growing flow of goods and people enable animal diseases and zoonotic pathogens to travel worldwide. The risk of reintroducing previously eradicated animal diseases is omnipresent all over the world, as considerable amounts of food products of animal origin from endemic countries are continuously imported legally and illegally.

*Escherichia coli* is one of the most-studied microorganisms worldwide, but its characteristics are continually changing. Extra-intestinal *Escherichia coli* infections, such as urinary tract infections and neonatal sepsis, represent a huge public health problem. They are caused mainly by specialized extra-intestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (ExPEC) strains that can innocuously colonize human hosts, but can also cause disease upon entering a normally sterile body site. The virulence capability of such strains is determined by a combination of distinctive accessory traits called virulence factors in conjunction with their distinctive phylogenetic background. It is conceivable that by developing interventions against the most successful lineages or their key virulence/colonization factors, the associated burden of disease and healthcare costs could be reduced in the future. On the other hand, one important problem worldwide is the increase of antimicrobial resistance shown by bacteria. As underscored in the last World Health Organization (WHO) global report, within a wide range of infectious agents including *Escherichia coli*, antimicrobial resistance has reached an extremely worrisome situation that "threatens the achievements of modern medicine."
Preface

Food-borne microorganisms are major pathogens that affect food safety and cause human illness worldwide. Bacteria are the causative agents of two-thirds of human food-borne diseases globally, with high burden in developing countries. Meat, dairy products, and eggs are the main avenues by which people are exposed to zoonotic bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Escherichia coli*. These are the major zoonotic bacterial pathogens responsible for food-borne illness and death associated with consumption of contaminated animal products.

Production of toxins and structural virulent factors are responsible for the pathogenesis of these bacteria. These major zoonotic bacteria cause human infections characterized mainly by gastrointestinal symptoms including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal cramps, and other agent-specific symptoms. Some bacteria may cause severe complications. Conventional (culturing), serological, and molecular techniques are important for detecting these common zoonotic bacteria and their toxins in food.

The emergence of multidrug-resistant zoonotic bacteria associated with consumption of contaminated animal products is a great concern for public health. As such, there should be coordinated surveillance and a monitoring system for food-borne zoonotic bacterial pathogens, particularly in developing countries. International trade and the ever-growing flow of goods and people enable animal diseases and zoonotic pathogens to travel worldwide. The risk of reintroducing previously eradicated animal diseases is omnipresent all over the world, as considerable amounts of food products of animal origin from endemic countries are continuously imported legally and illegally.

*E. coli* is one of the most-studied microorganisms worldwide, but its characteristics are continually changing. Extra-intestinal *E. coli* infections, such as urinary tract infections and neonatal sepsis, represent a huge public health problem. They are caused mainly by specialized extra-intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC) strains that can innocuously colonize human hosts, but can also cause disease upon entering a normally sterile body site. The virulence capability of such strains is determined by a combination of distinctive accessory traits called virulence factors in conjunction with their distinctive phylogenetic background. It is conceivable that by developing interventions against the most successful lineages or their key virulence/colonization factors, the associated burden of disease and healthcare costs could be reduced in the future. On the other hand, one important problem worldwide is the increase of antimicrobial resistance shown by bacteria. As underscored in the last World Health Organization (WHO) global report, within a wide range of infectious agents including *E. coli*, antimicrobial resistance has reached an extremely worrisome situation that “threatens the achievements of modern medicine.”
This book includes ten chapters covering the main aspects of infections related to E. coli, their pathogenic mechanisms, treatments, and resistance to diverse antibiotics.

I want to thank all authors for their excellent contributions and the editorial team at IntechOpen, especially Mrs. Mia Vulovic for her continuous collaboration and kind support during the book’s preparation.

Luis Rodrigo MD
Professor,
Emeritus Full Professor of Medicine,
University of Oviedo,
Oviedo, Spain
Section 1

Importance of *E. coli* Infections and Pathogenic Mechanism
Chapter 1

Fast Detection of Pathogenic Escherichia coli from Chicken Meats

Saloua Helali and Adnane Abdelghani

Abstract

Food is a means to sustain and enjoy life, but it is also a medium for microbial contamination, causing disease and death. Fruits, vegetables, meat, and water are the common sources of contamination. Escherichia coli is one of the most frequent Pathogenic Bacteria responsible for food poisoning and food-related infections. E. coli infection causes severe bloody diarrhea, abdominal cramps, and occasional vomiting.

In the present study, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), surface plasmon resonance (SPR), and physisorption techniques were evaluated to decrease sample preparation time and to improve the sensitivity and specificity for the detection of low levels of pathogenic Escherichia coli in frozen chicken meat. The electrical and optical properties of the immobilized anti-E. coli antibody were studied. Moreover, the developed biosensor was used for E. coli detection in inoculated frozen chicken meat.

Keywords: physisorption, impedance spectroscopy, SPR imaging, E. coli, frozen chicken meat

1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), foodborne illnesses are defined as diseases of infectious or toxic nature caused by consumption of contaminated foods or water. The main causes of foodborne illness are viruses, bacteria, parasites, toxins, metals, and prions where bacteria constitute 66% of the problems [1]. Campylobacter, Salmonella, Yersinia enterocolitica, Clostridium perfringens, Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, and E. coli O157:H7 are the major Pathogenic Bacteria causing different food illness [2]. The most common clinical symptoms of foodborne illnesses are diarrhea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, headache, and nausea. In many developing countries, the elderly and children under the age of 5 years are at higher risk of food infection. Among the foodborne pathogens, E. coli and Salmonella are the most common and frequent pathogens responsible for food poisoning and food-related infections. These two Pathogenic Bacteria can be transferred by poultry meat, red meat, desserts, and egg.

Nowadays, Escherichia coli and Salmonella are the most important and frequent pathogens responsible for food poisoning and food-related infections in chicken meat [2–6]. E. coli is a normal inhabitant of intestinal tracts and can be found in chicken feces, litter, dust, and rodent droppings [7]. Preventing food contamination and human infection from E. coli requires continuous control measures at all stages of the
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Nowadays, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* are the most important and frequent pathogens responsible for food poisoning and food-related infections in chicken meat [2–6]. *E. coli* is a normal inhabitant of intestinal tracts and can be found in chicken feces, litter, dust, and rodent droppings [7]. Preventing food contamination and human infection from *E. coli* requires continuous control measures at all stages of the
food production: from agricultural production to processing, manufacturing, transporting, storing, and preparation of foods in both commercial establishments and the domestic environment. Recently, many analytical applications have been developed for the determination of poultry meat contamination [8–10].

Several microbiological techniques such as conventional culturing, PCR, and ELISA are still considered the oldest and the most accurate approach for bacteria detection. These techniques need traditional sample preparation, though very efficient in extracting the target analyte, which is time-consuming and produces large amount of solvent wastes. Among the various techniques, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy technique and surface plasmon resonance imaging have previously been investigated to study the detection of Pathogenic Bacteria on gold [11]. These two techniques offer several advantages: First, they are label-free and direct detection method for biomolecular interactions because the measurements are based on small electric signal and very large range of frequency (100 mHz–100 kHz) and refractive index changes [12–14]. The analyte does not require any special characteristics (scattering bands) or labels (radioactive or fluorescent) and can be detected directly without the need for multistep detection protocols (sandwich assay). Second, the measurements can be performed in real time, allowing the user to collect kinetic data, as well as thermodynamic data.

In this contribution, an innovative way for sensitive detection of \textit{E. coli} bacteria based on anti-\textit{E. coli} antibody immobilized onto gold surface by physisorption technique is presented. The electrical properties of the immobilization of anti-\textit{E. coli} antibody were studied. Moreover, the developed biosensor was used for \textit{E. coli} detection in inoculated frozen chicken meat.

2. What is \textit{Escherichia coli} (\textit{E. coli})?

- \textit{Escherichia coli} are gram-negative bacilli of the family \textit{Enterobacteriaceae}.

- \textit{E. coli} is commonly found in the lower intestine of warm-blooded organisms.

- \textit{E. coli} is the most common human and animal pathogens as it is responsible for a broad spectrum of diseases.

- There are many different types (strains) of \textit{E. coli} which cause a number of illnesses. Virulence types of \textit{E. coli} include enterotoxigenic (ETEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), enteropathogenic (EPEC), and enterohemorrhagic \textit{E. coli} (EHEC) [15].

3. History

In 1982, Riley LW et al. and colleagues were the first to recognize the EHEC serotype O157:H7 as a human pathogen associated with outbreaks of bloody diarrhea in Oregon and Michigan, USA [16]. Since then, \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 has become one of the most important foodborne pathogens.

4. Symptoms and mode of transmission

Virulent strains of \textit{Escherichia coli} are responsible for most diarrheal infections, meningitis, septicemia, and urinary tract infections in children worldwide. A person who is infected with \textit{E. coli} O157 can pass it on to other people if there is a situation of insufficient hygiene or handwashing. Small children can still pass the infection on for a couple of weeks after they have recovered from any illness.
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On the basis of World Health Organization report, the most important symptoms and mode of transmission of Escherichia coli are represented in the diagram (Figure 1).

5. Immunosensor conception

5.1 Working electrodes

Interdigitated microelectrodes were provided by the Microelectronics Institute of Barcelona, National Microelectronics Centre (IMB-CNM), Spain. The different steps of the fabrication of the gold interdigitated electrodes were extensively characterized as described in Ref. [17]. The electrode consists in 3 mm × 3 mm square...
arrays, which consist of 108 fingers 10 μm wide, separated 10 μm from the nearest band (Figure 2A). Before modification, the gold microelectrodes were first cleaned in ethanol solution and then electrochemically activated in 0.5 M NaN03 solution by applying a series of potential pulses from 0 to −2 V vs. Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl). After that, a cyclic voltammetry in 1 mM potassium ferrocyanide \([K_4Fe(CN)_6]\) was applied to check the degree of activation of the microelectrodes.

The pre-treated working microelectrodes were immersed in 100 μL goat polyclonal IgG anti-*E. coli* antibody solution (5 mg/mL in PBS) for 90 min (Figure 2B). The gold substrates were then rinsed with PBS buffer in order to remove the non-bonded antibody; finally the substrate was kept in bovine serum albumin (BSA) 1% for 40 min in order to block any defective areas. The excess of BSA was removed by rinsing with PBS.

### 5.2 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy technique

All electrochemical measurements were performed with a three-electrode configuration using a Pt foil counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a modified gold μ-electrodes as a work electrode.

The impedance analysis was performed with a CHI604E Electrochemical Instrumentation (CH Instruments, Inc) in the frequency range of 0.1–100 kHz, using a modulation voltage of 10 mV in sterile PBS buffer.

### 5.3 SPR imaging technique

John Mitchell [18] has been successfully explaining the physical principles of surface plasmon resonance. The SPR is an optoelectronic phenomenon that occurs when a photon of light is incident upon a noble metal surface such as gold or silver. When the wavelength of the photon equals the resonance wavelength of the metal, then the photon couples with the surface and induces the electrons in the metal surface to move as a single electrical entity called a plasmon. This oscillation of electrons sets up an electromagnetic field that exponentially decays out from the metal surface, with significant electrical field strength typically occurring within 300 nm of the surface. When molecules with sufficient mass bind to the surface within the range of the electric field, they perturb the plasmon and change the resonance wavelength. When dealing with a fixed planar surface, this is seen as a shift in the resonance angle of the incoming photons.

In this work, the surface plasmon resonance imaging system was from GWC technologies (USA). The system is based on Charge-Couple Device (CCD) camera which can simultaneously capture all data for all the gold spots and converts the reflectivity changes to pixels data. The sensor surface was an array format with 16 gold spots (each gold spot has a surface of 0.004 cm²) deposited on glass substrate. An incident beam of excitation wavelength of 850 nm was used. At resonance condition, the variation of the reflected light was due to the refractive index variation of the external dielectric medium or immobilized thin layer. The noise of such system is equal to 0.5 pixel (Figure 3).

### 5.4 Physisorption of polyclonal antibody on interdigitated gold microelectrodes

Physisorption is defined as weak electrostatic interactions including Van Der Waals interactions, dipole-dipole, and London forces. This physical interaction resulting from nonspecific was forming on substrate have energy range from 0.2 to 4 kJ/mol. The binding energy depends on the polarizability and on the number of atoms involved of the molecules. It takes place on all surfaces provided that temperature and pressure conditions are favorable.

Random physisorption is the easiest and fastest strategy for biomolecule immobilization onto substrates. Mainly, physisorption does not depend on multistep, long
experimental procedures and is easily reversed [19]. In addition, physisorbed phages have been described to promote bacteria-specific capture, infection, and lysis, when monitored by SPR [20, 21]. This work was carried using physisorption functionalization based on its simplicity. First, the gold microelectrodes were modified with anti-E. coli antibody, followed by washing with PBS then physical blocking with BSA. Blocking prevented nonspecific adsorption of unwanted nontarget components during subsequent incubations. Then, in this work, a fast and suitable immunosensor for E. coli bacteria detection, using physically adsorbed antibodies, SPR and EIS, is developed.

6. Electrochemical impedance measurement

The rapid and specific detection of Pathogenic Bacteria has become an increasingly demanding field in recent years for ensuring the safety of human health. EIS is a sensitive technique, which monitors the electrical response of the system studied after the application of a periodic small amplitude AC signal [22]. With this aim, the gold microelectrode surface and antibody coverage are of high importance for ensuring high reactivity and stability of the immunosensor.

The typical response of electrochemical impedance spectra of gold, “gold/antibody/BSA” interfaces was illustrated in Figure 4(I). The curve shows the typical Nyquist plots presented as a combination of the real, Zre, and imaginary, Zim, components originating mainly from the resistance and capacitance of the cell, respectively. The impedance spectra corresponding to each step were fitted with computer-simulated spectra using Randles circuit in Figure 4(II) by Zview modeling program (Scriber and Associates, Charlottesville, VA).
This equivalent circuit includes the ohmic resistance of the electrolyte solution, $R_s$, at 100 kHz; the Warburg impedance, $Z_w$, from the diffusion; the constant phase element, CPE, which was introduced into the circuit instead of a capacitance in order to depict the nonhomogeneous quality of the deposited layer, respectively [23, 24]; and the charge transfer resistance, $R_{ct}$. The constant phase element impedance (CPE) was introduced into the circuit instead of a capacitance:

$$Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{K(j\omega)^n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the angular frequency and $K$ and $n$ are the experimental parameters. When $n$ approaches 1, the CPE acts as an ideal capacitor. The CPE can be viewed as a heuristic method to incorporate the effects of surface heterogeneity both along and through the electrochemical interface. Data was fitted to the Randles circuit shown in Figure 4(II). The plot shows the expected increase in the charge transfer resistance after immobilization of anti-\textit{E. coli} antibody from 0.14 to 1.22 MΩ. This increase could be attributed to a rearrangement in the structure of the antibody and showed that the grafted layer becomes more insulating, whereas the gradual decrease in capacitance was related to the positive change in thickness after immobilization of anti-\textit{E. coli} antibody and BSA. This behavior is consistent with the successful immobilization of anti-\textit{E. coli} antibody and BSA molecules.

7. Surface plasmon resonance measurement

In the last few years, surface plasmon resonance was used as a sensitive method and as a label-free detection method for biomolecular interactions.

The immunosensing protocols exposing sensor surface to the PBS buffer as the baseline, followed by injecting anti-\textit{E. coli} antibody, which is allowed to flow over the sensor surface, leading to binding. This binding alters the mass of the surface layer which translates into refractive index variation and resonance angle shift. Figure 5 shows the resulting response obtained from the injection of 5 mg/mL.
8. Detection of *E. coli* bacteria in inoculated frozen chicken meat sample

Although chicken is the most consumed meat in the world and is one of the most important sources of good-quality proteins, it is highly susceptible to microbial contamination and often implicated in foodborne disease. Epidemiological reports suggest that poultry meat is still the primary cause of human food poisoning [25]. According to Osman Albarri (2017) [26], in turkey the highest percentage (93.75%) of *E. coli* was isolated from chicken, while the lowest percentage (56.25%) was isolated from meat. Therefore, ensuring the microbial safety of chicken meat products is an important issue in the context of increasing consumption and production [24]. This moved us to develop rapid, easy, simple, sensitive, and non-time-consuming physical adsorption methods for the detection of *E. coli* bacteria in frozen chicken meat.

With this aim, two samples of fresh chicken meat were kept in freezers at −18°C during 45 days [27]. The first sample (S1) was inoculated with *E. coli* with a concentration of 10⁵ CFU/ML in PBS, and the second sample (S2) was kept in PBS buffer (reference). These samples were characterized by EIS as previously described.

Figure 6 shows Nyquist plots for gold microelectrode (curve A), gold electrode with immobilized anti-*E. coli* antibody with BSA blocking layer (curve B), gold electrode with immobilized anti-*E. coli* antibody with BSA blocking layer without inoculated bacteria (sample S2, curve C), and gold electrode with immobilized anti-*E. coli* antibody with BSA blocking layer with inoculated bacteria (sample S1, curve D). Using the same equivalent circuit model described in Figure 4 (II), the best-fit equivalent circuit parameters for each step are given in Table 1. The injection of sample S1 and S2 on the microelectrode induce an increase in the charge transfer resistance proving the detection of *E. coli* bacteria in the chicken meat sample.

It is obvious that the chicken was initially contaminated by the *E. coli* bacteria and the concentration of the bacteria is about 10⁵ CFU/ML.
9. Conclusion

In this work, we describe an approach of detecting of *Escherichia coli* bacteria by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy technique and surface plasmon resonance imaging technique. The physisorption method used for immobilization of anti- *E. coli* into interdigitated microelectrode is rapid and easy. Furthermore, *Escherichia coli* bacteria detection was also possible in frozen chicken meat. This method can be used for real-time detection of meat contamination.
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Table 1.
The electrical parameters of Randle’s circuit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Gold microelectrode</th>
<th>BSA/anti- E. coli/ gold</th>
<th>Sample S2</th>
<th>Sample S1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacitance, CPE (F)</td>
<td>8.34E-7</td>
<td>7.46E-7</td>
<td>5.76E-7</td>
<td>5.128E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance, R&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; (Ω)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>74.74</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance, R&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (Ω)</td>
<td>1.43E5</td>
<td>1.225E6</td>
<td>4.68E6</td>
<td>5.01E6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warburg, Z&lt;sub&gt;W&lt;/sub&gt; (Ω)</td>
<td>45,364</td>
<td>1452</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 1.
The electrical parameters of Randle's circuit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Capacitance, CPE (F)</th>
<th>Resistance, Rs (Ω)</th>
<th>Resistance, Rct (Ω)</th>
<th>Warburg, ZW (Ω)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>8.34E-7</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.43E5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
</tbody>
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**Figure 6.**

[A] Nyquist impedance plots for gold microelectrode, [B] nyquist impedance plots for gold microelectrode with anti-*E. coli* and BSA, and [C and D] nyquist impedance plots for gold microelectrode with anti-*E. coli* and BSA after injection of sample S2 and S1, respectively.

**Author details**

Saloua Helali¹,²* and Adnane Abdelghani³

1 Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, University of Tabuk, Tabuk, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

2 Centre de Recherche et Technologies de L'Energie, Tunisia

3 Carthage University, National Institute of Applied Science and Technology, Tunisia

*Address all correspondence to: s.helali@ut.edu.sa
E. Coli Infections - Importance of Early Diagnosis and Efficient Treatment

References


Chapter 2
Dietary Intervention to Reduce E. coli Infectious Diarrhea in Young Pigs
Peng Ji, Xunde Li and Yanhong Liu

Abstract
Postweaning piglets are immediately imposed to remarkable environmental and psychosocial stressors, which adversely affect their intestinal development and health and predispose them to diarrhea. The ratio of postweaning mortality is 6–10% and may rise up to 20% with poor management strategies. Diarrhea per se accounts for 20–30% of cases of mortality in weanling pigs. E. coli postweaning diarrhea is one of the most important causes of postweaning diarrhea in pigs. This diarrhea is responsible for huge economic losses due to high mortality and morbidity, weight loss, and cost of medication. Burgeoning evidence suggested feed-based intervention are one of the promising measures to prevent postweaning diarrhea and to enhance overall health of weaned pigs. Although the exact protective mechanisms may vary and are still not completely understood, a number of feed ingredients or feed additives are marketed to assist in boosting intestinal immunity and regulating gut microbiota. The promising results have been demonstrated in several nutrients (i.e., functional amino acids, organic acids, micro minerals, nondigestible carbohydrates, and antimicrobial peptides), non-nutrients (i.e., phytochemicals and probiotics), and many other feed additives. The efficiencies of each candidate may differ based on their exact modes of action, the basal diet formulation, and the health status of pigs.
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1. Introduction
Escherichia coli (E. coli), a Gram-negative rod-shaped bacterium, was first discovered in 1885 by Theodor Escherich, who noted that E. coli are highly prevalent in the intestinal microflora of healthy individuals and have potential to cause disease when directly inoculated into extraintestinal sites. Diarrheagenic E. coli can be further divided into six groups: enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), enteropathogenic E. coli, enterohemorrhagic E. coli, enteroinvasive E. coli, diffusely adhering E. coli, and enteroaggregative E. coli [1]. Different groups of diarrheagenic E. coli express different virulence genes, exhibit different adhesion characteristics, and therefore have different mechanisms of pathogenicity. This book chapter only covers the infection caused by ETEC.

ETEC is the major etiological agent causing acute watery diarrhea in post-weaning piglets. The duration of diarrheal symptom may be shortened by
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1. Introduction

*Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), a Gram-negative rod-shaped bacterium, was first discovered in 1885 by Theodor Escherich, who noted that *E. coli* are highly prevalent in the intestinal microflora of healthy individuals and have potential to cause disease when directly inoculated into extraintestinal sites. Diarrheagenic *E. coli* can be further divided into six groups: enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC), enteropathogenic *E. coli*, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, enteroinvasive *E. coli*, diffusely adhering *E. coli*, and enteroaggregative *E. coli* [1]. Different groups of diarrheagenic *E. coli* express different virulence genes, exhibit different adhesion characteristics, and therefore have different mechanisms of pathogenicity. This book chapter only covers the infection caused by ETEC.

ETEC is the major etiological agent causing acute watery diarrhea in post-weaning piglets. The duration of diarrheal symptom may be shortened by
antibiotic treatment, but ETEC is relative refractory to common antibiotics. A growing evidence suggested some nutritional components (e.g., functional amino acids, nondigestible carbohydrates, etc.) and non-nutrients (e.g., phytochemicals, probiotics, etc.) may provide preventive benefits to control ETEC infection. In general, the compounds listed above are supplemented into animal feed with small amount, also named as feed additives. The exact protective mechanisms are largely unknown and may differ for each compound. However, based on the literature review, these feed additives may alleviate ETEC infection by targeting at least one of the following mechanisms: (1) modification of intestinal microbiota by directly killing pathogens or competitively inhibiting the binding of pathogens and toxins to gut epithelium and (2) regulation or stimulation of host immunity that may include intestinal mucosal immunity and systemic immune defense.

2. *E. coli* infectious diarrhea

*E. coli* postweaning diarrhea is an important cause of death in weaned pigs. This diarrhea is responsible for economic losses due to mortality, morbidity, decreased growth performance, and cost of medication [2, 3]. ETEC are the most predominant types of pathogenic *E. coli* that cause diarrhea in both preweaning and postweaning piglets [4, 5].

2.1 Clinical signs

Clinical signs of ETEC infection in pigs include reduced appetite, depression, weakness, rapid dehydration, watery diarrhea (light orange-colored feces), anorexia, and shock due to hypovolemia and electrolyte imbalance [6, 7]. Cyanotic discoloration may appear on the tip of the nose, the ears, and the abdomen. The rectal temperature of infected pigs is generally normal. Pigs may spontaneously recover within 1 week if the infection is mild. However, severe infection may cause death within 12 hours, even without the symptoms of diarrhea. Dehydration of the carcass and distension of the small intestine by colorless mucoid fluid are most common necropsy characteristics for ETEC-infected pigs. During ETEC infection, bacteria normally line the epithelial cells of the intestine rather than invade the mucosa; gross and histological lesions, therefore, may not be directly caused by the bacteria. However, physiological changes in the intestine may be caused by the toxins released by ETEC [8, 9].

2.2 The pathogenesis of *E. coli* infection

There are two major virulence factors involved in the pathogenesis of ETEC infection, including the expression of fimbriae that enable the attachment of bacteria to the small intestinal epithelial cells, and the production of toxins by the colonized ETEC [10–12]. In addition, other structural components from *E. coli*, such as capsular polysaccharides, cell wall lipopolysaccharides (LPS), and iron-binding proteins, may also be involved in the pathogenesis of ETEC [13]. The endotoxins produced by ETEC could induce intestinal physiological changes, which are leading to the disrupted water and fluid absorption and ion secretion, finally causing dehydration and acidosis. The bacterial structural components could also initial a cascade of immune stimulation, resulting in intestinal inflammation and systemic inflammation [14, 15].
2.2.1 Fimbriae of E. coli

Fimbriae are proteinaceous appendages located at the outer membrane of the bacterial cells. They are straight or kinky shapes. The major role of fimbriae is to facilitate the adhesion and colonization of ETEC at the small intestinal mucosa [16–18]. The adhesion of bacteria is extremely important for ETEC infection. It will stabilize the location of bacteria in the intestinal lumen, which allow the pathogens with better access to luminal nutrition, facilitate the secretion and delivery of endotoxins through epithelium, and help the bacteria penetrate into the tissue if needed [16–18]. Diarrheagenic ETEC may express many kinds of fimbria, including F4 (K88), F5 (K99), F6 (987p), F18, etc.; F4 (K88) and F18 ETEC are the most common pathogenic ETEC in young pigs.

F4 fimbriae are typically identified in ETEC isolated from pre- and postweaning pigs. F4 ETEC tend to colonize throughout the whole segments of the small intestine in pigs [19]. F4 fimbriae are encoded by the fae operon, which comprises genes coding for several regulatory proteins, distal tip protein, minor subunits, and a major subunit, FaeG, that enable F4+ ETEC binding to specific receptors on intestinal brush border cells [2, 3, 20]. There are three naturally occurring serological variants of F4 fimbriae, including F4ab, F4ac, and F4ad. They are interchangeable by changing a residue stretch in the FaeG protein. However, F4ac variant is the most common F4 fimbriae variant expressed in porcine pathogenic ETEC in the United States [2, 3]. The adhesion receptors of F4 fimbriae appear to be glycoconjugates, including glycoproteins and glycolipids, which have been identified from the brush borders of epithelial cells, intestinal membranes, and mucosa [21, 22]. It is interesting to note that F4ad adhesin appears to preferentially bind to glycolipids, whereas F4ab and F4ac adhesins preferentially bind to glycoproteins [22–24].

F18 fimbriae are associated with E. coli strains isolated from postweaning diarrhea and edema disease in pigs. These fimbriae are long flexible appendages that show a characteristic zigzag pattern [16]. Based on morphological, serological, functional, and genetic characteristics, two antigenic variants of F18 fimbriae were determined and designated: F18ab and F18ac [25]. F18ab-positive strains are usually isolated from cases of edema disease, whereas F18ac-positive strains are associated with cases of postweaning diarrhea [16, 26]. F18 fimbriae are composed of protein subunits (FedA) with molecular weights of approximately 15.1 kDa [27]. Five structural genes (fedA, fedB, fedC, fedE, and fedF) encoded on a plasmid have been identified [28]. Among these genes, fedE and fedF genes are essential for F18 adhesion and fimbrial length [29]. However, receptors for F18 fimbriae actually increase with age and have not been detected in newborn pigs [30]. This may in part explain the reason why ETEC strains carrying F18 are more prevalent in weaned pigs.

2.2.2 Toxin effects

After adhering to the small intestinal surface, ETEC induce enteric infectious disease and diarrhea through release of enterotoxins, which stimulate copious secretion by the small intestinal mucosa. The enterotoxins include heat-labile toxin, heat-stable toxin, LPS, and Shiga toxins.

**Heat-labile toxins.** Heat-labile toxin mainly accumulates in the periplasmic space, with limited amount appears on the surface of the bacteria. Heat-labile toxin consists of a single A subunit and five B subunits. The binding of B subunits to the monosialotetrahexosylganglioside (GM1) ganglioside on the cell surface facilitates the translocation of a fragment of A domain into the cell, which then activates the adenylate cyclase system and increases the expression of cyclic adenosine
monophosphate (cAMP) [12]. Several physiological changes are mediated by the increased cAMP. First, cAMP stimulates the phosphorylation of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), inducing chloride secretion from the apical region of enterocytes [31]. Second, cAMP stimulates the activation of an apical chloride channel and a basolateral Na/K/2Cl cotransporter, which results in the release of prostaglandin E2 and vasoactive intestinal peptide and loosening of tight junctions [32, 33]. These activities all contribute to increased chloride secretion, reduced sodium absorption, and a concomitant massive loss of water into the intestinal lumen. The effect of heat-labile toxin is irreversible [12].

2.2.2.1 Heat-stable toxins

Heat-stable toxins in porcine isolates are further classified as STa and STb [32]. STa is a small, non-immunogenic protein with a molecular weight of approximately 2 kDa [34]. The major receptor for STa is a particulate transmembrane form of guanylate cyclase (GC-C) [35]. Therefore, STa can stimulate the GC-C system, leading to excessive levels of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) in enterocytes. Signals resulting from cGMP accumulation induce the activation of CFTR, elevated secretion of Cl− and water in crypt cells, but reduced Na+ and Cl− absorption from cells at the tips of villi [11]. Heat-stable toxin a mainly induces small intestinal fluid secretion in newborn but not in weaned pigs [4].

Heat-stable toxin b is a 48-amino acid protein with a molecular weight of approximately 5.1 kDa [36]. STb is antigenically and genetically unrelated to STa and is poorly immunogenic [37]. Production of STb is restricted to porcine ETEC [13]. The mechanisms of action and molecular characteristics of STb are still less known than heat-labile toxins and STa. STb does not stimulate an increase in intracellular levels of either cAMP or cGMP, either Na+ or Cl− [38], but stimulates the secretion of HCO₃− from intestinal epithelial cells [10, 39]. Heat-stable toxin b causes mild histological damage in the intestinal epithelium, including loss of villous epithelial cells and villous atrophy. This damage may be responsible for impaired absorption of fluids [40]. Another proposed mechanism of action is that STb could increase the level of prostaglandins by opening a G-protein-linked receptor-operated calcium channel in the plasma membrane and elevating intracellular Ca++ [41, 42]. STb can induce small intestinal fluid secretion in newborn and weaned pigs [4].

2.2.2.2 LPS

Lipopolysaccharide is the major surface component of the outer membrane of most Gram-negative bacteria, including ETEC [43]. LPS consists of three distinct regions, lipid A, core oligosaccharides, and the O-antigen polysaccharide with the structural variability from low to high. Lipid A is the primary immunostimulatory component of LPS and is highly recognized by numerous cellular signaling pathways in the innate immunity. The receptors that respond to LPS are mainly located on the cells in the innate immune system, such as macrophages and endothelial cells [44]. Therefore, LPS not only contributes to the physiological membrane functions but also plays an important role in the pathogenesis of Gram-negative bacterial infection [44]. The pathogenic impacts of LPS are mainly through stimulating immune cells of the host, resulting in the release of large amounts of cytokines. The CD14, expressed on monocytes or macrophages, are highly involved in this process. Briefly, the soluble CD14 (sCD14) and LPS-binding protein facilitate the interaction of LPS and the membrane CD14 (mCD14). After binding to mCD14 in the cell
surface, LPS is recognized by TLR4-MD-2 complex, which transduces intracellular LPS signals via several signal pathways [45], ultimately resulting in the activation of NFκB and subsequently the release of inflammatory cytokines [15].

2.2.2.3 Shiga toxin

Some ETEC strains that cause postweaning diarrhea possess additional genes that encode Shiga toxin, allowing them to cause edema disease as well [4]. Similar to heat-labile toxin, Shiga toxin is also a protein toxin, consisting of one A subunit and five B subunits. However, Shiga toxin has completely different mechanisms infecting cells, in comparison with heat-labile toxins. Briefly, Shiga toxin first binds to the cells that possess the glycolipid receptors, globotriaosylceramide (Gb)3 or Gb4 [46, 47]. After binding, Shiga toxin is transported to the Golgi apparatus through endocytosis. The Golgi apparatus further transports Shiga toxin to the endoplasmic reticulum, where the subunit A is cleaved by trypsin and is separated into A1 and A2 subunits. The A1 subunit is released into the cytosol and subsequently impacts ribosomes [14]. Shiga toxin can inhibit protein synthesis and induce synthesis of cytokines, including IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α [47, 48]. In addition, Shiga toxin also induces DNA degradation and release of the cellular contents that facilitate proteolytic attack on neighboring cells, contribute to cell apoptosis, and have a toxic effect in the whole organism [14].

3. Dietary intervention on E. coli infectious diarrhea

Nutrients are compounds in feed ingredients that are essential to animal maintenance and production, by providing animals with energy, the building components for repair and growth, and the substances to regulate biological processes. Nutrients are generally grouped into six major classes: water, carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, minerals, and vitamins. With the exception of carbohydrates, all five classes of nutrients are indispensable and have to be provided through animal feed. In addition, a group of specific nutrients, such as functional amino acids, nondigestible carbohydrates, short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), and several micro minerals, has beneficial effects on animal health and performance beyond their nutritional contributions. Recently, a novel concept, non-nutrients, is illuminated to describe a group of dietary compounds, which has no nutrient contribution to animals, but have physiological activities beyond the nutritional pyramid, formulation practices, and feeding methods that similarly alter physiological condition. Emerging evidence suggested that several non-nutrient feed additives (i.e., plant extracts, probiotics, enzymes, etc.) improved animal health through modulating microbial ecology in the digestive tract and/or enhancing immune responses of animals to enteric infections.

3.1 Functional amino acids

Amino acids are defined as organic substances that contain both amino and carboxyl groups. Amino acids are classified according to their molecular weights, chemical structures, the composition of nitrogen and sulfur, and physiological functions. The 20 common proteinogenic amino acids shared by all animal species are further categorized into indispensable, semi-dispensable (conditional essential), and dispensable amino acids, dependent on their dietary essentiality. Functional amino acids are defined with a group of amino acids that are
traditionally classified as dispensable amino acids, but with extra biological functions [49]. The well-investigated functional amino acids are the arginine family, which includes arginine, glutamine, glutamate, aspartate, proline, etc. The basic functions of these amino acids have been well summarized by Wu et al. [49, 50], which include but not limited to (1) providing substrates for the synthesis of tissue protein; (2) impacting hormone synthesis and secretion; (3) regulating endothelial function, vasodilation, and blood flow; (4) affecting nutrient metabolism; and (5) maintaining acid-base balance and whole-body homeostasis.

Large amounts of literature have reported the impacts of dietary supplementation of functional amino acids on health and performance of newly weaned pigs. For example, supplementation of 0.2 to 1% L-arginine could enhance growth performance and alleviate the negative effects of different insults or challenges in young pigs [51–54]. Administration of proline was shown to improve mucosal proliferation, intestinal morphology, as well as intestinal tight junction of weaned pigs [55]. Dietary supplementation of glutamine or dipeptides that are composed of glutamine has shown positive impacts on intestinal integrity, enzyme activities, and growth performance of weaned pigs [56–58]. Several mechanisms are highly involved in the benefits of the arginine family on intestinal health of weaned pigs, which could prevent the intestinal dysfunction caused by *E. coli* infectious diarrhea in weaned pigs. First, these amino acids could provide major fuel for small intestinal epithelial cell proliferation and provide energy required for intestinal ATP-dependent metabolic processes [59]. Second, catabolism of these amino acids provides precursors or substrates for the synthesis of nitric oxide, polyamines, and creatine, which are important regulators in blood flow, intestinal integrity and secretion, and epithelial cell repair and migration [60–62]. Third, glutamine is also a major substrate for glutathione synthesis, which is an important endogenous antioxidant in cells regulating the homeostasis of free radicals [63]. Fourth, these amino acids could enhance intestinal secretory IgA production via regulating the intestinal microbiota and immunity [53, 64].

### 3.2 Fatty acids

Dietary fat and lipids are extremely important for animal health and production. They have three major fundamental roles in swine nutrition by providing energy, compound lipids, and steroids to animals. Triglycerides and free fatty acids are the primary forms of metabolic energy storage and transport in the animal body. Short-chain fatty acids and medium-chain fatty acids (MCFA) have recently attracted increased research attention as potential candidates to reduce enteric infectious disease in animal production due to their potential antimicrobial activities [65–67].

SCFA are fatty acids with a chain of less than six carbon atoms, which are primarily produced by hindgut fermentation of dietary fiber. The most abundant SCFA in the gastrointestinal tract are acetic (C2), propionic (C3), and butyric acid (C4). They are the major fuel source for colonocytes and are essential for maintaining the normal metabolism of colon mucosa, including colonocyte growth and proliferation [68, 69]. Butyric acid has received particular attention and has been widely investigated to enhance disease resistance of weaned pigs. Addition of this acid directly to a swine diet may be limited because of its pungent odor and unpalatable flavor. Thus, the salt form (sodium or calcium) or glyceryl form (monobutyryl or tributyryl) of butyric acid has been adopted in animal feed industry. One major advantage of glyceryl forms in comparison with salt forms is that they stay intact in the stomach and are slowly released as butyrate and/or monobutyryl in the small intestine where pancreatic lipase appears [70]. Many research have confirmed the positive protective effects of sodium butyrate or tributyryl on intestinal health of
weaned pigs, as they reduced diarrhea, enhanced gut integrity, and improved overall immunity of newly weaned pigs [71–74]. The mechanisms resulting in improved disease resistance of weaned pigs are highly associated with the antimicrobial activities of SCFA; however, other mechanisms may be also involved. Butyric acid could penetrate into epithelial cells either by simple diffusion or monocarboxylate transporter [75]. Butyric acid could also bind to G-protein-coupled receptor expressed in epithelial cells or immune cells. The binding will mediate a cascade of immune regulation and regulate large amount of gene expression [76–78].

MCFA are saturated fatty acids containing 6 to 12 carbon atoms, including caproic (C6), caprylic (C8), capric (C10), and lauric acids (C12). MCFA are naturally occurred lipids that are enriched in animal milk fat and in the oil fraction of various plants, such as coconuts, palm kernels, and *Cuphea* seeds. Similar to SCFA, MCFA have unpleasant smell; therefore, they are commonly used in their glyceryl forms in animal feed. MCFA may have particular nutritional and metabolic effects on young animals due to their rapid digestion, passive absorption, and obligatory oxidation [79, 80]. Although the evidence for the favorable energetic attributes of MCFA is strong, the results of in vivo studies using weaned pigs have been inconsistent [81]. However, the beneficial effects of MCFA on gut health of weaned pigs have been suggested, as they could influence intestinal morphology and physiology, gut microbiome, and intestinal immunity [80]. More research is necessary in the future to explore the influences of MCFA on disease resistance of weaned pigs.

### 3.3 Micro minerals

Minerals required in smaller quantities are called micro minerals or trace minerals, which include Zn, Cu, Mn, Fe, Se, and others. Micro minerals have confirmed physiological roles and are needed for normal bodily functions of pigs. However, unlike most other minerals, Cu and Zn have antimicrobial properties, and they are, therefore, often added to animal feed in quantities greater than the amount of nutritional requirements.

Zinc serves as a component or an activator of several metalloenzymes and is involved in many intracellular and intercellular signaling pathways. Zinc also plays important roles in skin and wound healing and in regulating immune system [82]. Zinc deficiency in weaned pigs leads to growth retardation, loss of appetite, skeletal abnormalities, and parakeratosis if Zn concentration in feed is much lower than the requirement of 80–100 mg/kg for nursery pigs [83–85]. However, pharmacological levels (2000–3000 mg/kg) of inorganic Zn in the form of ZnO have been widely adopted to control postweaning diarrhea and enhance feed intake and overall growth performance [86–89]. The benefits of pharmacological Zn on disease resistance of weaned pigs are likely related to several mechanisms: enhancement of intestinal integrity [90], regeneration of injured intestinal mucosa, stability of intestinal microbiota diversity [91], reduction of intestinal permeability [92], and modulation of intestinal immunity [93]. However, more recent research indicate that feeding pharmacological ZnO could reduce the digestibility of Ca and P, reduce the effectiveness of microbial phytase in pig diet, and increase the abundance of multiresistant bacteria in weaned pigs [94–96]. Inclusion of pharmacological levels of ZnO has recently been banned in the European Union due to increased Zn pollution from pigs fed with high Zn diets. Meantime, animal feed industry and nutritionists are actively working together to search alternatives that could replace pharmacological ZnO. For instance, low dose of organic Zn sources (i.e., 125 mg/kg of Zn-methionine) has been confirmed to have beneficial effects that are equivalent to addition of pharmacological ZnO due to their greater bioavailability [97, 98].
Copper is also an essential component of several metalloenzymes including cytochrome oxidase and lysyl oxidase. Copper is highly involved in oxidation-reduction reactions, transport of oxygen and electrons, antioxidant system, and many other metabolic functions, including cellular respiration, tissue pigmentation, hemoglobin formation, and connective tissue development [82]. In general, neonatal pigs only require 5–6 mg/kg of Cu for normal metabolism [85, 99], and Cu requirement decreases as animal gets older. Cu deficiency may lead to critical dysfunctions and hypocuprosis in pigs [100]. Pigs may also suffer from microcytic anemia and bone abnormalities [101, 102]. Addition of pharmacological levels of Cu (125–500 mg/kg) in pig diets has been a common practice to reduce postweaning diarrhea and improve growth performance [103–106]. The beneficial effects of pharmacological Cu have been attributed to its bacteriostatic and bactericidal properties [107, 108]. Similar to zinc, many Cu forms could be used in animal feed, including copper sulfate (CuSO₄), copper chloride (CuCl₂), tribasic copper chloride (Cu₂(OH)₃Cl), and copper citrate. Copper sulfate and copper chloride are the most common supplementing forms. Tribasic copper chloride has been suggested to have similar bioavailability but less negative impacts on phosphorus digestibility and intestinal microbiota than copper sulfate [109–111]. Chelated Cu, such as Cu citrate, has greater availability than inorganic Cu sources, which may be used in animal feed as low dose, resulting in reduced Cu excretion [112].

3.4 Prebiotics and probiotics

Prebiotics are a category of nutritional compounds that may not share similar structures but have the ability to improve the growth of beneficial microorganism in the gastrointestinal tract. Gibson et al. [113] offered a definition of prebiotics, which contains three key aspects: resistance to digestion, fermentation by the large intestinal microbiota, and a selective effect on the microbiota associated with health-promoting effects. Most well-studied prebiotics are nondigestible oligosaccharides or polysaccharides [114]. For instance, inulin-type prebiotics are a group of nondigestible carbohydrates that mainly comprise fructose, including inulin, oligofructose, and fructo-oligosaccharides. They are commonly used in the pig industry and human foods [115]. Galactooligosaccharides that exist in human milk have been reported to have prebiotic effects by enhancing colonic health of breast-fed infants [116]. Many other naturally occurring prebiotics have been reported as well, including polydextrose, trans-galactooligosaccharides, xylo-oligosaccharides, lactulose, pyrodextrins, and isomalto-oligosaccharides. However, a few other nondigestible carbohydrates are not categorized as prebiotics (e.g., mannan-oligosaccharides, β-glucan etc.), but manifest health-promoting functions [117]. For example, a growing evidence demonstrates that β-glucans, either produced by bacteria or extracted from different sources (i.e., cereal, algae, and fungi), could boost host immunity, therefore enhancing disease resistance of human and animals [118–120].

Probiotics, also known as direct-fed microbials, are live microorganisms and, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host [121]. Probiotics are categorized into three main groups, including Bacillus, lactic acid-producing bacteria, and yeast [122]. Based on the Food and Drug Administration instruction, the term probiotics is used for human microbial products, whereas the term direct-fed microbials is used for the US feed industry. However, “probiotics” are interchangeably used with human and animal feed worldwide. Bacillus-based probiotics are spore-forming, which makes them thermostable and able to survive at low pH. Bacillus-based probiotics have been identified as potent producers of extracellular fiber-degrading enzymes, which may aid nutrient digestion and utilization [123]. Lactic acid-producing bacteria are not spore-forming; therefore, their
survival during feed processing is of concern [124]. Lactic acid-producing bacteria dominate the gastrointestinal tract of the nursing pig [125], which helps reduce the pH in the gut by producing lactic acid through fermentation, inhibiting enteric pathogens [126], and improving host immunity [124, 127]. However, after weaning of pigs, the concentration of lactic acid-producing bacteria diminishes; therefore, supplementation of weaned pig diets with lactic acid-producing probiotics may be beneficial [122]. Yeast include a broad range of products that may be available in pig feed, including whole live yeast cells, heat-treated yeast cells, ground yeast cells, purified yeast cell cultures, and yeast extracts. The efficacy of yeast-based products varies depending on their forms. Yeast or yeast-based product supplementation may boost feed intake and overall growth performance, augment mucosal immunity, promote intestinal development, adsorb mycotoxins, reduce postweaning diarrhea, and modulate gut microbiota in weaned pigs [128–131].

The most notable effect of prebiotics and probiotics is their modification of intestinal microbiota. They may control or prevent pathogenic bacterial infection by specifically stimulating the growth of beneficial microorganisms in the intestine. The beneficial microorganisms may include but not limited to Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli, which have confirmed benefit to suppress the growth of pathogenic microorganisms, such as E. coli, through the potential mechanisms described below. For example, the desired bacteria produce SCFA and lactic acid, which may indirectly and specifically kill or inhibit the growth of pathogens [132]. The production of acids may reduce the pH of the intestinal environment, which is unsupportive of the growth of several pathogens [133]. The desired bacteria may produce antimicrobial compounds such as bacteriocins or antibiotics [134]. The desired bacteria compete the available nutrients against pathogens [135].

Many research articles have been published on the impacts of prebiotics and probiotics on infectious diseases in young pigs. For instance, supplementation of 8% inulin reduce the incidence and severity of postweaning diarrhea, probably by increasing SCFA production in the cecum and proximal colon [136]. The addition of fructo-oligosaccharide prevented the mortality and morbidity of weaned pigs infected with K88 ETEC [137]. Supplementation of β-glucan originated from different sources (yeast or algae) could enhance the resistance of pigs against K88 or F18 ETEC infection [120, 138]. The α-β-mannans from yeast could bind to mannose-specific receptors that are present on many bacteria such as E. coli and Salmonella spp., which prevents adhesion of these pathogens to the mannose-rich glycoproteins lining the intestinal lumen [128]. Indeed, pigs supplemented with live yeast or a yeast fermentation product had reduced disease-related stress, diarrhea scores, duration of diarrhea, and shedding of E. coli and enhanced intestinal integrity in pigs challenged with ETEC [139–141]. Supplementation of Bacillus subtilis also enhanced disease resistance and growth performance and reduced diarrhea of weaned pigs infected with F18 ETEC [142].

3.5 Phytochemicals

Phytochemicals are secondary plant metabolites that are either naturally obtained from plant materials or directly synthetized. Phytochemicals are used in solid powder form, as crude extracts, or as concentrated extracts. The extracts are further classified as essential oils or oleoresins based on the extraction methods. Essential oils are volatile lipophilic substances obtained by cold extraction or distillation, whereas oleoresins are derived by nonaqueous solvents [143]. A few examples of well-known phytochemicals are curcumin, flavonoids, phenolic acids, isoflavones, carotenoids, etc. The major bioactive compounds in phytochemicals are polyphenols, terpenoids, alkaloids, or sulfur-containing compounds.
However, the composition and concentration of bioactive compounds in different phytochemicals may vary a lot, completely depending on the types of plant, the parts of plant, geographical origins, growing conditions, harvesting seasons, processing techniques, and storage conditions [144]. The in vitro biological properties of many phytochemicals have been well investigated, including antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antiviral effects [145–148]. Therefore, phytochemicals have been largely applied in food processing, cosmetics, and other areas related to human nutrition and health.

Various phytochemicals have been reported to exhibit a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria [149–151]. The potential mechanisms of action of antimicrobial activities of phytochemicals are described below. Many phytochemicals are lipophilic, which could damage bacterial membrane, eventually causing the leakage of intracellular materials and cell death [152–156]. In addition, the phenolic compounds possess strong antibacterial properties by inhibiting virulence factors, such as enzymes and toxins [157–159]. Lastly, certain bioactive components may also prevent the development of virulent structure (i.e., flagella) in bacteria, therefore inhibiting ETEC adhesion and toxin binding [160, 161].

Our previously published research reported that dietary supplementation of 10 mg/kg of capsicum oleoresin, garlic botanical, or turmeric oleoresin reduced the frequency of diarrhea and enhanced disease resistance of pigs infected with F18 ETEC [162]. The active components in these phytochemicals are capsaicin, propyl thiosulphonates, and curcuminoides, respectively. The results of gene expression profiles in ileal mucosa indicated that supplementation of these phytochemicals modified the expression of genes related to mucin production, cell membrane integrity, and antigen processing and presentations in ETEC-infected pigs [163]. In addition to the enhanced intestinal mucosal health, pigs fed with those phytochemicals had less recruitment of macrophages and neutrophils in the ileum [162]. These observations also suggest that the weaned pigs supplemented with those phytochemicals actually had less gut inflammation than infected control. The gene expression profile analysis by microarray also confirmed the reduced gut inflammation by feeding those phytochemicals to weaned pigs [163]. The phytochemicals discussed above can be naturally obtained from seasonings that are commonly used in kitchen. Many other phytochemicals have been thoroughly investigated to against ETEC infection as well. For example, the anti-diarrheal activity of back or green tea extract has been revealed, because the reduced net fluid and electrolyte losses were observed when F4 ETEC-infected jejunal segments were perfused with black or green tea extract [164]. The administration of cranberry extract (1 g/L) in drinking water also reduced the diarrhea of F18 ETEC-infected piglets [165].

3.6 Antimicrobial peptides

Antimicrobial peptides, also called host defense peptides, are polypeptides that are naturally occurring molecules in various organisms from prokaryotes to mammals. Antimicrobial peptides can be synthesized as recombinant molecules, such as recombinant lactoferrin, or can be isolated from bacteria, insects, vertebrates, or plants, such as bovine lactoferrin and plant defensins [166, 167]. Most of antimicrobial peptides are cationic (positively charged) and amphiphilic (hydrophobic and hydrophilic). Antimicrobial peptides were firstly discovered in the 1980s. They can be classified into different groups based on the different amino acid components, structures, and biological function. The antimicrobial peptides derived from mammals are mainly classified into two families, defensins or cathelicidins. Defensins are further subgrouped into α-, β-, and θ-defensins according to the spacing.
patterns of their cysteine residues [168]. Defensins are more abundant in epithelial cells and phagocytic cells, whereas cathelicidins are highly expressed in mammalian neutrophils [169].

Antimicrobial peptides possess a strong and wide-spectrum activity against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, as well as against parasites, fungi, and viruses [170]. One potential advantage of antimicrobial peptides is that they may kill pathogenic bacteria that are resistant to specific medically important antibiotics [168, 171]. Most antimicrobial peptides are small, positively charged, and amphipathic molecules that allow them to actively interact with bacterial membranes through different models, such as barrel-stave model, carpet model, or toroidal pore model [172, 173]. These properties will also allow them to disrupt cell membrane structure, penetrate into cells, regulate intracellular signaling pathways, and ultimately cause bacterial cell death. Many research findings have demonstrated that antimicrobial peptide treatment could inhibit protein and nucleic acid synthesis, suppress bacterial cell wall synthesis, as well as inhibit enzyme activities in bacteria [174]. In addition to their antibacterial properties, antimicrobial peptides may also act as epithelial “preservatives” or immunomodulators to protect host against enteric infectious agents [166, 175, 176].

The protective effects of antimicrobial peptides on infectious diarrhea and intestinal integrity have been reported in weaned pigs [177, 178]. For example, feeding 0.4% of a mixture of bovine lactoferrin, plant defensins, and active yeast increased intestinal integrity and reduced gut permeability of weaned pigs [178]. Addition of cecropin AD reduced incidence of diarrhea and enhanced intestinal Lactobacilli counts in E. coli-challenged piglets [177]. The regulation of gut microbiota may also attribute to the potential benefits of antimicrobial peptides. Supplementation of recombinant lactoferrin or lactoferramoin-lactoferricin reduced the total viable counts of E. coli and Salmonella but enriched the abundance of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium in the colon of weaned pigs [179, 180].

3.7 Lysozyme

Lysozyme, also known as muramidase or N-acetylmuramidase glycanhydrolase, is an antimicrobial enzyme that is naturally present in body fluids of all mammalian species [181–183]. Lysozyme could catalyze the hydrolysis of its natural substrate peptidoglycan that is the major component of bacterial cell wall. The hydrolysis of peptidoglycan eventually results in cell lysis. Gram-positive bacteria have cell walls composed of thick layers of peptidoglycan; they are, therefore, more sensitive to the enzymatic degradation of lysozyme [184]. However, a growing evidence supports that lysozyme also displays bactericidal activity against a variety of Gram-negative strains through non-enzymatic mechanisms [184–186]. For instance, lysozyme has been found to act synergistically with antimicrobial peptides, lactoferrin, in killing Gram-negative bacteria, such as E. coli [181, 187]. In addition to its antimicrobial activity, lysozyme also exhibited anti-inflammatory property that was mediated through inhibiting neutrophil migration and shown the ability to modulate intestinal microbiota [188].

Consumption of lysozyme-rich milk significantly enhanced the relative abundance of Bifidobacteriaceae and Lactobacillaceae in feces of weaned pigs [189]. Those bacterial families are known for their health-promoting functions in lower gastrointestinal tract of human and pigs. Interestingly, consumption of lysozyme-rich milk reduced the relative abundance of bacteria (Mycobacteriaceae, Streptococcaceae, Campylobacterales) that are associated with diseases in pig feces [189]. In another trial from the same research group, feeding of lysozyme milk reduced the incidence of diarrhea and reduced total bacteria translocation into the mesenteric lymph
nodes by 83% in ETEC (O149:F4 strain)-infected pigs [190]. Feeding lysozyme-rich milk also tended to reduce fecal Enterobacteriaceae family, in which many prevalent enteric pathogens such as E. coli and Salmonella belong to [190]. Similar results were also reported in one animal trial focusing on human lysozyme-rich milk [191]. In this experiment, neonatal pigs were used and infected with F4 ETEC. Consumption of human lysozyme-rich milk (approximately 1300 mg/L lysozyme) increased survival rate, reduced diarrhea, and facilitated the recovery of infected pigs [191]. Lysozyme treatment also increased the relative abundance of Lactobacillus in feces and enhanced intestinal integrity and mucosa immunity of these neonatal pigs [191].

4. Conclusions

Accumulating evidence has confirmed the importance of nutritional interventions, including modified feeding strategies and nutrient supplements, in the control of diarrheal diseases, and preventing enteric infection as the use of antibiotics will be progressively restricted in many countries. Interest is particularly growing in the use of probiotics and/or prebiotics to increase the populations of target microbes in the digestive tract, thereby improving gut health and performance of animals. Phytochemicals can be an additional tool that producers use to keep pigs healthy and reduce the negative impacts of disease. Dietary supplementation of certain phytochemicals may enhance disease resistance of pigs by improving gut mucosal integrity and optimizing immune response. There are much more candidates of feed additives/nutritional interventions, which may be effective in regulating intestinal environments and immunity and alleviating postweaning enteric infection (Table 1) [192]. It is very important to keep in mind that the efficiencies of each candidate may differ on the basis of their modes of action, the basal diet formulation, and the health status of pigs.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Dietary supplements</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K88</td>
<td>Milk from human lysozyme transgenic goats</td>
<td>Reduced diarrhea, reduced bacterial translocation in mesenteric lymph nodes</td>
<td>Brundige et al. [193]; Cooper et al. [194]; Garas et al. [190]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K88</td>
<td>Chito-oligosaccharide</td>
<td>Reduced diarrhea</td>
<td>Liu et al. [195]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K88</td>
<td>Combination of raw potato starch and probiotic E. coli strains</td>
<td>Reduced diarrhea, enhanced gut microbial diversity</td>
<td>Krause et al. [196]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K88, F18</td>
<td>Probiotics: Pediococcus acidilactici, Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii, Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Reduced ETEC attachment to ileal mucosa, upregulated inflammatory responses in the gut</td>
<td>Kim et al. [142]; Daudelin et al. [197]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K88</td>
<td>Saccharomyces cerevisiae fermented products</td>
<td>Enhanced appetite and ileal digesta bacteria richness, reduced ETEC adhering to the mucosa and colonic ammonia</td>
<td>Kiarie et al. [139, 140]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K88</td>
<td>Probiotics: Lactobacillus plantarum CJLP243</td>
<td>Enhanced growth performance, reduced diarrhea, reduced gut inflammation, enhanced gut barrier function</td>
<td>Lee et al. [198]; Yang et al. [199]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K88</td>
<td>Nucleotides</td>
<td>Enhanced growth performance and nutrient digestibility, reduced diarrhea</td>
<td>Li et al. [201]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F18</td>
<td>Clays (smectite, zeolite, kaolinite)</td>
<td>Reduced diarrhea, enhanced gut integrity</td>
<td>Song et al. [202]; Almeida et al. [203]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F18</td>
<td>Phytochemicals (capsicum oleoresin, garlic botanical, turmeric oleoresin)</td>
<td>Reduced diarrhea, enhanced gut morphology, decreased systemic and gut mucosal inflammation</td>
<td>Liu et al. [162, 163]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K88, F18</td>
<td>β-glucan</td>
<td>Enhanced gut barrier function, reduced systemic inflammation</td>
<td>Stuyven et al. [138], Kim et al. [120]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Modified from Liu and Ji [192].

Table 1.
Dietary interventions on enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli infection of weaned pigs.
in the use of probiotics and/or prebiotics to increase the populations of target microbes in the digestive tract, thereby improving gut health and performance of animals. Phytochemicals can be an additional tool that producers use to keep pigs healthy and reduce the negative impacts of disease. Dietary supplementation of certain phytochemicals may enhance disease resistance of pigs by improving gut mucosal integrity and optimizing immune response. There are much more candidates of feed additives/nutritional interventions, which may be effective in regulating intestinal environments and immunity and alleviating postweaning enteric infection (Table 1) [192]. It is very important to keep in mind that the efficiencies of each candidate may differ on the basis of their modes of action, the basal diet formulation, and the health status of pigs.
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Safety Aspect of Recombinant Protein Produced by Escherichia coli: Toxin Evaluation with Strain and Genomic Approach
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Abstract

Escherichia coli is a Gram-negative bacteria which is well known for its pathogenic properties that can cause serious food poisoning, mostly indicated by diarrhea or other severe symptoms. Despite of its well-known properties due to its ability to produce toxin, most of E. coli strains are harmless and even beneficial especially in recombinant protein production. This bacterium is suitable for protein recombinant host since it has rapid growth, high expression rate, and well-known genome. Various proteins have been produced using E. coli expression systems, with therapeutic protein for medical application being the most notably produced. Apart from that, our group succeeded in producing beta galactosidase from a wild type E. coli strain B130. Furthermore, recombinant human serum albumin was successfully produced using E. coli strains BL21 (DE3). However, studies on E. coli toxin contamination in recombinant protein productions, strains, and genomic comprehension are indispensable, particularly in therapeutic protein. Therefore, this chapter will discuss the safety aspects of recombinant therapeutic proteins in terms of toxin contamination by strain and genomic approaches.
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1. Introduction

Escherichia coli is a member of Enterobacteria family which can be found in gastrointestinal tracts [1–3]. In general, it is well known to cause broad diseases, including gastrointestinal problems. Aside the fact that E. coli was normal to be found in colon, a number of its strains were discovered with the ability to produce toxins. Shiga toxin E. coli (STEC) and enterotoxin E. coli (ETEC) were groups of E. coli strains that have the ability to produce toxin that may cause several diseases, such as diarrhea [1, 4, 5].

Although E. coli may cause numerous gastrointestinal diseases; in fact, strains that are responsible for pathogenic properties were relatively minor in numbers. Furthermore, E. coli was considered harmless and even useful as a host for producing recombinant proteins. Even this bacteria becomes favorite host chosen in industrial and medical applications since it has rapid growth, well-characterized
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1. Introduction

*Escherichia coli* is a member of *Enterobacteria* family which can be found in gastrointestinal tracts [1–3]. In general, it is well known to cause broad diseases, including gastrointestinal problems. Aside the fact that *E. coli* was normal to be found in colon, a number of its strains were discovered with the ability to produce toxins. Shiga toxin *E. coli* (STEC) and enterotoxin *E. coli* (ETEC) were groups of *E. coli* strains that have the ability to produce toxin that may cause several diseases, such as diarrhea [1, 4, 5].

Although *E. coli* may cause numerous gastrointestinal diseases; in fact, strains that are responsible for pathogenic properties were relatively minor in numbers. Furthermore, *E. coli* was considered harmless and even useful as a host for producing recombinant proteins. Even this bacteria becomes favorite host chosen in industrial and medical applications since it has rapid growth, well-characterized
gene, and its ability to grow under aerobic and anaerobic system, and facilitates to form high cell density culture (HCDC) [6–8].

The discussion about advantage in producing recombinant proteins and worries of toxins of *E. coli* is like talking about two opposite sides of a coin. This will certainly raise a question “Is it safe to produce recombinant protein in *E. coli*? Will it be toxin-free contamination?” Therefore, this chapter will discuss the safety aspects of recombinant protein produced by *E. coli* against toxins using genomic and strains approach.

### 2. Toxin produced by *Escherichia coli*

Several pathogenic *E. coli* strains are known to be responsible for broad diseases, from mild to complicated cases. It is varying from mild diarrhea, hemorrhagic colitis, to hemolytic uremic syndrome. Among the pathogenic strains, STEC is an example of common strains which occupy high number in *E. coli* serotypes that produce toxin called Shiga toxins (Stx) [1, 2, 5, 9–11]. While STEC is a common pathogenic example, it belongs to a larger group named enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC); also, there still exist numerous pathogenic *E. coli* and cause different diseases and complications. Pathogenic *E. coli* were classified in Table 1 along with its diseases they caused and virulence factors [1].

Considering the number of pathogenic *E. coli*, it is useful to classify the toxins’ properties and structure. It will be convenience to determine whether the toxins belong to organic compound or peptide-based structure; therefore, we could analyze contamination probabilities in terms of producing recombinant protein. Most of the virulence factors stated in Table 1 were protein attached in bacterial membrane with the role of adhesion or recognition to host cell [12]. Meanwhile, shiga toxin, heat-stable and heat-labile toxin, and other cytotoxins were protein released by pathogenic *E. coli*. These toxins have specific receptors to induce invagination to the host cell, while their virulence mechanism also differs depending on the nature of each toxin and their molecular target [4].

STEC serotypes vary and differ in number of incidences, although the O157:H7 is a serotype considered to be responsible of numerous outbreaks. Shiga toxin occupies AB5 structure (see Figure 1), the catalytic subunit A (StxA) and homopentamer of subunit B (Stx B) as recognition site to globotetraosylceramide (Gb3/Gb4), which are present in the host cell surface, which leads to invagination of the toxin. STEC can produce either Stx1 (Stx1 and Stx1c), Stx2 variant (Stx2, Stx2c, Stx2d, Stx2e, and Stx2f) or range combination of both variants [4, 12]. Once invagination succeeds, catalytic subunit A would disrupt cell metabolism by inhibiting elongation factor-dependent aminoacyl tRNA binding (see detailed mechanism in [4]). The highly specific RNA N-glycosidase activity cleaves adenine base in eukaryotic ribosomal RNA, precisely at 28S subunit on the α-sarcin loop located in position 4324 [4].

Meanwhile, heat-labile (LT) and heat-stable (ST) toxin belong to ETEC groups. Nevertheless, LT enterotoxin shares similar structures to Stx which occupy AB5 conformation. Subunit A acts as a toxin by binding to its receptor, guanylyl cyclase C (GC-C). The interaction will activate guanine nucleotide protein Gsα by ADP-ribosylation, which trigger stimulation of secretion by cAMP-dependent mechanism. Elevated numbers of cAMP cause CTFR channel to secrete water and ions, thus generating diarrhea [3]. By contrast, ST structure is relatively simple. The STa class was made up with 18–19 cysteine-rich amino acids, while STb has 48 amino acids. ST virulence acts by triggering secretion of water and ions by triggering signaling cascade through guanylyl cyclase C (GC-C) in intestine [13, 14]. The structure of both ST and LT is shown in Figure 2.
**Table 1. Classification of pathogenic E. coli.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogenic</th>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>Virulence factors</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enteric E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC)</td>
<td>Diarrhea in children</td>
<td>Bfp, intimin, LEE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC)</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic colitis, hemolytic uremic syndrome</td>
<td>Shiga toxins, intimin, Bfp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC)</td>
<td>Traveler’s diarrhea</td>
<td>Heat-labile and heat-stable toxins, CFA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteraggregative E. coli (EAE)</td>
<td>Diarrhea in children</td>
<td>AAFs, cytotoxins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC)</td>
<td>Shigellosis-like</td>
<td>Shiga toxin, hemolysin, cellular invasion, Ipa</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusely adherent E. coli (DAEC)</td>
<td>Acute diarrhea in children</td>
<td>Daa, AIDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherent invasive E. coli (AIEC)</td>
<td>Crohn’s disease-associated</td>
<td>Type 1 fimbriae, cellular invasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraintestinal E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uropathogenic E. coli (UPEC)</td>
<td>Lower urinary tract infection and systemic infection</td>
<td>Type 1 and P fimbriae, AAFs, hemolysin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal meningitis E. coli (NMEC)</td>
<td>Neonatal meningitis</td>
<td>S fimbriae, K1 capsule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avian pathogenic E. coli (APEC)</td>
<td>Food-borne source disease</td>
<td>Type 1 and P fimbriae, K1 capsule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAF, aggregative adhre fimbria; AIDA, adhesion involved in diffuse adherence; Bfp, bundle-forming pili; CFA, colonization factor antigen; Daa, diffuse adheins; Ipa, invasion plasmid antigen; LEE, locus for enterocyte effacement.

**Figure 1.**
Crystal structure of shiga-like toxin in E. coli (PDB: 1R4P). (A) Transversal view of Stx subunits, StxA represented as teal-colored chain, while StxB presented in rainbow color; (B) axial view of homopentamer StxB [3].

---

**Figure 2.**
Structure of both ST and LT is shown in...
The fact both STEC and ETEC toxins (Stx and LT, and ST, respectively) are peptide based elucidates its origin that were genetically listed in their DNA. These toxins were made under central dogma of protein synthesis. Therefore, analysis through genomic approach on recombinant E. coli host is possible to be conducted.

3. E. coli as host for recombinant protein expression

The production of recombinant proteins in microbial systems was started in 1970 and continued to boom in 1980 with the production of insulin. There is no doubt that this method has revolutionized and widened the field of biochemistry [19]. The ability to express large quantity of protein with less effort, relative to manual synthesis, allows industrial processes to produce in commercial scale. However, several considerations should be discussed before executing the production such as, appropriate vector, location of the protein of interest (whether as soluble fraction or inclusion bodies), optimum condition (pH, medium, temperature, aerobic/anaerobic system), genetic design for convenience of purification, and at the top of it, microbial selection [7, 8, 20].

E. coli become preferred microbes in terms of recombinant protein host among researchers and industrial use. The simplicity of its expression system, compared to other higher level organism, and large quantity of well-characterized genomic database offer advantages in constructing the vector to be used [20]. A plenty number of research regarding E. coli also become an advantage to give amount of consideration of various expression conditions. Nevertheless, E. coli expression system has limited post-translational modification, which means that some proteins that require modification, such as alkylation or glycosylation, may not be perfectly expressed in E. coli. However, several strains of E. coli have the ability to perform specific post-translational modification [19, 21]. Therefore, we provide a simple summary on recombinant proteins produced by E. coli along with strains and expression strategies in Table 2.

Among recombinant proteins mentioned in Table 2, hEGF and hPT-2 are examples of therapeutic protein. Regarding its use in medical interests, therapeutic proteins produced in E. coli have to be safe for administration into human bodies; therefore, purification steps and any contaminants present become a huge concern in producing recombinant protein. Identifying location of protein target is a prominent fundamental to determine source of contamination and to predict any possible contamination. Understanding the protein location also helps with the purification strategies needed to separate contaminants, specifically toxins, with the result that highly pure proteins were recovered. Choi et al. [29] through Figure 3 classify locations of protein expressed in E. coli and its general purification steps needed.
E. coli as host for recombinant protein expression  

Understanding the protein location also helps with the purification strategies needed to determine source of contamination and to predict any possible contamination. Recombinant protein quality is based on several factors in the expression host. The fact both STEC and ETEC toxins (Stx and LT, and ST, respectively) are also become an advantage to give amount of peptide based elucidates its origin that were genetically listed in their DNA. These proteins were recovered. Choi et al. [29] through Figure 3, hEGF and hPT-2 are examples of recombinant proteins mentioned in Table 2, which is used for recombinant protein expression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recombinant proteins</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Expression strategies</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-Cyclodextrin glycosyltransferase</td>
<td>BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Extracellular, using OmpA constructed on pET-20b(+) vector</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P64k</td>
<td>E. coli K12 GC366</td>
<td>Soluble intracellular, using pM-152 vector</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa-β-gal (Staphylococcus protein A-E. coli β-galactosidase)</td>
<td>E. coli RR1 LacZ</td>
<td>Soluble intracellular as fusion protein</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human prethrombin-2 (hPT-2)</td>
<td>E. coli TOP20F</td>
<td>Fusion protein with intein, CBD-Sp DnaB-hPT-2</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human prethrombine-2 (hPT-2)</td>
<td>E. coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Soluble intracellular using pTWIN1</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human epidermal growth factor (hEGF)</td>
<td>E. coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Extracellular using TorA signal peptide constructed on pD881 vector</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human epidermal growth factor (hEGF)</td>
<td>E. coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Extracellular, using OmpA constructed in pD881 vector</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human serum albumin</td>
<td>E. coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Extracellular, using TorA constructed on pD881 vector</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrococcus furiosus α-amylase (PFA)</td>
<td>E. coli DH5α</td>
<td>Soluble protein, designed with co-expression of prefoldin, chaperonin HSP60, and hHSP</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepcidin</td>
<td>E. coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Inclusion bodies, fused with His-tag</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratinase</td>
<td>E. coli AD494(DE3) pLys</td>
<td>Intracellular expression using His-tag</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig liver esterase (γ-PLE)</td>
<td>E. coli origami (DE3)</td>
<td>Soluble intracellular, using Strep-tag and combination of His- and Trx-tag</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of recombinant protein using E. coli expression system and its expression strategy.

The distinction of protein location is affected by either the nature of the expression system or the protein construction design. Both extracellular and intracellular strategies on expressing protein give its own advantages and disadvantages. Extracellular expression offers simple purification, improved folding, and soluble products. This strategy can be achieved using signal peptide, co-expression with phospholipase, or co-expression with chaperon [33, 34]. In contrast, intracellular expression prefers inclusion bodies formation. While inclusion bodies give easy separation and prevent protease degradation, it has complex purification steps and refolding process is compulsory. Fusion partners, such as intein, often added in gene construction in intracellular works to provide efficient strategy in purification steps [21, 33].

Based on protein location, toxin contamination can be investigated. Both Stx and LT-ST toxins are secreted by E. coli, increasing the risk of contamination when the protein of interest is produced extracellularly. Even so, since extracellular protein exists in soluble state, purification might not be impossible. Whereas intracellular expression may put more concern at contamination risk since toxins might be clumped together in the form of inclusion bodies. This case may put more consideration in solubilization and purification process. However, these allegations are only an assessment of risk factors with the assumption that toxins are produced in E. coli, which is used for recombinant protein expression.
4. Safety aspects of recombinant protein production against toxin

Using comprehensive understanding of toxin origin, specifically Shiga toxin and enterotoxin, it is clear that these toxins were peptide based and generated by certain gene in STEC and ETEC. The gene stx was responsible for producing the Stx toxin using central dogma of E. coli, reciprocally to ST and LT encoding gene. Moreover, E. coli strains that are commonly used for recombinant protein work are also known. Therefore, it is possible to examine the safety aspect of recombinant protein work through genetic alignment between common E. coli strains in recombinant work and toxin genes. Here, E. coli BL21 (DE3) (ACC: NC_012892) and K-12 MG1655 (ACC: U00096.3) were used as representative. While toxin genes used are Stx (ACC: AY143336.1), LT (ACC: JQ031712), and ST (ACC: P22542.1).

In term of the existence of stx gene, common recombinant host strains are absence of the stx gene. Therefore, since the strains were clearly different, it is considerably safe to use E. coli as recombinant host without neglecting other contaminants.

5. Expression and characterization of HSA gene in E. coli BL21 (DE3)

This step started with growing E. coli BL21 (DE3) [pD881-torA-HSA] transformant as starter culture at 200 rpm, 37°C for 16–18 hours. Then starter culture was moved as much as 1% into 25 mL Luria-Bertani medium containing kanamycin as selection marker. E. coli BL21 (DE3) cell culture was grown until OD_{600nm} reached 0.8 for induction. Before induction was performed, 1 mL sample from culture was separated as protein fraction before induction (t₀). Induction was initiated by adding L-rhamnose into the expression medium to bring the final concentration to 4 mM. To obtain protein fraction in cytoplasm, sonication method was used. Lysate from six E. coli BL21 (DE3) [pD881-torA-HSA] transformant colonies showed that HSA was expressed in cytoplasm, it was characterized with the presence of ±67.0 kDa and in the SDS-PAGE electrophoresis [28]. The result of expression is presented in Figure 4.
4. Safety aspects of recombinant protein production against toxin

Using comprehensive understanding of toxin origin, specifically Shiga toxin and enterotoxin, it is clear that these toxins were peptide based and generated by certain gene in STEC and ETEC. The gene \textit{stx} was responsible for producing the Stx toxin using central dogma of \textit{E. coli}, reciprocally to ST and LT encoding gene. Moreover, \textit{E. coli} strains that are commonly used for recombinant protein work are also known. Therefore, it is possible to examine the safety aspect of recombinant protein against toxin through genetic alignment between common \textit{E. coli} strains in recombinant work and toxin genes. Here, \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) (ACC: NC_012892) and K-12 MG1655 (ACC: U00096.3) were used as representative. While toxin genes used are Stx (ACC: AY143336.1), LT (ACC: JQ031712), and ST (ACC: P22542.1). In term of the existence of \textit{stx} gene, common recombinant host strains are absence of the \textit{stx} gene. Therefore, since the strains were clearly different, it is considerably safe to use \textit{E. coli} as recombinant host without neglecting other contaminants.

5. Expression and characterization of HSA gene in \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3)

This step started with growing \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) [pD881-torA-HSA] transformant as starter culture at 200 rpm, 37°C for 16–18 hours. Then starter culture was moved as much as 1% into 25 mL Luria-Bertani medium containing kanamycin as selection marker. \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) cell culture was grown until OD600nm reached 0.8 for induction. Before induction was performed, 1 mL sample from culture was separated as protein fraction before induction (t0). Induction was initiated by adding L-rhamnose into the expression medium to bring the final concentration to 4 mM. To obtain protein fraction in cytoplasm, sonication method was used. Lysate from six \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) [pD881-torA-HSA] transformant colonies showed that HSA was expressed in cytoplasm, it was characterized with the presence of ±67.0 kDa and in the SDS-PAGE electrophoresis [28]. The result of expression is presented in Figure 4.

6. Recombinant hEGF expression in \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3)

\textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) [pD881-PelB] that has been characterized was grown in 5 mL LB medium containing kanamycin for about 16–18 hours at 37°C with 200 rpm shaking. Then, 1000 μL \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) [pD881-PelB] culture was transferred into 100 mL LB medium containing kanamycin in shaken flask. Native \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) was also grown and received the same treatment as the transformant. The culture was incubated until OD600nm reached 0.7. \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) [pD881-PelB] culture was taken as a sample (before L-Rhamnose induction) and transferred into a microtube and centrifuged at 3000 g, 4°C for 20 minutes. L-rhamnose was added into \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) [pD881-PelB] and native \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) culture to give final concentration of 2 mM. Incubation was continued at 37°C for 20 hours with 200 rpm shaking. Culture of the \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) [pD881-PelB] was taken as a sample (after L-rhamnose induction) and transferred into 2 microtubes then was centrifuged at 3000 g, 4°C for 20 minutes [35].
Based on SDS-PAGE electrophoresis of the protein produced by the *E. coli* cell at varying concentration of L-rhamnose as inducer (Figure 5), it can be concluded that the best concentration of L-rhamnose that induces the production of the protein of interest was 4 mM because it produces more target protein, either in the insoluble fraction of the medium or in the form of inclusion bodies at t(20). The results also indicate that not all rhEGF translocated into the periplasm were secreted to medium. The hEGF was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) with molecular weight of 6.2 kDa. The result of expression is presented in Figure 5 [35].

Apart from that, our group succeeded in producing beta-galactosidase from a wild type *E. coli* strain B130, with high purity. Kinetical parameter (K_m and V_max) of the enzyme were $2.417 \times 10^{-4}$ mol and $4.664 \times 10^{-4}$ mol.minute$^{-1}$, respectively [36].

### 7. Conclusions

*E. coli* is renowned by its pathogenic properties, specifically in causing gastrointestinal disease. While in contrast, the same species also being helpful in expressing recombinant protein. Thus, contrary properties leave questions in terms of safety in expressing recombinant protein. Pathogenic *E. coli* strains were identified and classified in accordance with the disease caused. While most of pathogenic group gain its virulence by their membrane protein, some of it secretes toxins, like Stx from STEC or LT and ST from ETEC group. This toxin-secreting *E. coli* were important to understand contamination risk in recombinant protein. All three toxins were considered as peptide-based structure, in which production relies on respective genes. Alignment of toxin genes to commonly used *E. coli* in recombinant work makes a way to investigate toxin presence in recombinant-host *E. coli*. The BL21 (DE3) and K-12 MG1655 strains used as representative in alignment process, which generate non-overlapping alignment. This clears up the risk of toxin contamination on recombinant protein since the absence of toxin gene in these strains. Therefore, expressing recombinant protein, especially therapeutic protein, in *E. coli* was considered to be safe against toxin.
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Abstract
Aptamers are in vitro-selected, nucleic acids with unique abilities to bind strongly and specifically to their selective targets (ligands) based on their three-dimensional structures. Target binding is generally associated with a change in aptamer structure, which provides a means of linking many output signals to the binding event. Being synthetic, aptamers are less expensive compared to antibodies. Aptamers are also more easily modified chemically or their sequence changed to optimize properties such target specificity, storability and stability. In this chapter we will discuss the potential benefits of applying aptamers to diagnostics with a focus on infectious disease and the unique challenges posed by aptamers for their successful incorporation into reliable aptasensors.
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1. Introduction
Aptamers, first disclosed in 1990 by three groups [1–3], are ssDNA or RNA molecules capable of binding strongly and specifically to their target (ligand) molecules. Their target binding specificities and affinities are based on their sequence-specific 3D structures. Such properties of aptamers make them analogues of antibodies with unique advantages. For example, aptamers are relatively small (diam. ~2 nm) compared to antibodies (diam. ~15 nm), which allows them to bind targets that are inaccessible to the larger antibodies. Like antibodies, their properties are defined by the ionic conditions and pH in which they are placed. However, being shorter polymers, aptamers are generally more sensitive than antibodies to their physical and chemical environment.

In contrast to the time-consuming and expensive production and screening procedures for antibodies, aptamers can be produced faster and more cost effectively by a procedure known as Systemic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential Enrichment (SELEX). Once an aptamer sequence has been identified, its further production is by chemical synthesis, for which variation is negligible compared with the batch to batch variation of antibodies generated in animals or by cell culture. Their synthetic production makes aptamers accessible for selective chemical modifications to enhance their binding specificity or to increase their resistance to degradation.

With such advantages over antibodies, aptamers have emerged as new generation molecular recognition elements [4]. In the current chapter, we focus on their impact in diagnosis of infectious disease agents. The reader is referred to other reviews of the application of aptamers to therapy, biosensing and molecular probing [5–10].
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2. Aptamers in diagnostics

Fast and accurate diagnosis is a key factor for the treatment of infectious disease. Molecular recognition by aptamers can be highly discriminatory such that they can distinguish between two closely related molecules, including conformational isomers [11] or highly related proteins [12–14]. One-to-one comparison between aptamers and antibodies as recognition elements for the same target molecule, demonstrated that aptamers can equal antibodies in their sensitivity and selectivity [15]. As an added advantage, aptamers showed tolerance to repeated regeneration and recycling after ligand binding.

The small sizes and homogeneous structures of aptamers allow them to be immobilized in a dense and well-oriented manner. The higher density of aptamer packing compared with antibodies increases the binding capacity of the sensors and extends their linear range of detection of analyte [9, 15]. With these aspects of aptamers considered, their application as recognition elements in analytical devices offers a multitude of advantages and brings a new dimension to diagnostics.

Aptamers are compatible as the recognition element with many sensor platforms, including quartz crystal microbalances (QCM), surface plasmon resonance (SPR), diamond field effect transistors (FET), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), colorimetric and fluorescence-based optical detection. Of these, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) has gained popularity as it offers rapid, low-cost, label-free detection with high signal to noise ratios and sensitive detection of target molecules when employing aptamers [16, 17]. EIS is more sensitive than other electrochemical techniques [18] and is one of the best techniques to analyze the properties of electrochemical systems [19]. EIS is a technique used to study the electrochemical response to the application of periodic small amplitude ac signal at different frequencies [20, 21]. It is useful to monitor the changes in the electrochemical properties of the system due to biorecognition events at the surface of modified electrodes. For example, the electrodes can be modified with aptamers to detect the presence of a target analyte. EIS produces high quality data by directly converting a biological event into an electrical signal. Moreover, EIS-based sensors are small and portable and can be employed outside of well-equipped laboratory. EIS is an attractive technique for biosensor development as it provides the advantages of real time monitoring and label-free detection and is compatible with flexible electronics, disposable sensors and wearable devices. Inkjet printing can be applied to produce aptamer-based EIS biosensors for their automated mass production with uniform aptamer deposition [22–24].

In some instances aptamers can also be used for therapeutics or for combined diagnostics and therapeutics (theranostics). Examples include (1) various aptamers to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) proteins that either prevent virus entry or replication [7, 25–29], (2) the S-PS$_{8,4}$ aptamer that recognizes *Salmonella enterica* and inhibits invasion of the bacteria into human monocytes [30], (3) two DNA aptamers A9 and B4 against the H9N2 avian influenza virus that inhibit viral infection [31], and (4) a DNA aptamer against MUC-1 that was applied in a nanocomposite for fluorescent imaging and demonstrated to inhibit the proliferation of colorectal (HT-29) and breast (MCF-7) cancer cells [32].

Although antibodies dominate the global market of diagnostics and therapeutics, several biotechnology companies have actively started exploring aptamers for diagnostics. The first to develop aptamer-based diagnostic arrays, SomaLogic employed SOMAmers (slow off rate modified aptamers) to detect many protein biomarkers for disease diagnosis [33, 34]. The combination of aptamers as the recognition elements, with long shelf lives at room temperature, inkjet printing
methods for immobilizing them and EIS as the method of detection will enable the development of low cost, label free, and rapid response diagnostic devices that could be in disposable or wearable forms as well as in more conventional instrument formats.

2.1 Aptamers that detect biomarkers of microbial infections

Early detection of infectious disease is of primary importance for its management. The conventional methods of diagnosis, which include microbiological methods (isolation, growth and microscopy of pathogens), polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and immunological methods [35, 36] suffer from turn-around times of 24 h or longer. This is especially a problem when the patient(s) are located in remote areas from which samples must be sent to centralized laboratories for their analysis. It is also difficult to grow some microbes in culture, which limits their detection.

Viral diseases are generally detected by serology, immunology or PCR amplification of DNA/RNA fragments corresponding to the pathogen's genome. Although it is sensitive and specific, the performance of PCR requires appropriate instruments, specialized reagents and experienced personnel. Immunological methods, which are widely used for diagnosis, employ antibodies specific to a protein or carbohydrate moiety that is unique to the target pathogen. Some popular immunological methods are the agglutination, ELISA and western blot assays. These well-established and time-tested assays are the work-horses of modern clinical laboratories. They are generally reliable and are likely to be the mainstay of the clinical technical repertoire well into the future. But, these assays limit the ability of communities to respond rapidly to microbial and viral outbreaks because they require laboratory equipment that is not readily portable and trained personnel to perform them. ELISA and western blots also depend on provided antibodies, which require cold storage to prevent their denaturation. Infectious disease outbreaks often start in regions that are distant from clinical laboratories. Therefore, the challenge for future diagnostics is to develop portable devices that require little expertise to perform. Current technology development is moving in this direction with portable PCR machines [37] and lateral flow immunology tests [38]. Whereas the former still requires trained personal to operate, the latter can often be readily used and interpreted by an untrained individual.

Diagnostics based on aptamers stand out as promising options for rapid, cost effective and specific detection of pathogens using devices that can be operated by minimally trained personnel. Many aptamers have been reported that recognize specific viruses and bacteria. Some were selected against recombinant proteins from the target microbe or virus. Others were selected against the intact microbe or virus. For example, RNA aptamer S-PS8,4, which specifically recognizes the type IVB pil of Salmonella enterica, was isolated using a recombinant pilin structural protein as the selection target [39] and incorporated into a potentiometric biosensor as a recognition element for S. enterica [40]. This aptasensor could detect a single CFU of target S. enterica and was specific for S. enterica, not recognizing either Escherichia coli or Lactobacillus casei. Using a cell-SELEX approach, two 62 nt DNA aptamers, SA17 and SA61, were selected against intact Staphylococcus aureus. As for S-PS8,4, these aptamers bound their S. aureus target with high affinities and specificities [41]. Many aptamers have been selected with specificities for particular microbe targets including or Campylobacter jejuni [42, 43], L. monocytogenes [44–48], Vibrio parahemolyticus [49], Shigella dysenteriae [50], Streptococcus pyogenes [51], Francisella tularensis [52], Pseudomonas aeruginosa [53] and the spores of anthrax Bacillus anthracis [54, 55]. Parasites are also good aptamer
targets with aptamers identified that recognize Trypanosoma spp., Plasmodium spp., Leishmania spp., *Entamoeba histolytica*, and *Cryptosporidium parvum* [56]. For the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections, DNA aptamers have been screened against (1 → 3)-β-D-glucans from cell wall of *Candida albicans*. Two selected DNA aptamers (AU1 and AD1) showed high binding affinities in the range of 100 nM and did not bind to the same domain of (1 → 3)-β-D-glucans. The application of these aptamers in a double-aptamer sandwich enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay (ELONA) resulted in an assay sensitivity and specificity of the detection of ~92% [57]. For viruses, there are aptamers that recognize HIV intracellular proteins [7, 13, 58–60], the HIV envelope glycoprotein [28, 61], hepatitis C [62–64], influenza virus [65–67], herpes simplex virus 1 [68], dengue virus [69], zika virus [70] and ebola virus [71]. In another study, a device was reported for the multiplexed detection of the envelope proteins of Zika and chikungunya viruses. The detection takes place in a microfluidic channel containing microsized pillars with attached aptamers. These pillars increase the surface sensing area, thereby enabling the attachment of more aptamers and increasing the overall sensitivity of the sensor envelope proteins. The working principle of this device depends on the formation of a protein-mediated sandwich with an aptamer-functionalized gold nanoparticle (AuNP) and an unattached aptamer. The signal is obtained upon introduction of silver reagents into the channel, which is selectively deposited on the AuNP surface, providing a gray contrast in the testing zone. This colorimetric aptasensor is reported to detect clinically relevant concentrations of Zika and chikungunya envelope proteins in phosphate-buffered saline (1 pM) and calf blood (100 pM) with high specificity [72].

Many of the aptamers discussed have been employed as recognition elements in diagnostic tools of a wide variety of types with electrochemical sensors being a popular platform. Examples include a potentiometric carbon-nanotube system to detect trypanosomes in blood [73], a voltametric aptasensor for ultrasensitive detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB) virulence factor antigen ESAT-6 [74] and an EIS aptasensor for influenza virus [67].

Along with fast read-out, another advantage of the electrochemical approach is high sensitivity. The aptamer-based detection threshold is sometimes lower than for RT-PCR as demonstrated for influenza virus [67]. In another example, aptamer conjugates with gold nanoparticles were sensitive enough to detect a single *Staphylococcus aureus* cell [41]. An aptasensor has been reported that detects attomolar concentrations of the variable surface glycoprotein from African trypanosomes as analyte in blood [73]. From these and other examples it was found that immobilization of aptamers on biosensor surfaces increases target binding affinity [75–78]. The increased affinity is most likely due to two effects of immobilization: (1) immobilization creates a multivalent surface that decreases the rate at which the aptamer ligand can leave the surface (off-rate), which is the denominator in calculating the association constant ($K_a = k_{on}/k_{off}$), and (2) molecular crowding promotes aptamer folding to produce the appropriate ligand-binding structure [79–81].

Due to their low concentrations in the blood, infectious disease markers can be difficult to detect [82, 83]. Here, aptamers can play a different role of concentrating the target prior to their quantification. For this purpose, magnetic beads coated with aptamers specific for *Trypanosoma cruzi* were used to capture these parasites from the blood in which they are present at very low concentrations [84].

The stage is set for the development of commercial diagnostics for infectious disease agents. Some have already come to market such as OTA-Sense and Aflasense developed by Neoventures Biotechnology Inc. for detection of toxins in food samples, AptoCyto and AptoPrep developed by Aptsci Inc. for isolation of biomarker positive cells, SOMAscan from SomaLogic for diagnosis of several diseases, CibusDx a food pathogen Diagnostic platform developed by the
USA-based start-up (Pronucleotin, Inc.), OLIGOBIND®Thrombin activity assay by Sekisui Diagnostics [85]. Aptasensors demonstrate remarkably short detection times compared with the conventional methods of ELISA and PCR. The advantage of a faster detection time is of utmost importance for identifying rapidly developing and epidemiologically dangerous diseases, such as influenza, Ebola and SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome). The rapid detection capabilities of aptasensors and their ready portability will broaden their scope of acceptance in the field of diagnosis.

3. Aptasensors

Some of the most attractive features of aptamer-based sensors (aptasensors) are their stability to storage at ambient temperatures and their reusability. Moreover, their small size and versatility allow aptamers to be immobilized at high densities, which facilitate their multiplexing in miniaturized systems. Several signaling modes have been coupled to aptamer-based sensors [86, 87]. Some popular outputs for detection include fluorescence [88], chemiluminescence [55], electrochemical [89], field effects (FET) [90], surface plasmon resonance (SPR) [91], changes in resonating frequency of quartz crystal sensor (QCM) [92], surface acoustic waves (SAW) [93], mechanical (microcantilevers) [94]. In this chapter, we will focus mainly on aptamer-based biosensors with fluorescent or electrochemical outputs.

3.1 Fluorescent aptasensors

Aptasensors with fluorescence outputs are designed to take advantage of the flexibility of aptamers, which results in their frequently adopting alternate conformations in the presence or absence of their target molecules. For these sensors, aptamers are modified in key positions with fluorescent dyes that interact in Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET). Upon aptamer binding to its target, the associated structural change alters the distance between the fluorescent dyes and thus the efficiency of energy transfer. The signal change, manifested as an increase (signal-on mode) or decrease (signal-off mode) in fluorescence, is proportional to the extent of target binding. A representative “signal-on” fluorescent aptamer holds a fluorophore, usually at one end, which is quenched by a molecule that is attached to a proximate location in the unoccupied aptamer. Target binding separates fluorophore from quencher allowing recovery of the fluorescent signal, which provides a quantitative measure of the target concentration [95]. FRET can also be used in “signal-off” sensor designs in which the conformational change of the aptamer on target binding brings the donor and quencher into closer proximity with a resulting fluorescence quenching. Sensors based on the “signal-off” mode are usually less sensitive than those based on the “signal-on” mode, but they can help to improve target detection by low-affinity aptamers [88].

An alternative means of signaling an aptamer binding event using fluorescence is with an oligonucleotide (attenuator) that is complementary to a portion of the aptamer and remains bound to the aptamer in the absence of target molecule. The length of the attenuator and its placement on the aptamer must create a condition that prevents aptamer folding to the actively binding conformation, but the affinity of the aptamer for the attenuator should be less than for the target molecule. With these requirements fulfilled, the target molecule can successfully compete with the attenuator to bind the aptamer and release the attenuator. Such a design can be used for “signal-on” reporting if the target and aptamer are labeled with fluorescent dyes that are optimally placed to interact in FRET while the aptamer and attenuator are
hybridized. This format can also be used for a “signal-off” system in which a single fluorophore is attached to the attenuator. When the aptamer binds the target molecule, the released attenuator adsorbs to surrounding gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), which quench the fluorescence [96]. Another aptasensor design used upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) as donors and AuNPs as acceptors for rapid, ultrasensitive and specific detection of bacteria (e.g., *E. coli* ATCC 8739) [97]. FRET-based aptasensors provide an efficient method for detecting pathogens and their released toxins in one step [98–100].

The concept of using a material to quench the fluorophore was applied to create a paper-based MoS2 nanosheet-mediated FRET aptasensor for rapid malaria diagnosis [96]. This format uses paper test strips impregnated with fluorescently-labeled aptamers and MoS2 nanosheets. The MoS2 quenches the fluorescence until the aptamers are released when they bind their targets. These aptasensors are facile, inexpensive and therefore attractive for point-of-care diagnosis, especially in low-resource areas. Similar “low-tech” FRET-based aptasensors have also been found to be ideal for spacecraft, such as for diagnosing microgravity-induced bone loss in outer space by monitoring urinary C-telopeptide [4, 101]. In such scenarios, where both space and lab resources are limited, handheld fluorometers such as the commercially available QuantiFluor™ (Promega Corp.) or other such portable fluorometers will provide much needed opportunities for point-of-care diagnostics. These applications benefit from the greater stability to ambient temperatures for storage of aptamers compared with antibodies.

### 3.2 Electrochemical aptasensors

Upon binding to their target molecules, aptamers fold their supple, single-stranded chains into distinct three-dimensional (3D) structures. This structural change can be employed for initiating electron-transfer when the aptamers are labeled with a redox-active moiety and immobilized on a conducting support. Several electrochemical aptasensors have been developed based on this strategy [87], which can also be classified into “signal-on” and “signal-off” aptasensors. For example, an electrochemical thrombin aptasensor was constructed by immobilizing a thrombin aptamer (TBA) labeled with redox-active methylene blue (MB) on an electrode [102]. After binding thrombin, the TBA adopts a G-quadruplex structure, which moves MB away from the electrode. This “signal-off” sensing format has the disadvantage of a decreasing signal with increasing target molecule. An example of the preferred “signal-on” format includes the TBA, which is immobilized on a gold electrode and tagged with a terminal electroactive ferrocene redox label [103]. In the absence of thrombin a low signal is produced because many of the conformations adopted by the aptamer do not bring the ferrocene close to the electrode. Upon binding thrombin, the TBA adopts a G-quadruplex conformation, bringing the ferrocene to the electrode to allow electron-transfer and a positive signal in the presence of target molecule.

Electrochemical signals can be amplified when catalytic events are part of the signaling mechanism. For example, an electrochemical aptasensor was developed to rapidly diagnose tuberculosis (TB) by detecting the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* antigen, MPT64, in serum samples [104]. MPT64 exists in serum as a disulfide linked homo-multimer. With multiple target sites on the same multimeric particle, MPT64 can be detected by a sandwich assay with the same aptamer on each side of the sandwich. In this study, coil-like fullerene (C60)-doped polyaniline (C60-PAn) nanohybrids were used as redox nanoprobe catalysts to initiate the oxidation of ascorbic acid. When linked to the MPT64 aptamer, these nanohybrids were brought close to a gold surface (also decorated with MPT64 aptamers) in a
sandwich joined by MPT64 multimers. In this configuration the electrons released by the oxidation of ascorbic acid were transferred to the gold electrode. This simple yet elegant approach for TB diagnosis showed selectivity to target antigen over several other serum proteins, a wide linear range of detection from 0.02 to 1000 pg/mL and a detection limit of 20 fg/mL MPT64. The delayed diagnosis and misdiagnosis of patients with MTB infection is the leading cause behind the spread and high mortality rate of TB [105]. Therefore, the possibility of rapid and accurate detection of MTB by these aptasensors is of great significance for the early diagnosis and treatment of TB.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), an electrochemical label-free detection method, can be an extremely sensitive method for target recognition at the electrode/electrolyte interface [106]. Here, aptamers are immobilized on a gold (Au) electrode and the remaining gold surface filled in by a self-assembled monolayer such as mercaptotethanol (6-MCH). This approach was used with a DNA aptamer as molecular recognition element for malaria detection, for which the response range of 1 pM–10 nM covered the diagnostically relevant concentration range of Plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase protein from the falciparum parasite species (PfLDH) [107, 108]. The aptasensor functioned well with a sample matrix of 10% human serum and could be regenerated for reuse by washing with 6 M urea.

Electrochemical aptasensors have been fabricated to be sufficiently small to insert into a vein for continuous, real-time measurement of specific molecular targets in situ in the living body. The limited surface area of these small devices leads to low faradaic currents and poor signal-to-noise ratios when deployed in the complex, fluctuating environments found in vivo. To circumvent this problem, an electrochemical roughening approach was developed to enhance the signal-to-noise ratios by increasing the microscopic surface area of gold electrodes, thereby allowing more redox reporter-modified aptamers to be packed onto the surface. These high surface area electrochemical aptasensors of less than 200 μm in diameter were used in a proof-of-concept study to measure continuous drug pharmacokinetic profiles over a 3 h period in live rats [109].

Colorimetric detection is gaining popularity in the diagnostic field considering its low cost and the minimal training needed to identify and interpret the visible signal. A colorimetric approach was used to develop a diagnostic device for tuberculosis with aptamers that bind to antibodies against the MPT64 protein secreted by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. When adsorbed to Fe3O4 magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) the aptamers decrease the ability of the particles to reduce oxygen to H2O2 [110]. Upon exposure of the MNP-aptamer suspension to anti-MPT64 antibodies, the aptamers preferentially bind to the antibodies, thereby increasing the available surface area of the MNPs with the resulting higher rates of H2O2 production. Inclusion of 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzo-thiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS), which is oxidized by H2O2 and converted to a colored product, signals the presence of anti-MPT64 antibodies [111]. Another format for a colorimetric aptasensor is a paper-based microfluidic chip. For this format, aptamers against bacteria considered as nosocomial and antibiotic-resistant were immobilized by ultraviolet crosslinking on a nitrocellulose membrane housed within the chip. Incubation with bacteria, washing and then the addition of biotinylated aptamers allowed the use of HRP-linked streptavidin to create a blue color based on the oxidation of tetramethyl benzidine (TMB) by the H2O2 product of HRP, which was trapped on the surface by way of its linkage to the streptavidin bound to the biotinylated aptamers attached to the target bacteria. This dual-aptamer microfluidic chip possesses many advantages such as rapid output (35 min), small size, higher specificity, and the capability to detect multiple pathogens simultaneously, which are ideal for point-of-care bacterial diagnostics [112]. A similar sandwich type aptasensor has been reported for the
early diagnosis of periodontitis, in which chronic inflammation is caused by many factors including pathogenic bacteria. Periodontitis is one of the major causes of tooth loss in adults. Aptamers were targeted against a potential biomarker of this disease, odontogenic ameloblast-associated protein (ODAM). The lateral flow strip format used a cognate pair of aptamers that recognize different sites on ODAM. One aptamer (2° aptamer) was attached to gold nanoparticles that were mixed with the sample to capture the biomarker. The second aptamer (1° aptamer) was present in a line on the strip to capture nanoparticles with attached biomarker. A control line with DNA complementary to the 2° aptamer captured the particles that did not have attached biomarkers. The biosensor had a detection limit of 0.24 and 1.63 nM in buffer and saliva samples, respectively [113].

4. Considerations for further development of aptasensor applications in diagnostics

Of the many aptamers that have been selected, very few have been applied as recognition elements in sensors and fewer have reached the stage of commercial availability. In this section we will consider some of the reasons that aptasensors, with all their promise, have been slow to come to the market in diagnostic devices.

One reason for the limited breadth of application of aptamers to diagnostics is that many of the biosensor platforms are new to the concept of incorporating aptamers as sensors. Therefore, the research focus has been on developing sensor platforms that are compatible with aptamers. For proof-of-principle devices, aptamers that have been previously demonstrated to function well on a variety of sensor platforms have been chosen as recognition elements. Consequently, the TBA and ATP aptamers have been incorporated into many sensor platforms [114, 115]. However, these targets are not relevant biomarkers for disease. With many sensor platforms now validated using these “prototype” aptamers the field has the opportunity to move forward to incorporate and optimize some of the many available clinically relevant aptamers for diagnostic applications.

Nucleic acids are more flexible polymers than polypeptides. Whereas there are two rotatable bonds between each amino acid side-chain in a polypeptide, there are six rotatable bonds between each base in a nucleic acid. This additional flexibility gives aptamers the property of ready structural rearrangement with target binding and enables their incorporation into many sensor platforms that rely on these rearrangements for creating signals. Thus, the fundamental principles underpinning antibody and aptamer-based sensors are different. Whereas antibody-based sensors rely on the uniformity of antibody structure and their bivalency, aptamer-based sensors rely on the flexibility of the monovalent aptamer structure and the structural changes that occur on target binding. Consequently, a single sensor format can be readily adapted to many antibodies, but each aptamer-sensor combination must be optimized to benefit from the unique structural change of the relevant aptamer. Thus, although there may be some applications for sensors that use the TBA and ATP model aptamers [116], their repeated use in developing and testing aptamer-based sensors has delayed the development of aptasensors for relevant biomarkers.

With the importance of aptamer structure and conformational changes for sensor development, a second challenge for reliable aptasensor development lies in the dearth of known aptamer tertiary structures. This deficiency results from several circumstances. First, biophysical determination of nucleic acid structure by conventional methods such as NMR and X-ray crystallography is more challenging than for proteins. Second, when structures can be determined by biophysical
means, these are often only the structures of the target-bound aptamers because the apo-aptamer structures are too flexible to be reduced to a single structure. Third, new aptamers are being reported at an increasingly rapid rate that is much faster than their structures can be determined. Finally, small modifications of existing aptamers that can have large effects on aptamer structure are often made for their application in sensors. Thus, aptasensors must be developed with little information about the tertiary structure of the aptamer employed and how it changes with target binding. The most likely route to obtaining molecular structure for most aptamers will be in silico modeling. Although not yet demonstrated to be adequate for accurately predicting the structures of short nucleic acids and how they change with target binding, molecular modeling techniques are improving and their successful integration into models for sensor mechanisms could eventually result in dependable strategies for engineering new aptamers and integrating them into sensors.

Many aptamer selection protocols require the availability of purified target molecules [117]. Protein target molecules are usually expressed as recombinant proteins by prokaryotic or eukaryotic cell cultures and then purified, frequently by affinity chromatography based on a capture tag. Like for obtaining antibodies, the protein targets must be pure. Difficulties can come if the recombinant protein is not post-translationally modified similarly to the native protein. For example, many biomarkers found in the blood are glycosylated in the native form, but the recombinant proteins produced by bacterial cells are not glycosylated. Due to their steric hindrance or by their altering the protein structure in the region of the aptamer epitope these modifications can make regions of a native eukaryotic protein inaccessible to aptamers generated against the recombinant protein equivalent expressed in prokaryotic cells [118]. An early screen for aptamers selected to bind non-glycosylated recombinant proteins should be to determine if they bind the native glycosylated protein. Approaches to selecting for aptamers that recognize glycan structure in the context of the protein will also be useful [119].

Cell-SELEX avoids the complication of the target lacking the native posttranslational modifications by selecting against the cell surface protein target in situ [120, 121]. However, the identities of targets obtained by Cell-SELEX are often not known. As well, Cell-SELEX is performed with cell lines that are different from normal or in situ cancer cells and that are cultured under conditions that differ from those in the body. In particular, cultured cells have adjusted to exist in serum, which is not present in vivo and to an environment of much higher oxygen content than cells in situ, both of which conditions might result in altered protein expression on the cell surface.

Another consideration for aptasensors is that they frequently must function with the target (analyte) in a complex sample matrix. Many sensors have been demonstrated to function well in simple buffers, while the most common biomedical samples (blood, serum, urine and saliva) are complex with many potentially interfering substances. Aptamer target binding affinity can be sensitive to the matrix in the range of dilutions commonly used to detect the analyte, such as 10 or 50% serum or urine [122, 123]. For biofluids, sampling methods must also be considered with a view to minimal invasiveness and small sample volumes.

As aptasensors are developed that avoid the pitfalls discussed here, these sensors will take their place beside the antibody-based assays and provide new capabilities such as continuous analyte monitoring and inexpensive devices that can be distributed to small clinics throughout the world and yet be connected by Bluetooth and other options to send their results to central clinics and distant physicians for improved monitoring of patients in rural and other isolated locations.
5. Prospects of aptasensors in next-generation diagnosis

Medical diagnostics is moving towards a future of individualized patient care. Individuals vary greatly in their response to specific drugs and in the rate at which these drugs are removed from the system [124–128]. Pharmacokinetic parameters have been reported to vary daily in the same patient [129] or with time of drug exposure [130] and between individuals depending on disease state [131–133], age [134], genetics [130, 135–139], concurrent medication [137], body fat composition [140], and even circadian rhythm [141]. Adherence to a drug intake regime is also a factor, particularly for care of the young and the aged [142–144]. For these many reasons, individualized diagnostics are considered a clinical necessity for improved patient treatment and for establishing effective therapeutic windows [145].

With the push towards individualized medicine as a desirable future approach for optimal patient care comes the need to move some diagnostics out of the clinic into the home. For this purpose, reliable inexpensive sensors that might be linked by wireless connections to clinical centers would be optimal. Some personalized diagnostics has long been available in the home. These include pregnancy tests and glucose monitors, which are based on antibody and enzymatic reactions. However, these are not linked to the larger medical care network. The course is now set for a huge expansion of personalized diagnostics that do not require trained operators on site, but that can transmit information to clinical specialists who can monitor a patient’s condition off-site and continuously. This is a niche for which aptasensors can provide a large diversity of options with their potential for long shelf-live under ambient conditions, simplicity of operation, ability to be designed for continuous use or repeated use, and compatibility with wearable sensor formats.

Aptasensors can also be applied to address the acute need for diagnostics during infectious disease epidemics by their placement in clinics located in isolated regions of the world and in individual physician’s offices that are distant from major well-equipped hospitals and clinical centers. With the additional capability of Bluetooth communication, centers of disease control can be quickly updated regarding the spread of infections, which will enable central authorities to rapidly initiate effective means of controlling a potential epidemic.

A future of diagnostics for individualized medicine and for the control of infectious disease outbreaks over vast regions will result from many cross-disciplinary collaborations that are already underway, which include experts in molecular biology, virology, medicine, engineering, diagnostics and other disciplines. With this effort, many of the aptasensors that are still now at the proof-of-concept stage, are expected to become major contributors to a future of improved personalized healthcare for all people, including those living in remote regions, and will help to stem future outbreaks of infectious diseases.
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Chapter 5

Systematic Deletion of Type III Secretion System Effectors in Enteropathogenic E. coli Unveils the Role of Non-LEE Effectors in A/E Lesion Formation

Massiel Cepeda-Molero, Stephanie Schüller, Gad Frankel and Luis Ángel Fernández

Abstract

Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) is a diarrheagenic human pathogen. The hallmark of EPEC infection is the formation of the attaching and effacing (A/E) lesion in the intestinal epithelial cells, characterized by the effacement of brush border microvilli and the intimate bacterial attachment to the enterocyte in actin-rich pedestal-like structures. The locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) in the EPEC genome encodes a type III protein secretion system (T3SS) that translocates multiple effector proteins into the host cell to subvert cellular functions for the benefit of the pathogen. These effectors are encoded both within and outside the LEE. In vitro cell culture infections have shown that LEE effectors are required for intimate bacterial attachment to the epithelial cells, whereas non-LEE effectors mostly play a role in modulating inflammation and cell apoptosis in the gut epithelium. We constructed a set of EPEC mutant strains harboring deletions in the complete repertoire of genes encoding T3SS effectors. Infection of human intestinal in vitro organ cultures (IVOC) with these mutant strains surprisingly revealed that non-LEE effectors are also needed to induce efficient A/E lesion formation in the intestinal mucosal tissue.

Keywords: A/E lesion, EPEC, effectors, infection, IVOC, T3SS

1. Introduction

1.1 Enteropathogenic E. coli

Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) was the first pathotype of E. coli to be associated with human disease and is a major cause of acute and chronic diarrhea in infants [1, 2]. The low microbial density of the small bowel caused by the forceful peristalsis in this part of the intestine is overcome by EPEC, which can successfully colonize the small intestine of humans [3, 4]. EPEC primarily affects children younger than 2 years old; however some outbreaks of EPEC infection in healthy
adults have been associated with large inoculum ingestion [5]. The mechanism of transmission of EPEC is the fecal-oral route. In the 1940s and 1950s, EPEC was an important cause of diarrhea in developed countries with a mortality of 50% during outbreaks, but nowadays the infection by EPEC in industrial countries has a limited importance. In contrast, in low-income countries, EPEC is still a major cause of infant diarrhea [5, 6].

1.2 Hallmark of EPEC gastrointestinal infection

The phenotype that defines EPEC infection is the attaching and effacing (A/E) lesion [2, 7]. By adhering to intestinal epithelial cells, EPEC subverts cytoskeletal processes of the host cell and produces the histopathological feature of the A/E lesion. This lesion, which was first described in 1980 [8], is characterized by the intimate attachment of the bacteria to the intestinal epithelial cells and elongation and effacement of the brush border microvilli. Later on it was shown that infection is also associated with cytoskeletal rearrangements, including the accumulation of polymerized F-actin in pedestal-like structures underneath the attached bacteria [9] (Figure 1). EPEC together with enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC) and Citrobacter rodentium (CR) is a member of the A/E family of bacterial pathogens that colonize the gastrointestinal tract via the A/E lesion. EPEC and EHEC are important human pathogens, while CR is a mouse-restricted pathogen [10–13].

Figure 1.
Localized adherence (LA), intimate attachment, and EPEC A/E lesion formation in the intestinal epithelial surface. At an early stage, EPEC interacts in a non-intimate manner with the intestinal surface mainly through the BFP and EspA filament. After assembly of the translocation pore, EPEC injects translocated intimin receptor (Tir). Ser/Thr phosphorylation of Tir induces its anchoring in the enterocyte plasma membrane, leaving the TirM region exposed for the interaction with intimin. Subsequent Tir-intimin interaction triggers actin polymerization and pedestal formation underneath the attached bacterium. Tir phosphorylation of residue Y474 engages the host adaptor Nck, which later recruits N-WASP and WIP. N-WASP recruits the ARP2/3 complex, which induces actin nucleation and polymerization.
2. EPEC virulence factors

2.1 A pathogenicity island called LEE

The ability of EPEC to induce A/E lesions is related to a pathogenicity island (PAI) of 35 kb called the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) [14]. The LEE comprises 41 genes organized in 5 principal operons (LEE1-LEE5) and several smaller transcriptional units (Figure 2) [15, 16]. Orthologues of LEE are also found in other members of A/E pathogens [11]. The LEE encodes all the structural proteins necessary for the assembly of a filamentous type III secretion system (T3SS) injectisome on the bacterial cell envelope [17, 18]. The LEE also encodes transcriptional regulators (Ler, GrlR, and GrlA), translocator proteins (EspA, EspB, and EspD), six secreted effector proteins (including the translocated intimin receptor), the outer membrane protein intimin, molecular chaperones, and a lytic transglycosylase (EtgA) [19]. The mechanism of LEE regulation is complex and depends on environmental conditions, quorum sensing (QS), and several transcriptional regulators encoded within and outside the LEE [20, 21].

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.**
Effectors of EPEC E2348/69. (A) Representation of the LEE island and effector genes espG, espZ, espH, map, tir, and espF. (B) Non-LEE effectors located outside the LEE are localized in integrative elements (IEs) and prophages (PPs). Effector genes are labeled in red. Pseudogenes are specified with asterisk. Scale of 5 kb is indicated at the bottom. Figure from [32].
2.2 The type III secretion system

The type III secretion system is a macromolecular transport apparatus that is used by many gram-negative bacterial pathogens (e.g., *Shigella*, *Yersinia*, *Salmonella*) to translocate virulence proteins, called effectors, into the cytosol of infected cells, thereby subverting host cellular functions for the benefit of the pathogen [22]. Since pathogens use this transport apparatus to inject proteins into the host cells, this structure is also known as the injectisome. The EPEC T3SS mediates the translocation of multiple effector proteins during infection. Some of them are encoded in the LEE, whereas others are encoded outside of the LEE being generally referred to as non-LEE effectors (Nle) [23, 24]. EspA filaments link the tip of the injectisome in the bacterial cell wall to a 3–5 nm translocation pore, formed in the plasma membrane of infected cells by the translocator proteins EspB and EspD (Figure 1) [25, 26].

2.3 Bundle-forming pilus (BFP)

Typical EPEC is endowed with a plasmid called pMAR2 which contains a 14-gene operon encoding the type IV pilus BFP [27, 28]. The BFP is a rope-like bundle, which allows EPEC to form microcolonies in a pattern called localized adherence and also mediates the initial interaction of bacteria with host cell surfaces (Figure 1) [29–31].

3. EPEC pathogenesis

EPEC tightly regulates its virulence genes in response to environmental conditions such as temperature [16], the increase of the pH of the small intestine [33, 34], and some hormones which are released during stress conditions [20]. Upon EPEC interaction with enterocytes, EspB and EspD proteins are inserted into the host cell membrane and assemble to form a translocation pore [25, 26]. EPEC then injects its own receptor called Tir, which is integrated into the plasma membrane in a hairpin loop topology, with the loop facing the outside of the cell where it serves as a receptor for the bacterial adhesin intimin [35–37]. Tir-intimin interaction induces clustering and dimerization of Tir, and this activates a signal cascade that starts with the phosphorylation of serine/threonine residues and leads to actin polymerization and pedestal formation underneath the attached bacterium [10, 38]. The most critical event for actin polymerization is the phosphorylation of the cytoplasmic Tir residue Y474 [39]. This induces a signal cascade which recruits the host cell adaptor Nck and N-WASP required to engage and activate the actin-nucleating ARP2/3 complex, which produces the actin nucleation and polymerization. Actin polymerization drives membrane protrusion and pedestal formation [10, 40] (Figure 1). Through the T3SS injectisome, EPEC translocates LEE-encoded effector proteins and additional effectors localized in mobile genetic element outside the LEE (Nle).

4. LEE effectors

Six effector proteins (EspG, EspZ, EspH, Map, Tir, and EspF) are encoded in the LEE island (Figure 2). Most of these, except EspZ, have important functions destabilizing the physiology of the intestinal epithelium, triggering cytoskeleton reorganization, inducing cytotoxicity and electrolyte imbalance which lead to
diarrhea [11, 41]. The rapid onset of EPEC-induced diarrhea is likely induced by the cooperative action of Tir, Map, and EspF, which inhibits the sodium-D-glucose transporter (SGLT-1), the major water pump of the small intestine responsible for about 70% of the total fluid uptake [42]. In addition, Map and EspF reduce Na + absorption by the sodium-hydrogen exchanger (NHE3) [43], and EspG1/2 proteins alter the membrane targeting of the Cl-/OH-exchanger (DRA), resulting in reduced Cl-uptake. These processes result in the accumulation of salts in the gut lumen, which drives water loss from the mucosa [44].

Inhibition of endosomal trafficking by EspG1/2 reduces the level of cell surface receptors [45]. In addition, EspF and EspG induce mislocalization of aquaporins (AQP), thereby reducing epithelial water absorption [46]. Furthermore, EspB, Tir, EspF, and Map induce microvillus effacement, and this reduction of absorptive surface likely exacerbates EPEC diarrhea [47]. While EspF and Map synergistically disrupt TJs [48], EspG1/2 induces microtubule disruption contributing to TJ disruption [49]. The effector protein NleA also disrupts TJs by blocking the delivery of new TJ proteins [49–51]. The disruption of TJs increases intestinal permeability and thereby likely contributes to EPEC-induced diarrhea [52] (Figure 3).

5. Non-LEE effectors

In EPEC prototype strain E2348/69, 17 functional Nle effectors are encoded in different integrative elements and prophages, frequently associated in gene clusters, with some effectors having duplicated gene copies and/or paralogs in different clusters [53] (Figure 2). EPEC infection is characterized by a weak inflammatory response [54]. Previous studies have shown that most Nle effectors and some LEE effectors inhibit the host immune response, which favors bacterial survival (Figure 4). Although NleF and NleH2 activate the NF-κB inflammatory pathway during early infection [ref], EPEC translocates several effectors that dampen the pro-inflammatory pathways of the cell [11]. Thus, a large number of Nle effectors inhibit host inflammation by different mechanisms, such as inhibition of the NF-κB (NleB, C, E, and H) and MAPK proinflammatory pathways (NleC and D) [55–58], inhibition
Classical methodologies to study effector functions

Most research on EPEC effectors has been conducted by generating deletion mutants in a single or a few effector genes that are later complemented with overs...
multicopy plasmids overexpressing the effector(s). In addition, ectopic expression of individual effectors by plasmid transfection of the host cell has been applied. Both situations are prone to effector overexpression resulting in nonphysiological levels of effectors inside the host cell, which could alter effector activities. In addition, effectors often have synergistic and overlapping functions that cannot be fully appreciated by single mutations and individual transfection experiments [11, 54].

In order to overcome these limitations, we employed a marker-less gene deletion strategy to delete the whole repertoire of known effector genes found in the genome of the prototypical EPEC strain E2348/69 [32]. The genome engineering method for sequential deletion of EPEC effectors was based on the marker-less gene deletion technique described by Posfai et al. [71] and is illustrated in Figure 5.

Using this strategy, a set of EPEC mutants with sequential deletions of effectors was generated (Table 1), ultimately resulting in strains expressing only Tir and EspZ (EPEC2), Tir (EPEC1), and the effector-less strain EPEC0 (Table 1). This approach proved to be effective to modify the genome of EPEC E2348/69, avoiding the introduction of unintended alterations in the genome and leaving no sequence “scars” or antibiotic resistance genes in the chromosome as demonstrated by whole-genome sequencing [32]. Besides, the deletion mutant strains showed normal growth and maintained functional T3SS injectisomes. In addition, they allowed the translocation of individual effectors from single-copy chromosomal genes under endogenous regulation, showing the expected phenotypes without the background of the other effectors [32]. Hence these mutant

---

**Figure 5.**
Marker-less gene deletion strategy of EPEC effector genes. Deletions using pGE-suicide plasmids with I-SceI sites and mutant alleles assembled by fusing homology regions (HRs) spanning the targeted effector gene(s). Co-integrants are identified by the Kanamycin resistance phenotype. Expression of the I-SceI in vivo from helper plasmid induces double-strand breaks that are repaired by homologous recombination. Depending on the HRs involved in this second recombination, either the WT or the mutant allele can be obtained. Figure from [32].
strains are an excellent tool to investigate the role of individual effectors and specific combinations maintaining physiological protein levels in the context of infection.

7. **LEE effectors are sufficient for intimate adhesion of EPEC to the epithelial cells in vitro**

When EPEC bacteria adhere in vitro to cultured cells, there is an accumulation of actin filaments in the cytoplasm beneath the adherent bacteria, due to a signal cascade triggered by intimin-Tir interaction [35, 38]. Using the effector deletion
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**Figure 6.**
Infection of HeLa cells with EPEC WT and effector mutant strains. Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy of HeLa cells infected with EPEC WT, EPEC2, EPEC1, and EPEC0 for 1.5 h using a MOI of 200. EPEC is labeled with anti-intimin-280 serum (green), actin is stained with TRITC phalloidin (red), and cell nuclei are labeled with DAPI (gray). Actin polymerization beneath adherent bacteria is observed in EPEC WT, EPEC2, and EPEC1. Scale bar 5 μm. Figure from [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Effector genes remaining *</th>
<th>Biopsies with A/E lesions positive/total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>13/17 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC2</td>
<td>espZ and tir</td>
<td>0/6 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC1</td>
<td>tir</td>
<td>0/6 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0/5 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC9</td>
<td>espZ + tir + IE2 + IE5 + IE6 + PP2 + PP3 + PP4 + PP6</td>
<td>5/6 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC2-LEE+</td>
<td>espZ + tir + map + espH + espF + espG</td>
<td>0/5 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Encoded effectors in the indicated IEs and PPs.

Table 1.
EPEC mutant strains generated with the marker-less deletion strategy.
mutants of EPEC, we demonstrated that the LEE effector Tir along with intimin is necessary and sufficient to induce these cytoskeletal rearrangements during in vitro infection of HeLa cells. Strains EPEC2 (bearing EspZ and Tir) and EPEC1 (bearing only Tir) were able to induce actin-pedestal formation underneath attached bacteria similar to the EPEC wild type (WT) (Figure 6). As expected because of the essential role of Tir in this process, infection of HeLa cells with the effector-less mutant EPEC0 did not induce any actin-pedestal formation (Figure 6). These data demonstrate that the individual translocation of Tir by EPEC1 is sufficient to trigger actin pedestals in HeLa cells and that non-LEE effectors are dispensable for this phenotype during in vitro infection of cultured cells.

8. Non-LEE effectors are required for efficient A/E lesion formation in intestinal tissue

EPEC pathogenic mechanisms have been widely investigated by in vitro infection of cultured epithelial cell lines, albeit in most cases these cells are non-polarized and are not from intestinal origin. In addition, EPEC infection studies in vivo are hindered because EPEC is a human-restricted pathogen [72]. A surrogate model established to investigate A/E pathogenesis in vivo is the mouse pathogen *Citrobacter rodentium* (CR) [12, 13]. Although *Citrobacter* infection in vitro requires Tir phosphorylation for actin-pedestal formation in cell lines, Tir phosphorylation-deficient mutants still colonize the mouse gut and induce A/E lesion formation and crypt hyperplasia typical of CR infection [73]. This result highlights the necessity of a model for EPEC infection closer to the in vivo conditions in the human gut. A good established model to study EPEC-host interactions is the infection of in vitro cultured human intestinal biopsies, which allows the formation of A/E lesions undistinguishable from those observed in vivo in biopsies of patients with EPEC-induced diarrhea [4, 36, 74]. Similar to results obtained in CR-infected mice, Tir phosphorylation was not necessary for EPEC A/E lesion formation in human intestinal biopsies [75]. Surprisingly, when EPEC2 and EPEC1 deletion mutants were used to infect human duodenal biopsies, none of the infected biopsies showed A/E lesions (Table 2 and Figure 7), which contrasts with the pedestal formation observed in HeLa cells. Thus, intimin and Tir are not sufficient to induce A/E lesions in the intestinal tissue, and the IVOC model was used to identify additional LEE or non-LEE effector(s) required for A/E lesion formation. For this purpose, two additional effector mutant strains were tested: EPEC2-LEE+ (carrying all LEE effectors) and EPEC9 (carrying EspZ, Tir, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Effector genes remaining</th>
<th>Biopsies with A/E lesions positive/total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>13/17 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC2</td>
<td>espZ and tir</td>
<td>0/6 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC1</td>
<td>tir</td>
<td>0/6 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0/5 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC9</td>
<td>espZ + tir + IE2 + IE5 + IE6 + PP2 + PP3 + PP4 + PP6</td>
<td>5/6 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC2-LEE+</td>
<td>espZ + tir + map + espH + espF + espG</td>
<td>0/5 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Encoded effectors in the indicated IEs and PPs.*

Table 2.
Human duodenal biopsies infected by EPEC WT and EPEC effector mutants.
all non-LEE effectors). Whereas infection with EPEC2-LEE+ did not reveal A/E lesions, infection with EPEC9 induced A/E lesions to a similar level as the wild-type strain (Table 2 and Figure 7). It was previously reported that the LEE island is sufficient to confer the A/E phenotype to *E. coli* K-12 in the infection of colon carcinoma cell lines [76]. However, our results indicate that the LEE is not sufficient for A/E lesion formation in human mucosal tissue and that non-LEE effectors are required [32].

9. Conclusions and future perspectives

The marker-less gene deletion strategy enabled the generation of effector-less strains of EPEC O127:H6 using the prototypical strain E2348/69 [32]. Given the conservation of the recombination machinery among *E. coli* strains, it is likely that
this strategy could be applied to other A/E pathogens, *E. coli* pathogens, and other bacteria. The effector mutant strains can be useful to study the role of individual effectors and of combinations of effectors in pathogenesis. An individual effector or a defined combination can be inserted in the effector-less strains in their endogenous genomic loci to obtain physiological expression levels and regulation. In cell culture infections, all EPEC effector mutant strains carrying intimin and Tir were able to trigger actin-rich pedestal-like structures underneath attached bacteria. On the other hand, when the infection was performed in human intestinal tissues, translocation of Tir alone was insufficient to induce A/E lesions. Furthermore, an EPEC deletion mutant maintaining all LEE effectors and devoid of all non-LEE effectors (EPEC2-LEE+) was still unable to induce A/E lesions in human intestinal biopsies. In contrast, an EPEC strain producing the complete repertoire of non-LEE effectors and devoid of LEE effectors, except Tir and EspZ, formed A/E lesions in intestinal tissue at wild-type levels [32]. Thus, these experiments revealed that non-LEE effectors are needed for A/E lesion formation in human intestinal tissue.

In addition to their potential for basic studies, the EPEC effector mutant strains may have different applications. For instance, EPEC (and other pathogenic) strains lacking multiple effectors are likely to be strongly attenuated, but they maintain the external antigenicity of the wild-type strain. Thus, an EPEC mutant strain with a functional T3SS and the minimum set of effectors necessary to colonize the intestinal surface could be a good vaccine candidate. Further, EPEC mutant strains with the ability to attach to the human intestine could also be engineered to translocate heterologous protein antigens to generate protection against other enteric pathogens causing diarrhea, including EHEC strains [77–80]. Lastly, the EPEC effector mutant strains may also have the potential to deliver therapeutic proteins to the intestinal epithelium, for instance, to combat inflammation and autoimmune disorders in the gastrointestinal tract [81].
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Abstract

E. coli is the most common cause of urinary tract infections (UTIs) in humans and is a leading cause of enteric infections and systemic infections. The systemic infections include bacteremia, nosocomial pneumonia, cholecystitis, cholangitis, peritonitis, cellulitis, osteomyelitis, and infectious arthritis. E. coli is also the leading cause of neonatal meningitis.
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1. Introduction

Escherichia coli strains compose, physiologically part of the microflora of the gastrointestinal tract [1–4]. Belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family, fermentative, non-sporulated and facultative anaerobic commensals, they are mainly from the large intestine [5, 6].

Despite being commensal microorganisms, they are the Gram-negatives which are most often a cause of human infections, having pathogenic strains that cause a wide variety of intestinal or extra-intestinal infections, such as urinary tract, intra-abdominal and soft tissue, sepsis, neonatal meningitis, gastrointestinal infection, and pneumonia, often leading to bacteremia [3, 7]. Although Gram-positive microorganisms have been increasing as a cause of sepsis due to the instrumentation of medical care—understood as the use of invasive devices or tools for the treatment or diagnosis of patients, and to infections associated with health care—E. coli continues to be an important and perhaps the most frequent cause of threatening infections in our environment [8, 9].

They are classified as Gram-negative bacteria and divided into 3 main groups: commensal lines, intestinal pathogenic lines (enteric or diarrhea) and extra-intestinal pathogenic lines [10].

Furthermore, Gram-negative bacteria produce large molecules consisting of a lipid and a polysaccharide, known as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), lipoglycans and endotoxin, which increases their pathogenicity in relation to Gram-positive bacteria [11].

2. Epidemiology

E. coli is one of the most commonly isolated bacteria in the bloodstream (responsible for approximately 20% of all clinically significant isolates) and is the...
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They are classified as Gram-negative bacteria and divided into 3 main groups: commensal lines, intestinal pathogenic lines (enteric or diarrhea) and extra-intestinal pathogenic lines [10].

Furthermore, Gram-negative bacteria produce large molecules consisting of a lipid and a polysaccharide, known as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), lipoglycans and endotoxin, which increases their pathogenicity in relation to Gram-positive bacteria [11].

2. Epidemiology

*E. coli* is one of the most commonly isolated bacteria in the bloodstream (responsible for approximately 20% of all clinically significant isolates) and is the
Gram-negative organism most frequently isolated in adult patients with bacteremia [12]. In the United States of America, E. coli sepsis was associated with approximately 40,000 deaths in 2001, a number that corresponds to 17% of all cases of sepsis [13].

Studies have shown an increasing incidence of E. coli early-onset sepsis in all age groups, overruling group B Streptococcus for the last 10 years. Beyond that, E. coli resistant strains also increased equally in all age groups, with high resistance rates to first line antibiotics available (ampicillin and gentamicin).

Very low birth weight newborns remained the group with higher incidence (10.4 cases per 1000 live births) and mortality (35.3%). Systematic use of PCR increased E. coli early-onset sepsis diagnosis, mainly in the term newborn group. There was also an increase in resistant E. coli strains causing early-onset sepsis, with especially high resistance to ampicillin and gentamicin (92.8 and 28.6%, respectively) [14].

3. Risk factors

Several hospital-based studies have suggested that a number of comorbid illnesses, including diabetes, malignancy, chronic lung disease, cirrhosis and heart disease, may increase the risk of E. coli bacteremia. Previous researches have also identified age (very young and very elder), hospital acquisition, comorbid illnesses, presence of shock, non-urinary focus, and antimicrobial resistance in conjunction with inadequate treatment as being associated with higher rates of death [15–17].

Dialysis, solid organ transplantation and neoplastic disease were important risk factors for acquiring E. coli bacteraemia. Ciprofloxacin resistance and non-urinary focus were independently associated with an increased risk of death [18]. For males, urinary catheterization and incontinence were associated as risk factors to Escherichia coli bloodstream, and for females, cancer, renal failure, heart disease and urinary incontinence were risk factors reported [19]. Several risk factors which have significantly mortality due to E. coli bacteremia are age, severe sepsis or shock, non-urinary origin, Charlson index, inadequate empirical treatment (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortality risk factor</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.04 (1–1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe sepsis or shock</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>14.64 (6.14–30.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-urinary origin</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>2.78 (1.24–6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson index</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.31 (1.08–1.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate empirical treatment</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>2.98 (1.25–7.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Results of multivariate analyses examining risk factors for mortality associated with bacteraemia due to E. coli [15].

4. Pathogenesis

The human gastrointestinal tract is normally inhabited by Escherichia coli, which is why they are the bacterial species most commonly found in the isolation
of fecal culture [20, 21]. By the time the strains acquire additional genetic material, they can become pathogenic and circulate widely throughout the body. Pathological clones are divided into two major groups: intestinal (among the most virulent enteric pathogens) and extraintestinal (less present, but not less dangerous) [22, 23].

4.1 Intestinal

4.1.1 EPEC

Typical enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (tEPEC) contains a virulence plasmid (pEAF) that encodes the bundle-forming pilus (BFP), the primary factor for colonization [24, 25]. In addition, EPEC carries the crossomic island of locus for enterocyte effacement, which features the eae gene, which is the encoder of a colonization factor in the outer membrane protein called intimin [26, 27]. Only the E. coli strain that has pEAF and the eae gene can be considered tEPEC, one that has only the eae gene and is called atypical EPEC (aEPEC) [28].

The small intestine is the most likely place for EPEC infection to occur. For the onset of diseases, tEPEC obeys the following steps:

- Initial localized adhesion of organisms to enterocyte via BFP.
- Induction of signal transduction in the enterocyte by secretion of protein toxins.
- Development of intimin-mediated intimate adhesion to the enterocyte.

Around 20 protein toxins are injected directly into the target epithelial cell, made, together with the intimin, by the chromosomal island LEE and expressed by both tEPEC and aEPEC [29]. The complex nanomachine called type III secretion injector is the one that injects protein toxins. It is assumed that some modifications happen to the epithelial stem cells, which is physiologically absorbent, and through a pathological process, it becomes a secretory dynamo [30].

What is believed is that type III ejection toxins are responsible for binding to protein elements of the cell's signal transduction apparatus. This event is accompanied by the mobilization of calcium from the intracellular compartment, activation of protein kinase C, kinase light chain myosin and induction of protein phosphorylation by tyrosine. The rearrangement of cytoskeletal proteins is induced by effectors, which results in the classic lesion "attaching and erasing," changes in the secretion of water and electrolytes and increased permeability of the tight intestinal junctions [31].

4.1.2 ETEC

Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) consists of ingestion of bacteria, intestinal colonization and production of virulence factors. Colonizing fimbriae (CFs) must be expressed by ETEC to allow the consolidation of the bacteria in the intestine [32].

After colonization, ETEC produces two classes of secretory toxins encoded by plasmids: heat-labile toxin (LT) and heat-stable toxin (ST). To be classified as ETEC, E. coli must contain one or both classes of toxins [33, 34].

LT toxin is related to Vibrio cholera toxins in terms of structure, function and mechanism. It works by stimulating adenylate cyclase and increasing adenosine
intracellular cyclic monophosphate (AMP), a fact that stimulates chloride secretion from intestinal crypt cells and inhibits the absorption of sodium chloride at the ends of the villi. After that, the water secretion is free in the intestinal lumen, clinically developing watery diarrhea [35].

STa toxin, the only ST variant that causes disease in humans, activates cyclic GMP of enterocytes, leading to increased chloride secretion and decreased sodium chloride absorption. As a final result, the secretion of free water in the intestinal lumen clinically appears as watery diarrhea [36].

4.1.3 EHEC

Among the pathotypes that cause the most severe conditions, the strains classified as enterohemorrhagic (EHEC) stand out, which are the most common to cause disease in developed countries [29].

They are bacteria responsible for food infections and represent a risk to the health of the population, so they must be monitored frequently. Thus, good hygiene practices, as well as the use of quality tools, are extremely important to help reduce the risk of cross-contamination and human infection.

EHEC has the ability to attach itself to the host and to produce shiga-toxins, which gives the strain pathogenicity. The toxins produced by EHEC cause damage to the mucosa of the large intestine, where they are absorbed by reaching the bloodstream, which makes it possible to affect other organs, such as the kidneys [37]. An average of 5–10% of patients confirmed with EHEC infection develop potentially fatal complications, such as hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), which leads to sudden renal failure and hemolytic anemia [38].

Outbreaks are related to the ingestion of contaminated food and water, causing watery diarrhea and hemorrhagic colitis to those infected. The disease has a sudden onset with severe abdominal cramps and watery diarrhea that progresses to bloody, on average after 24 hours, lasting between 1 to 8 days.

The treatment consists of supportive therapy for fluid replacement, since the use of antibiotics is not indicated, as there is no proven efficacy. In fact, it could increase the risk of developing HUS, since the death of the bacteria would increase the release of toxins, predisposing to the syndrome [39].

4.1.4 EIEC

Enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC) is very close to Shigella and develops a colitis similar to shigellosis. The intestinal cell is invaded by the EIEC which multiplies intracellularly and reaches the adjacent intestinal cells [40].

To differentiate Shigella from EIEC it is necessary to analyze the strains, those from EIEC ferment glucose and xylose, this differentiates them. Nucleic acid tests, including multiplexed panels, are used to detect organisms [41].

4.1.5 DAEC

Diffusely adherent E. coli is associated with diarrhea, which is characterized as watery and can become persistent in children between 1 and 5 years of age, occurring more frequently in developing and developed countries. In addition, this bacterium is also related to urinary tract infections and complications during the pregnancy period.

The pattern of diffuse adhesion in HEp-2 or HeLa cells is a characteristic that differentiates this pathotype from the others, although DAEC strains are quite
heterogeneous. This adhesion is mediated by fimbrial and afimbrial adhesins, which can cause damage to microvilli due to the disorganization of the cytoskeleton. However, some strains produce an adhesin involved in diffuse adhesion (AIDA-I), instead of encoding the diffuse adhesion pattern, which is why they are called atypical DAEC [42]. In addition, DAEC can also provide a pro-inflammatory effect [43].

4.2 Extraintestinal

The type of *E. coli* responsible for the invasion, colonization and induction of diseases in body sites outside the gastrointestinal tract is the extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (ExPEC). It is noteworthy that diseases caused by ExPEC range from urinary tract infections, neonatal meningitis, sepsis, pneumonia, surgical site infections to infections in other extraintestinal sites, representing a burden in terms of medical costs and lost productivity [44].

Thereto, the ExPEC strains were isolated from food products, in particular raw meat and poultry, indicating that these organisms potentially represent a new class of foodborne pathogens [45].

4.2.1 Urosepsis

Almost 25% of sepsis cases originate from the urogenital tract. [46–48]. Considering this percentage, the most common pathogen that causes urinary tract infection (and, consequently, urosepsis) is *Escherichia coli* (50%) [49]. It is known that this condition is better managed with an interprofessional team of health professionals—a nephrologist, infectious disease expert, urologist, intensivist, a nurse and a pharmacist [50, 51]. The outcomes after urosepsis depend on the cause and severity of the infection, and if the patient has a complicating factor in the urinary tract that is identified and warrants treatment, it should be performed as soon as possible. As an example, the literature reveals Foley catheter placement to relieve urinary retention or stent placement to bypass an obstructing ureteral calculus causing urosepsis. Moreover, the prognosis also depends on the type of bacteria, antimicrobial resistance, and patient comorbidity.

In addition to early antibiotics, there are some important parts of the management of sepsis. Initial fluid resuscitation with crystalloid is still recommended at a minimum of 30 mL/kg. Consider early administration of vasoppressor support to maintain a mean arterial pressure greater than 65 mm Hg. The first choice for vasopressor support in sepsis is norepinephrine (with epinephrine and vasopressin 2 and 3). Tight glucose control is also recommended, with corticosteroids and blood products being more controversial in the literature [52].

5. Antimicrobial resistance

Although *Escherichia coli* is one of the most-studied microorganisms worldwide, its characteristics are constantly changing. Elseways, one important global problem is the increase of antimicrobial resistance shown by bacteria, being considered as “threatens the achievements of modern medicine” [53, 54].

*E. coli* resistant strains increased equally in all age groups, with high resistance rates to our first line antibiotics (ampicillin and gentamicin), with relevant highlight in neonatal *E. coli* isolates from invasive infection [55]. Table 2 shows the temporal trends for antibiotic resistance to *E. coli*. 
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### Table 2.

Number, yearly percentages, and P values for temporal trend of non-susceptible cases of *E. coli* bacteraemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>27 (46.6)</td>
<td>24 (49)</td>
<td>24 (46.2)</td>
<td>50 (60.2)</td>
<td>54 (62.8)</td>
<td>46 (65.7)</td>
<td>55 (63.2)</td>
<td>70 (57.9)</td>
<td>35 (62.5)</td>
<td>385 (58.2)</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>14 (24.1)</td>
<td>11 (22.4)</td>
<td>13 (25.0)</td>
<td>28 (33.7)</td>
<td>21 (24.4)</td>
<td>28 (40)</td>
<td>32 (36.8)</td>
<td>41 (33.6)</td>
<td>20 (35.7)</td>
<td>208 (31.4)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>9 (15.5)</td>
<td>7 (14.3)</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
<td>14 (16.3)</td>
<td>16 (22.9)</td>
<td>22 (25.3)</td>
<td>27 (22.1)</td>
<td>13 (23.2)</td>
<td>125 (18.9)</td>
<td>99 (14.9)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin/clavulanate</td>
<td>9 (15.5)</td>
<td>4 (8.2)</td>
<td>9 (17.3)</td>
<td>16 (19.3)</td>
<td>8 (9.3)</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
<td>11 (12.6)</td>
<td>15 (12.3)</td>
<td>20 (35.7)</td>
<td>97 (14.9)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>4 (6.9)</td>
<td>6 (12.2)</td>
<td>5 (9.6)</td>
<td>5 (6.0)</td>
<td>8 (9.3)</td>
<td>6 (8.6)</td>
<td>7 (8.0)</td>
<td>8 (6.6)</td>
<td>8 (14.3)</td>
<td>57 (8.6)</td>
<td>33 (5)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin/tazobactam</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>4 (8.2)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>8 (9.6)</td>
<td>6 (7.0)</td>
<td>4 (5.7)</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>2 (3.6)</td>
<td>33 (5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 (4.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>5 (7.1)</td>
<td>3 (3.4)</td>
<td>12 (9.8)</td>
<td>4 (7.1)</td>
<td>31 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBL production</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>3 (4.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>9 (7.4)</td>
<td>3 (5.4)</td>
<td>22 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>4 (6.9)</td>
<td>4 (8.2)</td>
<td>5 (9.6)</td>
<td>9 (10.8)</td>
<td>9 (10.5)</td>
<td>12 (17.1)</td>
<td>15 (17.2)</td>
<td>17 (13.9)</td>
<td>12 (21.4)</td>
<td>87 (13.1)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Evaluation

The sepsis’ diagnosis confirmation is done from the evaluation of the clinical status of the patient, analyzing some criteria. For adult patients, it is confirmed or a diagnosis of sepsis is made when two criteria are present: hyperthermia > 38.3 °C or hypothermia < 36°C, tachycardia > 90 bpm, leukocytosis (> 12,000 μL-1) or leukopenia (< 4000 μL-1) or > 10% bands, acutely altered mental status, tachypnea > 20 bpm, hyperglycemia (> 120 mg/dl) in the absence of diabetes [56].

7. Clinical assessment and patient presentations

7.1 History and physical examination

Collect a careful history from patient, addressing information such as previous illnesses, surgeries, how long ago the symptoms started, if there are comorbidities, if it have traveled to a place recently and other details, added to a complete physical examination, which provides very relevant information and leads to a line of rationality, it is extremely important to start the development of a preliminary differential diagnosis of the patient’s complaints.

All this information collected is recorded and saved in medical records, more recently, electronics, which are more organized, more readable and allows a better comparison, in relation to written records [57].

Some of the most frequent reasons that lead patients to go to a medical consultation are dyspnea, cough with or without hemoptysis and chest pain, as these symptoms can be indications of serious illnesses, it shows the importance of asking questions and exams in a way attentive and careful [58].

7.2 Presentations

7.2.1 Pneumonia

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is the most common fatal hospital infection [59]. One of the bacteria most involved in the clinical picture in question is Enterobacteriaceae Escherichia coli [60, 61] and there is little awareness when it comes to the pathophysiology of E. coli pneumonia.

Studies show that these E. coli pathogenic islands (PAIs) are involved differently in the pathogenicity of the lung compared to those present in urinary tract and bloodstream infections [62]. In addition, research on mice has also shown that these isolated strains are highly virulent extra-intestinal pathogens that express virulence factors, representing potential targets for new therapy. A French national study also demonstrated that, despite the genomic and phylogenetic characteristics of E. coli pneumonia isolates from critically ill patients, they belong to the same extra-intestinal pathogen as E. coli, they have specific distinct characteristics when lungs [63].

7.2.2 Acute-bacterial meningitis

E. coli meningitis is rare in adult forms of the disease [64–66], but it is a frequent pathogen in the pediatric field [67]. Despite its rarity, it has a serious clinical course [64–66]. It is usually diagnosed based on clinical signs and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis.

Due to the severity of the disease, early diagnosis, adequate antibiotic treatment and hemodynamic control are essential [68].
E. coli meningitis follows a high degree of bacteraemia and invasion of the blood–brain barrier. With mortality rates ranging from 15 to 40%, Meningitis due to this bacterium leaves approximately 50% of survivors with some type of neurological sequelae [69–78].

Although the process is unknown, it is known that, for the onset of the disease, it is necessary to have an invasion of the blood–brain barrier by E. coli, which requires specific microbial and host factors such as specific signaling molecules for microbes and hosts. Thus, blocking these microbial and host factors that contribute to the invasion of the blood–brain barrier by E. coli is effective in preventing the penetration of E. coli into the brain.

With the complete discovery of this mechanism, it is likely that new targets for the prevention and therapy of Escherichia coli meningitis will be achieved [79].

Regarding treatment, it is currently known only that antimicrobial chemotherapy has limited efficacy [79–81].

7.2.3 Intra-abdominal infections

Intra-abdominal infections (IAI) are invasive and bacterial multiplications in the hollow organ walls and beyond. Usually, it is located in the abdominal cavity, in the retroperitoneum and in the abdominal organs, being a common complication in the post-surgical period [82]. In addition, they have a wide variety of pathological conditions, from appendicitis to fecal peritonitis, which makes IAI generally have a poor prognosis (especially in high-risk patients) and is an important cause of morbidity [83]. Mostly, the most common source of this infection is the appendix, followed by gastroduodenal perforations. The Gram-negative bacteria E. coli is the most common causative agent of IAI. Therefore, it is important to know that they have great sensitivity to imipenem, meropenem, mainly, and to amoxi-clavulanate, amikacin and piperacillin-tazobactam, next [84, 85]. However, amici-clavulanate is prescribed as a first-line drug in developing countries, due to cost factors [86].

7.2.4 Enteric infections

Although E. coli strains have been isolated as part of the normal beneficial flora of the intestine, some strains have developed pathogenic mechanisms to cause disease in humans and animals. One of these strains capable of causing diseases is enteric Escherichia coli (E. coli), comprising important pathogens, since they cause significant morbidity and mortality worldwide. Traditionally enteric E. coli was divided into 6 pathotypes, however two other divisions were proposed by several studies (as mentioned individually in topic 4) [87].

Although there are many etiological agents responsible for diarrhea, pathogenic E. coli is a major contributor. On the other hand, the onset and complications of enteric E. coli vary significantly, despite there are many common features in the pathogenic process of colonizing the intestinal mucosa and the onset of disease [88].

Outbreaks are common all over the world, with fatal consequences mainly in children under 5 years of age living in underdeveloped countries, where diarrheal diseases can lead to death more frequently [89].

The transmission of enteric E. coli is also a public health concern, related to the development of countries, since its transmission is through contaminated water and food. Thus, the seriousness in relation to the microorganism can be exemplified by national and international surveillance programs, created by developed countries that aim to constantly monitor outbreaks [90].
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In developing countries, these infections are mild and self-limiting, with EHEC and, more recently, EAEC and STEAEC being the main E. coli pathotypes associated with food poisoning outbreaks [91, 92].

7.2.5 UTI

Among the most common types of bacterial infections that occur both in the community and in hospitals, urinary tract infections (UTI) stand out. Urinary tract infections can be associated with the hospital (HAUTIs) and the community (CAUTIs). In the case of CAUTIs, it is known whether women are the predominant group of patients.

Although the UTI is multifactorial, the main bacteria related to the diagnosis is E. coli, predominant in both community and nosocomial UTIs [93].

Co-trimoxazole (trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole), nitrofurantoin, ciprofloxacin and ampicillin are the antibiotics commonly recommended for the treatment of UTIs. However, there is an overall increase in antibiotic resistance among pathogens in the urinary tract, which is a limitation on treatment options [94, 95].

Since the evidence suggests a significant relationship between the extensive use of antibiotics and antimicrobial resistance, it is necessary to prescribe and use antibiotics in order to reduce their complications and costs [96].

For this reason, in order to guide the selection of empirical therapy, surveillance of antibiotic resistance is crucial for determining the pattern of antimicrobial resistance [97].

8. Workup

8.1 Urine culture

It aims to check the presence of fungi and bacteria in the urine, being carried out from a urine sample, which was placed in Petri dishes. The urine culture is placed in an incubator (1–2 days) and if there is any microorganism in the tested material, colonies grow and are visible on the plate. When the result is positive for some bacteria, a test antibiogram is performed, which determines the type of antibiotic needed to act against the pathogen [98].

The culture of urine is important precisely because it allows the precise recognition of the bacteria and, consequently, the best antibiotic to be used [99].

As urine culture is most frequently requested when UTI is suspected, the most common bacteria found are Escherichia coli (between 47.5% and 56.4% of all urine culture) [100, 101].

8.2 Blood culture

Blood culture is part of the routine assessment of patients with suspected bloodstream infection, and is crucial to guide therapeutic intervention. The ideal method for collecting blood culture is venepuncture, since it increases diagnostic yield, and has lower rates of contamination, according to some studies [102].

Since the timing of blood culture collection does not influence the detection of clinically relevant microorganisms, most authorities recommend collecting several sets simultaneously or for a short period of time, with the exception of patients with endovascular infection who need documented continuous bacteremia [103, 104].
Two to four sets of blood samples should be collected, whenever possible, at independent locations [103–106]. For adults, the volume required for the examination varies between 40 and 160 mL of blood, and for babies and children, the volume is age-based and does not exceed 1% of the patient’s total blood volume [103, 107].

The importance of blood culture, as well as urine, is related to the determination of the bacteria and the antibiogram, which directs the treatment to the best antibiotic to be used [108].

8.3 Localization of underlying abnormality

In some cases, it is possible to suspect a complicated urinary tract infection/urosepsis without being serious urological abnormalities. In such cases, there are some screening options that can be performed to assist in the management of the patient. Thus, simple abdominal radiography, intravenous urography, ultrasound, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging are cited [109].

8.4 Imaging exams

The anatomical identification of most areas of infection has become common with the development of high resolution cross-sectional images, which allow visualization of bacterial and viral metabolism, early diagnosis and treatment. Thus, the cross-sectional image was included as part of the routine investigation of unidentified infection sites and sources of sepsis. The trend is that the use of these images will become increasingly widespread and become part of standard clinical care in the near future [110].

8.4.1 Ultrasonography

When abdominal sepsis is suspected, ultrasound is a valuable tool. As it is a portable scanning technique, it is ideal for clinically unstable patients who cannot be transported to an examination room [110].

Ideal for the diagnosis of liver sepsis and gallbladder, ultrasound identifies and indicates the presence and location of intra-abdominal fluids (subphrenic space, in pericolic or pelvis) [110–113]. Intrahepatic fluids are also well visualized, and can even be drained percutaneously with ultrasound guidance [110].

The main obstacle for ultrasound responses is air interference, highlighted in loop regions of the intestine with intraluminal gas, since the USG image is darkened and makes it difficult to visualize interloop abscesses or peri-pancreatic collections. The intestine in patients with disease due to sepsis or recent intra-abdominal surgery is also capable of compromising the quality of the ultrasound [114].

8.4.2 CT scanning

The availability of CT scanners with multiple detectors allows rapid acquisition of images, making this method the most common in the diagnosis and detection of intra-abdominal abscesses [114, 115]. It is an interesting option especially for sick patients who have difficulty holding their breath, obese or with abdominal or chest bandages.

In addition, CT is essential in the diagnosis of interloop and retroperitoneal pathologies (including retroperitoneal abscesses or pancreatitis or intra-biliary
As E. coli infections, in addition to being highly sensitive in the detection of chest pathologies (pneumonia, pleural effusion and localized collections) [113, 115–117]. For intra-abdominal fluids and abscesses, CT showed a sensitivity of 90–100%, while ultrasound showed sensitivity between 80% and 85% [115, 118, 119].

Due to the contemporary contrast protocols available, it is possible to identify by CT even small infected collections [110].

8.4.3 Hybrid PET/MRI systems

With the development of hybrid cameras, the combination of PET and magnetic resonance imaging was introduced, which despite having interesting advantages and clinical applications, is still such an expensive tool.

The simultaneous acquisition of PET and magnetic resonance imaging can provide quantitative molecular functional information about the inflammatory lesion and precise location, in addition to anatomical changes with movement correction, improving the differential diagnosis and guiding anti-inflammatory treatment strategies.

Since MRI cannot visualize all parts of the body at once, the new hybrid technique may require collaboration between radiologists and nuclear medicine doctors to interpret the image and can be more expensive than PET/CT (capital and operational costs).

The functional image of inflammation and infection was mainly restricted to the flat image and SPECT, however, with the increasing development of PET radiopharmaceuticals, the detection and quantification of specific aspects of inflammatory processes became more sensitive. Precisely for this reason, there is an interesting potential in the application of hybrid whole body PET/MRI in the context of the investigation of infectious and inflammatory diseases [120].

8.5 Biologic scanning

Imaging technique that uses biological radionuclides to track hidden infections and improve the specificity of the infection diagnosis that allows the detection of early pathophysiological changes even when there are no apparent anatomical changes. When compared to ex vivo techniques (blood culture), in vivo biological screening is preferred since it is accurate, does not require a sterile environment and does not expose the health team to the risk of contamination by blood-borne pathogens.

This type of tool is used mainly in patients suspected of infection or abscess, but who have had negative results for the cross-sectional image. Thus, the use of marked leukocyte traffic allows a response to hidden sites, based on the recognition of white blood cells marked with radionuclides. The marked leukocytes travel to the infection sites and allow noninvasive images in areas of hidden infection, such as osteomyelitis, orthopedic prosthesis, endocarditis or inflammation and intestinal disease [110].

9. General management of sepsis and septic shock

9.1 Hemodynamic support

Adequate organ perfusion must be ensured. Hypotension should be managed initially with intravenous fluid administration and the goal should be maintenance
of pulmonary capillary wedge pressure at 12–16 mm Hg or central venous pressure at 8–12 cm H2O. Urine output rate should be kept at greater than 0.5 mL/kg/hr. A mean arterial blood pressure of greater than 65 mmHg (systolic blood pressure greater than 90 mmHg) and a cardiac index of greater than or equal to 4 L/min/m² should be maintained. Vasopressor therapy should be initiated in the event of failure to achieve these goals with iv fluids alone. These include dopamine, dobutamine and norepinephrine [109].

9.2 Respiratory support

Ventilatory support should be provided for patients with progressive hypoxemia, hypercapnia, altered sensorium or respiratory muscle fatigue. A study of “early goal directed therapy” (EGDT) found that prompt resuscitation to maintain SvO₂ > 70% was associated with improved survival in patients of severe sepsis [121]. In this study, failure to maintain saturation after fluids and vasopressors was followed by erythrocyte infusion to raise hematocrit to 30%. Patients requiring mechanical ventilation should be adequately sedated and stress ulcer prophylaxis should be administered.

9.3 Metabolic support

Blood glucose levels should be maintained at less than 150 mg/dL during initial few days of severe sepsis and normoglycemic range could be targeted later. Frequent blood glucose monitoring should be done to avoid hypoglycemia in patients on intensive insulin therapy. Multi-organ dysfunction, if any should be managed. Disseminated intravascular coagulation, if accompanied by major bleeding, should be treated with fresh-frozen plasma and platelet transfusion. Hypercatabolic individuals with acute renal failure benefit substantially from hemodialysis or hemofiltration. Prophylaxis for deep vein thrombosis and nutritional supplementation should be undertaken [109].

10. Treatment of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae

10.1 Monotherapy vs. combination therapy for treatment

Considering the limited knowledge about the combination of antibiotics, the susceptibility of these pathogens to drugs and the lack of evidence to support the routine use of combined antimicrobial therapy, the decision regarding the ideal therapy is the responsibility of medical professionals [122]. Regarding the most appropriate approach, it is prioritized in the literature that the optimization of antimicrobial therapy includes adaptation of the appropriate antibiotics in terms of class, dose, frequency, route and duration [123].

The combination of different antibiotics has been widely used by large centers when it comes to invasive infections by multi-resistant Gram-negative bacteria [122].

10.1.1 Positive and negative aspects of combination therapy for treatment

The various positive and negative aspects of combination therapy are depicted in Table 3.
SvO₂ > 70% was associated with improved survival in patients of severe sepsis. "Early goal directed therapy" (EGDT) found that prompt resuscitation to maintain normothermia, hypercapnia, altered sensorium or respiratory muscle fatigue. A study of such patients should be undertaken [109].

Individuals with acute renal failure benefit substantially from hemodialysis or hemofiltration. Prophylaxis for deep vein thrombosis and nutritional supplementation should be administered. Disseminated intravascular coagulation, if accompanied by major bleeding, should be treated with fresh-frozen plasma and platelet transfusion. Hypercatabolic states also require metabolic support. Intensive insulin therapy. Multi-organ dysfunction, if any should be managed. Blood glucose monitoring should be done to avoid hypoglycemia in patients on mechanical ventilation should be adequately sedated and stress ulcer prophylaxis should be maintained.

A mean arterial blood pressure of greater than 65 mmHg (systolic blood pressure at 8–12 cm H2O. Urine output rate should be kept at greater than 0.5 mL/kg/hr.

**Table 3.** Comparison of positive and negative aspects of combination therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive aspects of combination therapy for treatment</th>
<th>Negative aspects of combination therapy for treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Greater probability of choosing an effective agent and well-founded theoretical reasons to support its use</td>
<td>1. Increased toxicity in treatment by combining antibiotics (nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity). In such cases, it is suggested to discontinue the old therapy and introduce a new one, based on the clinical evolution of the patient and the results of the culture and susceptibility profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Considering the increase in mortality related to the delay in the establishment of treatment and delays in appropriate and effective antimicrobial treatment, it is prudent to initiate empirical broad-spectrum antimicrobial treatment in the first suspected infection in critically ill patients</td>
<td>2. This type of therapy has not been shown to be effective by clinical data (meta-analyses performed with the evaluation of randomized clinical trials demonstrate that there was no difference in clinical results between the two strategies for definitive treatment of Gram-negative bacteria infections) [124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Indicated for patients with compromised immune systems, previous ICU admissions or who have recently received broad-spectrum antibiotics [125]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2 Colistin

Antibiotics such as colistin are the last resort to deal with infections by carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CREB), and when the pathogen does not respond to colistin, therapeutic options are severely restricted. Thus, it becomes necessary to restore the sensitivity of the pathogen to the drug [125].

The combination of colistin + salicylate + potent efflux pump inhibitor (BC1) has been documented with highly positive results, providing a connection between colistin and the efflux pump inhibitor (BC1), which prevents extrusion of colistin [126].

The reduction in affinity between the drug and Gram-negative bacteria is due to the modification of lipid A, linked to the appearance of the gene that confers resistance to bacteria, which is present in animals that receive colistin and are part of human food. Despite this, there is still no complete explanation of the mutation and resistance of Gram-negative bacteria (especially Enterobacteriaceae) in patients who received administered colistin [127].

10.3 Carbapenems

Due to the increased resistance of bacteria to cephalosporin (and aminopenicillins), the use of narrow-spectrum β-lactamases, especially carbapenems, has increased considerably, being the only β-lactamase antibiotics with proven effectiveness in serious infections due to ESBL-producing bacteria [128–130].

With the discovery of E. coli isolates capable of producing new β-lactamases, a new strain of E. coli was found capable of resisting the action of carbapenems, mediated by plasmids.

These enzymes are able to confer resistance to drugs of the class β-lactamases, and in relation to E. coli specifically, the main types of enzymes are CMY, CTX-M and NDM of β-lactamase [131].

10.4 Tigecycline and other tetracyclines

Tigecycline is a new expanded-spectrum antimicrobial agent in the glycyacycline class. Developed with the objective of overcoming the most common processes of bacterial resistance, the drug has emerged as a great therapeutic option in the...
treatment of serious infections, which endanger the patient’s life, and which no longer respond to traditional antibiotics. The use of tigecycline is mainly interesting for the initial therapy of major infections, and is largely effective in the action against multi-resistant Gram-negative bacteria [132].

10.5 Aminoglycosides

Aminoglycosides are natural or semi-synthetic drugs obtained from actinomycetes, used as an antibiotic since the beginning of bacterial treatment. As it was replaced in the 1980s by cephalosporins, carbapenems and fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides had little use.

With the increase in the number of cases of multidrug-resistant bacteria, aminoglycosides were again considered for their ability to synergize with a variety of other classes of antibacterials, improving the safety and effectiveness of the class through optimized dosing regimens, being broad-spectrum and quickly bactericidal.

Enzymatic modification by acetylation of an amino group, impaired uptake and phosphorylation of aminoglycosides are the most commonly reported processes that confer resistance to bacteria in relation to aminoglycosides [133].

10.6 Fosfomycin

Fosfomycin is an antibiotic from the 1960s, prescribed mainly in its oral form for the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infections (UTI), and considered as an option in the treatment of bacteria with advanced resistance, causing serious infections [134].

For E. coli NDM-producing strains, fosfomycin, colistin and tigecycline are more effective than other antibiotics [135].

The best pharmacological approach to E. coli infections resistant to carbapenems is still an obstacle to be overcome, since patients infected with this type of bacteria have more limited clinical results and when compared to patients infected with bacteria susceptible to drugs [136].

10.7 Duration of therapy

The duration of treatment for infection caused by Escherichia coli varies in the literature, but most patients require treatment for about 14–21 days [109]. For E. coli perinephric abscesses or prostatitis, it is recommended that the minimum antibiotic use time should be 6 weeks, intra-abdominal infections 14–21 days, and pneumonia 14 days (Table 4) [137].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Perinephric abscesses</th>
<th>Prostatitis</th>
<th>Intra-abdominal infections</th>
<th>Pneumonia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>14–21 days</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>14–21 days</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Recommended duration of antibiotic therapy depending upon the type of infection.

11. Special considerations

11.1 Urinary infections in diabetes mellitus

In general, infectious diseases occur more frequently and cause greater concern when dealing with diabetic patients. This occurs because the environment offered
by the organism is rich in glucose, which favors immune dysfunction, including decreasing the antibacterial activity of the urine and its motility [138].

Moreover, when comparing E. coli isolated in the urine of diabetics and non-diabetics, the same virulence factors and the same resistance to antimicrobials are found, inferring that there is no difference in the causative bacteria. This way, what makes the prevalence of urinary infections to be higher in diabetic patients is the greater adhesion of E. coli bacteria to diabetic uroepithelial cells, the reduction of urinary cytokine secretion and the number of leukocytes [139].

Hence, to treat the disease, the most commonly prescribed antimicrobials are used—amoxicillin, nitrofurantoin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) and ciprofloxacin. It is understood that the same treatment choice used by nondiabetic patients can be made, depending only on the local resistance patterns of the commonly found uropathogens [140, 141].

Generally, most uropathogens have a high resistance to TMP/SMX, in addition, this antimicrobial can cause hypoglycemia, which makes it not a good first choice of treatment for this portion of patients [142].

As for the treatment, it is recommended to consider the urinary tract infection complicated, it is advisable to keep the treatment for a period of 7 to 14 days [143].

11.2 Acute pyelonephritis

Acute pyelonephritis is an infection located in the upper urinary tract, which accommodates either parenchyma and renal pelvis, with Escherichia coli being the most common etiological agent [144, 145].

Approximately 250,000 cases of this disease are reported each year, with more than 100,000 eventually requiring hospitalization [146].

In order to confirm the diagnosis of the disease, the patient’s urine culture is performed before the start of antibiotic therapy [147]. In addition, it is recommended to perform a microbial susceptibility test in order to select the most appropriate antimicrobial regimen [148, 149].

If the diagnosis is uncertain or the patient is immunocompromised and suspected of having a hematogenic infection, blood culture analysis is requested [150, 151].

In the last few decades, there has been an increasing rate of resistance of E. coli bacteria to beta-lactam antibiotics of extended spectrum [152]. Thus, for patients with mild and uncomplicated acute pyelonephritis, fluoroquinolone is a good choice for initial outpatient antibiotic therapy, if the drug resistance rate is 10% or less in the community [153].

On the other hand, in cases of complicated infections, sepsis or failed outpatient treatment, hospital treatment is best indicated [154]. After antibiotic therapy, urine culture should be performed again after 1–2 weeks to conclude whether the treatment was successful or not [155].

11.3 Emphysematous pyelonephritis

Emphysematous pyelonephritis (EPN) is a severe necrotizing infection of the renal parenchyma and its surrounding tissues—resulting in the presence of gas in the renal parenchyma, collecting system or perinephric tissue—and is caused in 70% of cases by Escherichia coli (isolated in cultures of urine or pus from patients with the condition) [156].

The clinical evolution of EPN when not recognized and treated immediately can be serious and pose a risk to the patient’s life. Another fact that should be mentioned is that up to 95% of the cases of EPN are underlyingly associated with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus [157, 158].
In addition to the risk of developing EPN primarily, the risk of developing secondary to an obstruction of the urinary tract is considerably relevant, about 25–40% can be considered as positive findings in EPN [159, 160].

The combination of percutaneous drainage (PCD) and medical management (MM) revealed a significant reduction in mortality rates [161, 162]. Thus, it is recommended that PCD be performed in patients with localized areas of gas and the presence of functional renal tissue. Another approach that can be used in association with treatment is emergency nephrectomy, classified as simple, radical or laparoscopic [163].

11.4 Renal abscess

Being caused by kidney stones, structural abnormality, history of urological surgery, trauma or any other cause of obstruction, renal abscess can also be related to pathogens [164]. The predominant organisms causing renal abscesses are Gram-negative organisms, and the most common is *Escherichia coli* [165–167].

Among the various intra-abdominal abscesses, renal abscess is a rare entity, especially in children and accounts for a number of cases of “missed diagnoses” [166, 168].

With regard to the symptoms of pediatric patients, the presentation of fever, flank pain, with or without a palpable mass, has been established in the literature; increased leukocyte count and increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate [169].

Early diagnosis is a key factor in the management of these patients, which can be aided by Ultrasound (USG). Drainage of pus and appropriate antibiotic therapy is the gold standard for treatment, being able to treat a great amount of cases. Thereby, the most successful combination of antibiotics was ceftriaxone, being associated with amikacin. Cases that cannot be resolved by the conventional approach can be treated with surgery, such as nephrectomy. Thus, complications such as extension of the peritoneal cavity, skin or chest can be avoided [166, 167].

11.5 Perinephric abscess

Perinephric abscess results from perirenal fatty necrosis, usually a complication of urological infection (more than 75%) [170]. Most of these abscesses have *Escherichia coli* as the main responsible, about 51.4% [171]. Perinephric abscess, when more diffuse, is capable of affecting the renal capsule and also Gerota’s fascia [170]. Since the condition has an insidious onset of nonspecific protein symptoms, it is necessary for a clinical physician to maintain a high level of attention to avoid possible delay in diagnosis, since perinephric abscesses are associated with significant morbidity and mortality [172].

11.6 Renal papillary necrosis

Renal papillary necrosis (NPN) is a condition defined as ischemic necrobiosis of the papilla in the kidney medulla. Among several etiological factors important for the involvement of papillary necrosis, pyelonephritis due to bacterial uropathogens such as *E. coli* is one of those mentioned in the literature [173].

In order to improve the prognosis of the disease and reduce morbidity, the ideal is that the diagnosis of the disease is as early as possible. In this sense, it is clear that the radiological image is able to offer an early diagnosis and guidance in relation to the immediate treatment of papillary necrosis, thus minimizing the decline in renal function [174].
11.7 Prostatic abscess

Failure to respond to standard therapy for acute bacterial prostatitis can lead to complications, such as prostatic abscess or fistula [175].

Acute bacterial prostatitis is a common and clinically important genitourinary disorder that has a higher incidence in patients with diabetes, cirrhosis and suppressed immune system. Usually caused by an ascending infection, it can also be triggered by organisms that cause other common genitourinary infections that may also be responsible for acute bacterial prostatitis. Being introduced during transrectal prostate biopsy, the clinical presentation ranges from mild symptoms of the lower urinary tract to total sepsis, and *Escherichia coli* is one of the main bacteria related to the clinical picture.

Regarding the therapeutic approach, oral or intravenous antibiotics are most effective in curing the infection. In this sense, the progression to chronic bacterial prostatitis is uncommon. It should be noted that special attention is needed in relation to immunosuppressed patients, whereas bacterial prostatitis in these patients may be caused by atypical infecting organisms and, therefore, may require additional therapies [176].

12. Prevention

It is already known that iron is an essential micronutrient for most bacteria and hosts, in this thought line, it is also known that there are relatively rare classical siderophilic pathogens that cause an increase in hepcidin in the body, responsible for the sequestration of iron for macrophages and enterocytes and, consequently hypoferremia [177–180]. So, current studies investigate if this mechanism used by the body against rare siderophilic bacteria, it also works for a wider set of bacteria. Results of these studies are shown to be positive, by demonstrating that excess iron allows rapid bacterial replication and spread, which means a susceptibility to infection caused by *E. coli* and that hepcidin is essential to protect against infections caused by *Escherichia coli*. [181, 182]. Thus, the use of hepcidin agonists promises to be an effective early intervention in patients with infections and dysregulated iron metabolism to avoid complications.

With regard to urinary tract infection, an effective preventive measure is the characterization and correction of the underlying genitourinary abnormalities that promote the infection. Another alternative mentioned in the literature is the future development of catheters whose material limits the growth of biofilm [109].

13. Conclusion

Early symptom recognition, followed by appropriate investigations, accurate diagnosis and early goal-directed therapy, is essential to improve results. Patient management includes an interprofessional team approach, with microbiologists, radiologists, surgeons and intensive care physicians [109].
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Chapter 7
Computational Studies of Drug Repurposing Targeting P-Glycoprotein-Mediated Multidrug-Resistance Phenotypes in Agents of Neglected Tropical Diseases

Nivedita Jaishankar, Sangeetha Muthamilselvan and Ashok Palaniappan

Abstract
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1. Introduction

1.1 Multidrug resistance (MDR)

Bacterial evolution has been constrained to respond to the selection pressure of antibiotics and combined with their reckless use and has led to the emergence of varied defenses against antimicrobial agents. The main mechanisms whereby the bacteria develop resistance to antimicrobial agents include enzymatic inactivation, modification of the drug target(s), and reduction of intracellular drug concentration by changes in membrane permeability or by the over expression of efflux pumps [1]. Multidrug resistance efflux pumps are recognized as an important component of resistance in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [2]. Some bacterial efflux pumps may be selective for one substrate or transport antibiotics of different classes, conferring a multiple drug resistance (MDR) phenotype. With respect to efflux pumps, they provide a self-defense mechanism whereby antibiotics are extruded from the cell interior to the external environment. This results in sublethal drug concentrations at the active site that in turn may predispose the organism to the development of high-level target-based resistance [3]. Therefore, efflux pumps are viable antibacterial targets, and identification and development of potent efflux pump inhibitors is a promising and valid strategy potential therapeutic agents that can rejuvenate the activity of antibiotics that are no longer effective against bacterial pathogens. The world is searching for new tools to combat multidrug resistance.

1.2 P-glycoprotein

P-glycoprotein is a mammalian multidrug-resistance protein belonging to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily [4]. It is an ATP-dependent efflux pump encoded by the MDR1 gene and is primarily found in epithelial cells lining the colon, small intestine, pancreatic ductules, bile ductules, kidney proximal tubes, the adrenal gland, and the blood-testis and the blood-brain barrier [5]. This efflux activity of P-glycoprotein, coupled with its wide substrate specificity, is responsible for the reduction in bioavailability of drugs as it extrudes all foreign substances such as drugs and xenobiotics out of the cells. ATP hydrolysis provides energy for the efflux of drugs from the inner leaflet of the cell membrane [6, 7]. This protein is believed to have evolved as a defense mechanism against toxic compounds and prevent their entry into the cytosol [8].

P-glycoprotein confers resistance to a wide range of structurally and functionally diverse compounds, which has resulted in the emergence of multidrug resistance in medically relevant microorganisms. The pharmacodynamic role of P-glycoprotein in parasitic helminths has widespread clinical and socioeconomic implications, exacerbating the problem of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) whose causative agents are helminths and protozoa.

Sheps et al. [9] reported that 15 P-glycoproteins are present in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and Laing et al. [10] reported that 10 homologous P-glycoproteins were present in *Haemonchus contortus*. A bioinformatic and phylogenetic study conducted by Bourguinat et al. [11] on the *Dirofilaria immitis* genome identified three orthologous ABC-B transporter genes. These genes are suspected to be responsible for the P-glycoprotein-mediated drug extrusion of melarsomine in *D. immitis* and other parasites.

1.3 Neglected tropical diseases

Neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) encompass 17 bacterial, parasitic, and viral diseases that prevail in tropical and subtropical conditions in 149 countries and affect more than 1 billion people worldwide, according to WHO.
1.3.1 Leishmaniasis

Leishmaniasis is a disease caused by parasites of the Leishmania type. It is spread by the bite of certain types of sandflies [12]. The disease can present in three main ways: cutaneous, mucocutaneous, or visceral leishmaniasis [13]. The cutaneous form presents with skin ulcers, whereas the mucocutaneous form presents with ulcers of the skin, mouth, and nose [12]. Leishmaniasis is transmitted by the bite of infected female phlebotomine sand flies [14] which can transmit the protozoa Leishmania.

Gammaro et al. [12] first reported that the overexpression of P-glycoprotein in Leishmania species was responsible for the drug resistance of the organisms against drugs such as methotrexate. The multidrug resistance has been associated with several ATP-binding cassette transporters including MRP1 (ABCC1) and P-glycoprotein (ABCB1). Wyllie et al. [15] demonstrated the presence of metal efflux pumps in the cell membrane of all Leishmania species. Soares et al. [16] reported that natural or synthetic modulators of human P-glycoprotein such as flavonoids restore sensitivity to pentamidine, sodium stibogluconate, and miltefosine by modulating intracellular drug concentrations.

1.3.2 Onchocerciasis

Onchocerciasis, also known as river blindness, is a disease caused by infection with the parasitic worm Onchocerca volvulus and is transmitted by the bite of an infected black fly of the Simulium type. Symptoms include severe itching, bumps under the skin, and blindness. It is the second most common cause of blindness due to infection, after trachoma, according to WHO. Usually, many bites are required before infection occurs. A vaccine against the disease does not exist. Prevention is by avoiding being bitten by flies.

Ivermectin (IVM) is a semisynthesized macrocyclic lactone that belongs to the avermectin class of compounds. It is administered en masse and is effective only against microfilariae [17]. Bourguinat et al. [11] have found evidence of IVM resistance in Onchocerca volvulus. The clinical trial sampled patients before and after IVM treatment over a period of 3 years. The nodules collected from the patients contained IVM-resistant O. volvulus worms.

1.3.3 Schistosomiasis

Schistosomiasis is a disease caused by infection with one of the species of Schistosoma helminthic flatworms known as flukes belonging to the class Trematoda of the phylum Platyhelminthes. There are three main species of Schistosoma associated with human disease: Schistosoma mansoni and Schistosoma japonicum cause intestinal schistosomiasis, and Schistosoma haematobium causes genitourinary schistosomiasis. Other Schistosoma species have been recognized less commonly as agents of intestinal schistosomiasis in humans [18]. Pinto-Almeida et al. [19] demonstrated that drug resistance by Schistosoma mansoni to praziquantel (commonly employed drug) is mediated by efflux pump proteins, including P-glycoprotein and multidrug resistance-associated proteins.

1.3.4 Trypanosomiasis

The trypanosomiasis consists of a group of diseases caused by parasitic protozoa of the genus Trypanosoma. There are two main parasites such as Trypanosoma brucei, which causes the sleeping sickness or human African trypanosomiasis and Trypanosoma cruzi, which causes the Chagas’ disease or American trypanosomiasis.
These diseases are transmitted by several arthropod vectors such as Glossina and Triatoma. Chaga’s disease causes 21,000 deaths per year mainly in Latin America [21]. Benznidazole and Nifurtimox, only available drugs, however, have limited efficacy in the advanced stages of the disease [22]. Liu et al. [23] and Rappa et al. [24] concluded that Trypanosoma cruzi develops resistance to the drugs after prolonged treatment. It was shown that this happens due to the overexpression of the MDR1 gene, at high levels of the drug, which accumulates in the cells over time. Campos et al. [25] demonstrated that the drug resistance is continued throughout the life cycle of the worm.

2. Methods

The methodology is essentially similar to that in our earlier study on P-glycoproteins in priority infectious agents [26].

2.1 Determining the full helminthic complement of efflux pump proteins homologous to mammalian P-glycoprotein

The protein sequence of the human P-glycoprotein (P08183) was obtained from the SWISS-PROT database. The position-specific iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST) was performed against a search set of nonredundant protein sequences in the organism of interest, using hPGP as the query. Through a PSI-BLAST search, a large set of related proteins are compiled. It is used to identify distant evolutionary relationships between protein sequences [27]. In the algorithm, parameters were set with an E-value of 0.001, and the scoring matrix BLOSUM62 was used. This step was performed on all four organisms of interest (Leishmania major, Onchocerca volvulus, Schistosoma mansoni, and Trypanosoma cruzi). Hundreds of hits were obtained for P-glycoprotein, and these results were prioritized according to predetermined parameters such as medical relevance, annotation status, and the presence of conserved regions. Sequences having a high percentage of sequence identity and query coverage were prioritized. Specific UniProt searches of these protein sequences were performed using the accession number. The results were analyzed, and the P-glycoprotein sequence of each organism was finalized.

2.2 Multiple sequence alignment

The templates chosen for multiple sequence alignment (MSA) were 4M1M (Mus musculus), 4F4C (Caenorhabditis elegans), 3WME (Cyanidioschyzon merolae), 2HYD (Staphylococcus aureus), 3B5Z (Salmonella enterica). These five metazoan, algal, and bacterial templates were used due to their high sequence identity with the hPGP sequence. The target sequences and the five templates were aligned using ClustalX 2.1 [28]. MSA was performed in order to infer the homology and evolutionary relationship between the sequences of the biological data set. The clustering algorithm used was Neighbor Joining (NJ). The phylogenetic distance between the target sequence and the templates was calculated.

2.3 Homology modeling

The chosen P-glycoprotein sequences were used as target sequences for modeling using software such as SWISS-MODEL. SWISS-MODEL is an open-source, structural bioinformatics tool used for the automated comparative modeling of three-dimensional protein structures [29, 30]. Several P-glycoprotein structures
were modeled for each organism, using multiple templates. The templates having high sequence similarity with the target sequences were given preference. The models were built, and the PDB files of the structures were obtained.

### 2.4 Structure validation

The validity of the structures was checked using Procheck, an open source tool used to assess the reliability of the protein structure. It is a part of the SWISS-MODEL server. The structures were refined using energy-minimization protocols, and the least energetic structure corresponding to each protein was chosen for docking studies. The criteria used to assess the quality of the structure include model geometry and the Ramachandran plot. The Ramachandran plot describes the rotation of the polypeptide backbone around the N-Cα (φ) and C-Cα (ψ) bonds. It provides an overview of the distribution of the torsion angles over the core, allowed, generous, and disallowed regions. The three main parameters used to select the structures were:

1. Overall Ramachandran value
2. Phylogenetic tree distance
3. Taxonomy

### 2.5 Creation of the ligand dataset

The ligand data set was created by surveying the literature to determine the drugs which the pathogenic helminths are both sensitive and resistant to. Drug resistance which was conferred via efflux pump activity was given importance. This set of ligands was created for each efflux pump, comprising known and potential antibiotics. The canonical simplified molecular-input line-entry system (SMILES) of each drug was retrieved from the PubChem database. The PDB model of each antibiotic was then retrieved using MarvinView by converting the canonical SMILES [31].

### 2.6 Protein and ligand preparation

The efflux pump proteins and ligands were individually docked using the AutoDock Version 4.2.6 suite of programs [32]. The software consists of two main programs: AutoGrid, which precalculates a set of grid points on the receptor, and AutoDock, which docks the ligand to the receptor through the grids. The PDB files of the P-glycoprotein structures and the ligands were modified through the addition of Gasteiger charges, followed by the addition and merging of hydrogen atoms to each structure. These modified structures were then saved as PDBQT files using the AutoDock tools. A uniform grid box was then defined and centered in the internal binding cavity of each P-glycoprotein structure, and the affinity maps were generated using AutoGrid. This procedure was repeated for each protein-drug complex.

### 2.7 Molecular docking of the helminthic efflux pumps with known and potential antibiotics

Each drug was individually docked with each target protein using AutoDock 4.2.6. The local search algorithm used was the Lamarckian genetic algorithm, set to its default parameters. The docking parameters were set to 250,000 cycles per run.
and 10 runs per protein-drug complex, to obtain the 10 best poses for each complex. The best pose was defined as the conformation having the least binding energy. The 10 poses obtained for each receptor-ligand pair were clustered at 2.0 Å r.m.s. to validate the convergence to the best pose. The AutoDock was run, and the PDBQT file of the best pose of each docked complex was generated. The results were analyzed to verify whether the pathogenic strain could develop resistance to known antibiotics using efflux pump activity and if the novel antibiotics could be effective against the development of such resistance.

2.8 Calculation of differential ligand binding affinity

The differential binding affinities of the repurposed ligands were determined using the conventionally used drugs as a baseline. A lower value is indicative of a more stable complex. The differential affinity of the potential drug for a given efflux pump protein relative to the known drug is estimated as the difference in the binding energies of the known and potential drugs, as given by Eq. (1):

$$\Delta \Delta G_{\text{invest,known}} = \Delta G_{\text{bind,potential}} - \Delta G_{\text{bind,known}}$$

where $\Delta \Delta G_{\text{invest,known}}$ = differential ligand affinity, kcal/mol; $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$ = free energy of binding, kcal/mol.

2.9 Identification of interacting residues in each docked complex

The best pose of each docked complex was viewed using RasMol [33], and all interacting residues within a radius of 4.5 Å of the ligand were selected. The PDBQT file of each restricted complex was saved as a PDB file. The interacting residues of each docked complex were then analyzed.

3. Results and discussion

Extensive literature searches on Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) showed that leishmaniasis, onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis, and trypanosomiasis have started exhibiting multidrug resistance, mediated by P-glycoprotein efflux pumps [11, 12, 25, 34]. New drugs targeting NTD’s are undergoing clinical trials [35–37], and efforts are being taken to uncover the mechanisms of drug resistance employed by the causative helminths. The sequence identity of each helminthic P-glycoprotein with the human P-glycoprotein (hPGP) which was retrieved from the UniProt database (UniProt ID: P08183) was determined by running a PSI-BLAST.

3.1 Psi-blast analysis

The PSI-BLAST was performed on each target organism using hPGP as the query. The results were refined according to predetermined parameters such as medical relevance, annotation status, and the presence of conserved regions. The chosen efflux pump protein sequences were shown in Table 1.

The top hits of each PSI-BLAST were analyzed, and the hit having the highest Max Score was chosen only in the case of Leishmania major and Onchocerca volvulus. These protein sequences were fully annotated and had high sequence identities over a large portion of the protein sequence. The top hits of the PSI-BLAST of Schistosoma mansoni and Trypanosoma cruzi with hPGP yielded results having high
a large portion of the protein sequence. The top hits of the PSI-BLAST of These protein sequences were fully annotated and had high sequence identities over Max Score was chosen only in the case of chosen efflux pump protein sequences were shown in
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3.3 Homology modeling

The chosen P-glycoprotein sequences of the organisms were used as target sequences for homology modeling using the SWISS-MODELER. Each protein was modeled using several templates, and the predetermined templates were used if they were found to have a fairly high GMQE score. Each modeled structure was saved as a PDB file. The results are summarized in Table 4. Global Model Quality Estimation (GMQE) is a score that provides an estimation of the quality of the alignment. It is expressed as a value between 0 and 1, where the reliability of the model is directly proportional to the score. The GMQE of the homology models are found to be (mostly) between 0.60 and 0.70 for all organisms, with the exception of Trypanosoma cruzi, which gave scores in the range 0.29–0.52.

The templates 4M1M, 4F4C, and 3WME were found to be comparatively more reliable. Hence, only the protein structures modeled using these templates were used for further validation studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Name of protein</th>
<th>Sequence length</th>
<th>% of identity</th>
<th>Query coverage</th>
<th>Max score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leishmania major</td>
<td>P-glycoprotein</td>
<td>1341</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onchocerca volvulus</td>
<td>P-glycoprotein</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schistosoma mansoni</td>
<td>SMDR2</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypanosoma cruzi</td>
<td>P-glycoprotein</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. PSI-BLAST results of the target organisms using hPGP as the query.

Max Scores, but low query coverage. These protein sequences were also found to be unannotated. For these reasons, the proteins which had a lower Max Score in comparison to other results, but satisfied other parameters, were chosen.

The templates 4M1M, 4F4C, and 3WME were found to be comparatively more reliable. Hence, only the protein structures modeled using these templates were used for further validation studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template</th>
<th>Organism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>Mus musculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>Caenorhabditis elegans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>Cyanidioschyzon merolae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2HYD</td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B5Z</td>
<td>Salmonella enterica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Templates chosen for multiple sequence alignment.
3.4 Structure validation

The quality of each structure was assessed using Procheck. Criteria such as model geometry and the Ramachandran plot were used to validate the structures. The PDB file of each structure was used to run the Procheck, and the Ramachandran plot values were obtained. The Ramachandran values are summarized in Table 5.
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Figure 1. Multiple sequence alignment of the target sequence P-glycoprotein of *Leishmania major* (tr_Q4Q3A6) with the templates of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template</th>
<th>Phylogenetic distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Leishmania major</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2HYD</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B5Z</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The distance between T. cruzi and 4M1M is prioritized as the 4M1M and 4F4C templates were found to have higher sequence identity with the helminthic P-glycoproteins. Bold values signify the final template in the case of each agent.

Table 3.
Phylogenetic distance matrix between the target sequence of each organism and the templates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Template</th>
<th>Sequence identity</th>
<th>Query coverage</th>
<th>GMQE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Leishmania major</em></td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>34.43</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>36.09</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>37.30</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4Q9I</td>
<td>36.20</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4KSC</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4KSB</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5KPJ</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Onchocerca volvulus</em></td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>38.83</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>37.10</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3G5U</td>
<td>38.77</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4KSB</td>
<td>38.77</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4Q9I</td>
<td>36.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4LSG</td>
<td>38.77</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Schistosoma mansoni</em></td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>38.60</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>36.09</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3G5U</td>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3G60</td>
<td>42.11</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5KPJ</td>
<td>39.52</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4KSC</td>
<td>42.11</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4KSB</td>
<td>42.11</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4LSG</td>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trypanosoma cruzi</em></td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4KSC</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3G5U</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5TSI</td>
<td>23.43</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4LSG</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.
Homology modeling results.
The structures were finalized by analyzing overall Ramachandran value, phylogenetic tree distance, and taxonomy parameters. The 4F4C template was found to be suitable for all the organisms except *Leishmania major*, for which the 4M1M template was selected (Table 5).

3.4.1 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4M1M template for *Leishmania major*

The Ramachandran plots having a core region of at least 90% are prioritized for further studies. The core, allowed, generous, and disallowed regions are colored and distinguished (Figure 2). The red, brown, and yellow regions represent the favored, allowed, and generously allowed regions.

A more comprehensive analysis of the structure is provided by other programs that generate other data such as Phi-Psi graphs and Chi1-Chi2 plots for each residue type. Each Phi-Psi plot provides an analysis of the torsion angle of each residue type. The red, brown, and yellow regions represent the favored, allowed, and generously allowed regions (shown in Figure 3).

The Chi1-Chi2 plot describes the side-chain torsion angles combinations for each amino acid [28]. The darker regions indicate a more favorable angle combination (shown Figure 4).

3.4.2 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4F4C template for *Onchocerca volvulus*, *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Trypanosoma cruzi*

For all the three P-glycoproteins, the structures were modeled using the 4F4C template and as such showed remarkable structural similarity with respect to the Ramachandran plot (90.8% in the core region), and residue torsion angles. Figures 5–7 summarize this exercise.

3.5 Creation of the ligand dataset

Upon extensive survey of the literature, a comprehensive data set of the known and potential drugs was compiled (Table 6). The list of potential drugs comprises both unapproved, investigational drugs that are undergoing phase trials, and FDA approved antibiotics. In this study, these known drugs have been repurposed for other helminthic diseases.

3.6 Molecular docking of the helminthic efflux pumps with known and potential antibiotics

The molecular docking was carried out using the AutoDock suite of tools. The search algorithm used was the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm, and the docking parameters were set to 10 runs per protein-drug complex. Each docked complex yielded 10 poses, and the best pose was defined as the conformation possessing the least free binding energy.

3.6.1 Molecular docking results of benznidazole with P-glycoprotein (*Leishmania major*)

The drug benznidazole is docked with P-glycoprotein (*Leishmania major*), and their interaction is studied (Table 7). The best pose has a free binding energy of \(-5.00\) kcal/mol. The clustering was performed at 2.0 Å r.m.s. to validate the convergence to the best pose. The clustering figure (Figure 8) shows closer peaks near \(-2.5\) kcal/mol, whereas the least binding energy of the complex, that is, most
The structures were finalized by analyzing overall Ramachandran value, Phylogenetic tree distance, and taxonomy parameters. The 4F4C template was found to be suitable for all the organisms excluding Leishmania major, for which the 4M1M template was selected (Table 5).

3.4.1 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4M1M template for Leishmania major

The Ramachandran plots having a core region of at least 90% are prioritized for further studies. The core, allowed, generous, and disallowed regions are colored and distinguished (Figure 2). The red, brown, and yellow regions represent the favored, allowed, and generously allowed regions.

A more comprehensive analysis of the structure is provided by other programs that generate other data such as Phi-Psi graphs and Chi1-Chi2 plots for each residue type. Each Phi-Psi plot provides an analysis of the torsion angle of each residue type. The red, brown, and yellow regions represent the favored, allowed, and generously allowed regions (shown in Figure 3).

The Chi1-Chi2 plot describes the side-chain torsion angles combinations for each amino acid [28]. The darker regions indicate a more favorable angle combination (shown Figure 4).

3.4.2 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4F4C template for Onchocerca volvulus, Schistosoma mansoni, and Trypanosoma cruzi

For all the three P-glycoproteins, the structures were modeled using the 4F4C template and as such showed remarkable structural similarity with respect to the Ramachandran plot (90.8% in the core region), and residue torsion angles. Figures 5–7 summarize this exercise.

3.5 Creation of the ligand dataset

Upon extensive survey of the literature, a comprehensive data set of the known and potential drugs was compiled (Table 6). The list of potential drugs comprises both unapproved, investigational drugs that are undergoing phase trials, and FDA approved antibiotics. In this study, these known drugs have been repurposed for other helminthic diseases.

3.6 Molecular docking of the helminthic efflux pumps with known and potential antibiotics

The molecular docking was carried out using the AutoDock suite of tools. The search algorithm used was the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm, and the docking parameters were set to 10 runs per protein-drug complex. Each docked complex yielded 10 poses, and the best pose was defined as the conformation possessing the least free binding energy.

3.6.1 Molecular docking results of benznidazole with P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major)

The drug benznidazole is docked with P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major), and their interaction is studied (Table 7). The best pose has a free binding energy of -5.00 kcal/mol. The clustering was performed at 2.0 Å r.m.s. to validate the convergence to the best pose. The clustering figure (Figure 8) shows closer peaks near -2.5 kcal/mol, whereas the least binding energy of the complex, that is, most

### Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Template</th>
<th>Core region</th>
<th>Additionally allowed region</th>
<th>Generously allowed region</th>
<th>Disallowed region</th>
<th>No. of residues</th>
<th>Query coverage</th>
<th>Sequence identity</th>
<th>Phylogenetic distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leishmania major</td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>34.43</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>36.09</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>36.46</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onchocerca volvulus</td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>38.83</td>
<td>0.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>0.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>33.51</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schistosoma mansoni</td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypanosoma cruzi</td>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3WME</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Justification of the template chosen for each organism using the Ramachandran plot values and the phylogenetic distance between the target protein and the template.
Figure 2.
(a) The Ramachandran plot generated for P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major), modeled using the 4M1M template and (b) plot statistics of the P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major), modeled using the 4M1M template.

Figure 3.
Phi-psi plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of Leishmania major, modeled using the 4M1M template (a) Ala, (b) Arg, and (c) Asn.

Figure 4.
Chi1-Chi2 plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of Leishmania major, modeled using the 4M1M template (a) Arg, (b) Asn and (c) Asp.

E. Coli Infections - Importance of Early Diagnosis and Efficient Treatment

S. no. Drug PubChem CID 3-D Structure
1. Albendazole 2082
2. Amphotericin B 5280965
3. Artesunate 65664
4. Benznidazole 5798
5. Cladosporin 13990016
6. Dapsone 2955
7. Diethylcarbamazine 15432
8. Emodepside 6918632
Figure 2. (a) The Ramachandran plot generated for P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major), modeled using the 4M1M template and (b) plot statistics of the P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major), modeled using the 4M1M template.

Figure 3. Phi-psi plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of Leishmania major, modeled using the 4M1M template (a) Ala, (b) Arg, and (c) Asn.

Figure 4. Chi1-Chi2 plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of Leishmania major, modeled using the 4M1M template (a) Arg, (b) Asn and (c) Asp.

Figure 5. (a) The Ramachandran plot generated for P-glycoprotein (Onchocerca volvulus), modeled using the 4F4C template and (b) plot statistics of the P-glycoprotein (Onchocerca volvulus), modeled using the 4F4C template.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>PubChem CID</th>
<th>3-D Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Albendazole</td>
<td>2082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
<td>5280965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>65664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Benznidazole</td>
<td>5798</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Cladosporin</td>
<td>13990016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Dapsone</td>
<td>2955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Diethylcarbamazine</td>
<td>15432</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Emodepside</td>
<td>6918632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. no.</td>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>PubChem CID</td>
<td>3-D Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Fexinidazole</td>
<td>68792</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Flubendazole</td>
<td>35802</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>3365</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Furozan</td>
<td>67517</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>5291</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Ivermectin</td>
<td>6321424</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Jaspamide</td>
<td>9831636</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Mebendazole</td>
<td>4030</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Metrifonate</td>
<td>5853</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Miltefosine</td>
<td>3599</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Moxidectin</td>
<td>9832912</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Niclosamide</td>
<td>4477</td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="3-D Structure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3D structures of the drugs are visualized using python molecular viewer (PMV-1.5.6).

Table 6. PubChem compound ID and 3D structure of the ligands used for docking studies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>PubChem CID</th>
<th>3-D Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Nifurtimox</td>
<td>6842999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Oxamniquine</td>
<td>4612</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Paromomycin</td>
<td>165580</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>4735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Posaconazole</td>
<td>468595</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Praziquantel</td>
<td>4891</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Ravuconazole</td>
<td>467825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Sodium stibogluconate</td>
<td>76968133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Suramin</td>
<td>5361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Terbinafine</td>
<td>1549008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Thiabendazole</td>
<td>5430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Tipifarnib</td>
<td>159324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3D structures of the drugs are visualized using python molecular viewer (PMV-1.5.6).

Table 6.
PubChem compound ID and 3D structure of the ligands used for docking studies.
clustering is at $-5.66$ kcal/mol. This shows that convergence to the best pose can be achieved through consecutive dockings with more iterations. Figure 8(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor, and Figure 8(c) shows the interacting residues in the benznidazole-P-glycoprotein ($Leishmania major$) docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1.
clustering is at 5.66 kcal/mol. This shows that convergence to the best pose can be achieved through consecutive dockings with more iterations.

Figure 8(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor, and Figure 8(c) shows the interacting residues in the benznidazole-P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major) docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1.

Figure 6.
Phi-psi plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of Onchocerca volvulus, modeled using the 4F4C template (a) Ala, (b) Arg, and (c) Asn.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank of complex</th>
<th>Free binding energy (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>34.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.
Interaction of the drug benznidazole with P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major).

Figure 7.
Chi1-Chi2 plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of Onchocerca volvulus, modeled using the 4F4C template. (a) Arg, (b) Asn, and (c) Asp.

Figure 8.
(a) Clustering analysis of the benznidazole- P-glycoprotein docked complex. (b) Location of the binding site on the receptor (P-glycoprotein [Leishmania major]). (c) The interacting residues in the benznidazole-P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major) docked complex is viewed using RasMol 2.1.

Figure 9.
(a) Clustering analysis of the niclosamide- P-glycoprotein docked complex. (b) Location of the binding site on the receptor (P-glycoprotein [Onchocerca volvulus]). (c) The interacting residues in the niclosamide- P-glycoprotein (Onchocerca volvulus) docked complex is viewed using RasMol 2.1.

Table 8.
Interaction of the drug niclosamide with P-glycoprotein (Onchocerca volvulus).
### Table 9.
*Interaction of the drug Praziquantel with P-glycoprotein (Schistosoma mansoni).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank of complex</th>
<th>Free binding energy (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−5.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 10.
*Interaction of the drug Cladosporin with P-glycoprotein (Trypanosoma cruzi).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank of complex</th>
<th>Free binding energy (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−3.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 10.
(a) Clustering analysis of the Praziquantel-P-glycoprotein docked complex. (b) Location of the binding site on the receptor (P-glycoprotein [Schistosoma mansoni]). (c) The interacting residues in the Praziquantel-P-glycoprotein [Schistosoma mansoni] docked complex are viewed using RasMol 2.1.
3.6.2 Molecular docking results of niclosamide with P-glycoprotein
(Onchocerca volvulus)

The best pose has a free binding energy of $-5.29$ kcal/mol (Table 8). The
clustering figure shows the most number of conformations at $-1.30$ kcal/mol
(Figure 9). Figure 9(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor, and Figure 9(c)
shows the interacting residues in the niclosamide-P-glycoprotein (Onchocerca volvulus) docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1.

3.6.3 Molecular docking results of praziquantel with P-glycoprotein
(Schistosoma mansoni)

The best pose has a free binding energy of $-5.83$ kcal/mol (Table 9). The
clustering figure (Figure 10) shows the most number of conformations at
$-5.0$ kcal/mol. Figure 10(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor, and
Figure 10(c) shows the interacting residues in the Praziquantel-P-glycoprotein
(Schistosoma mansoni) docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1.

3.6.4 Molecular docking results of cladosporin with P-glycoprotein
(Trypanosoma cruzi)

The best pose has a free binding energy of $-6.23$ kcal/mol (Table 10). The
clustering figure (Figure 11) shows the most number of conformations at
$-5.0$ kcal/mol. Figure 11(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor, and Figure 11(c) shows the interacting residues in the cladosporin-P-glycoprotein
(Trypanosoma cruzi) docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1.

These steps were carried out for each receptor-ligand complex, and the least free
binding energy of each docked complex was determined. These results are summa-
rized in Table 11.

3.7 Calculation of differential ligand binding affinity

The differential affinity of the potential drug for a given efflux pump protein
relative to the known drug is estimated as the difference between the binding
energies of the known and potential drugs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infections - Importance of Early Diagnosis and Efficient Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 11.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Known drugs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L. major</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dapsone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benznidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipifarnib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flubendazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terbinafine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium stibogluconate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paromomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meglimine antimoniate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T. cruzi</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifurtimox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benznidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaspamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fexinidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suramin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravuconazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posaconazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O. volvulus</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mebendazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albendazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suramin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylcarbamazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivermectin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrifonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emodepside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. mansoni</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praziquantel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mebendazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxamniquine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albendazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesunate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benznidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipifarnib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furozan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suramin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrifonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leishmaniasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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Table 12.
Differential ligand binding affinity for each known-potential drug pair.
other helminths. The results shown in Table 12 of the repurposed antiparasitic drugs show promise for treatment against many of these infections. Cladosporin may be promising antihelminthics:

1. Leishmaniasis: Cladosporin (-7.63 kcal/mol), Jaspamide (-7.19 kcal/mol), and Nifurtimox (-6.87 kcal/mol).

2. Trypanosomiasis: Cladosporin (-1.21 kcal/mol) and Tipifarnib (-0.85 kcal/mol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Interacting residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4M1M</td>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
<td>Thr172, Asp173, Ser176, Ala683, Asp687, Ser876, Ala879, Leu880, Lys883, Lys884, Glu887, Lys996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>Val129, Cys133, Ala136, Asn179, Glu180, Gly181, Gly183, Asp184, Lys185, Met188, Leu875, Asp882, Lys930, Phe934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>Gln239, Leu240, Ala242, Tyr243, Ala244, Gly247, Ala248, Glu251, Arg785, Thr811, Ala815, Asn816, Ala819, Gln820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miltefosine</td>
<td>Asp173, Ser176, Lys185, Leu875, Ala879, Lys880, Lys883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cladosporin</td>
<td>Gln434, Leu437, Leu439, Val468, Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Asn899, Arg901, Thr902, Ser905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jasmpamide</td>
<td>Leu254, Ala255, Ala256, Ile257, Arg258, Thr259, Phe800, Asn805, Thr806, Thr807, Gly808, Leu810, Glu1115, Ile1117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nifurtimox</td>
<td>Ala288, Asn292, Gln769, Gly770, Phe773, Gly774, Gly778, Ala819, Gln820, Lys822, Gly823, Ser827, Phe990, Pro992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Praziquantel</td>
<td>Leu254, Ala255, Ala256, Ile257, Arg258, Thr259, Phe800, Asn805, Thr806, Thr807, Leu810, Ser1113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dapsone</td>
<td>Val474, Leu475, Phe476, Ala477, Gly521, Glu522, Lys523, Lys891, Thr894, Glu895, Glu898, Asn899, His1003, Arg1006, Ile1007, Lys1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benzimidazole</td>
<td>Asp685, Val688, Pro689, Thr799, Asp802, Lys804, Asn805, Arg813, His1003, Arg1006, Ile1007, Lys1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tipifarnib</td>
<td>Ala244, Gly247, Ala248, Val249, Glu251, Glu252, Asp1120, Gly1166, Asp1167, Lys1168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flubendazole</td>
<td>Phe159, Asp160, His162, Asp163, Val164, Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Ile897, Gly898, Asn899, Phe900, Arg901, Thr902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terbinafine</td>
<td>Phe159, Val164, Gln434, Gln437, Leu439, Val468, Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Ile897, Glu898, Asn899, Phe900, Arg901, Thr902, Ser905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium stibogluconate</td>
<td>Ser470, Glu472, Pro473, Val474, Leu475, Ala477, Glu522, Lys532, Glu895, Glu898, Asn899, Arg901, Thr902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paromomycin</td>
<td>Val164, Gly472, Pro473, Val474, Glu522, Glu898, Asn899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13.
Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of Leishmania major and the chosen drugs.

\[ \Delta G_{\text{Invest}} = \Delta G_{\text{bind, potential}} - \Delta G_{\text{bind, known}} \]

where \( \Delta G_{\text{Invest}} \) = differential ligand affinity, kcal/mol; \( \Delta G_{\text{bind}} \) = free energy of binding, kcal/mol.

For each disease, the differential ligand binding affinity is calculated for every known-potential drug pair. The \( \Delta G_{\text{Invest}} \) values are given in Table 12. All values are expressed in kcal/mol. The drugs having \( G_{\text{Invest}} \) values greater than the \( G_{\text{bind}} \) values may have better antihelminthic activity.

All values are expressed in kcal/mol. It can be inferred from these results that many of the repurposed antiparasitic drugs show promise for treatment against other helminths. The results shown in Table 12 serve as an indicator of which drugs may be promising antihelminthics:
may be promising antihelminthics: other helminths. The results shown in many of the repurposed antiparasitic drugs show promise for treatment against Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of E. Coli known-potential drug pair. The binding, kcal/mol. values are expressed in kcal/mol. The drugs having Ginvest. values may have better antihelminthic activity.

1. Leishmaniasis: Cladosporin (Paromomycin Val164, Glu472, Pro473, Val474, Glu522, Glu898, Asn899, Arg901, Thr902, Ser905, Glu975, Ala976, Phe978, Gly980, Leu981, Arg982, Gly983, Glu984, Lys987, Val988).


Table 13. Table 14. 

Table 14. Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of Onchocerca volvulus and the chosen drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Interacting residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>Mebendazole</td>
<td>Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Albendazole</td>
<td>Glu36, Gly37, Asp38, Ile40, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Val305, Ala308, Lys309, Gly823, Thr826, Arg827, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suramin</td>
<td>Lys720, Leu723, Ser724, Lys727, Lys923, Val925, Lys936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diethylcarbamazine</td>
<td>Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923, Asn924, Glu979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ivermectin</td>
<td>Arg172, Thr197, Phe200, Asp201, Glu204, Lys720, Lys923, Asn924, Val925, Ala928, Phe931, Ala932, Gly935, Lys936, Ile939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Praziquantel</td>
<td>Leu11, Glu165, Lys207, Asp212, Arg918, Phe920, Lys923, Asn924, Ser927, Phe931, Ala972, Glu975, Glu979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moxidectin</td>
<td>Leu11, Arg12, Asp15, Lys26, Lys30, Glu33, Pro374, Gln913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gly917, Gly1032, Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niclosamide</td>
<td>Asn4, Gly5, Ser6, Leu7, Ile48, Thr49, Val56, Lys59, Gly380, Thr381, Glu383, Gly384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flubendazole</td>
<td>Glu36, Gly37, Ser42, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Asn865, Arg867, Lys1043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thiabendazole</td>
<td>Phe504, Asn505, Cys506, Asp933, Lys936, Ile937, Glu940, Phe957, Asn960, Lys964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metrifonate</td>
<td>Gln840, His841, Gly843, Phe844, Ser847, Gln849, Asn850, Lys1057, Ile1058, Lys1060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emodepside</td>
<td>Arg172, Thr197, Phe200, Asp201, Glu204, Asp550, Val925, Ser929, Phe931, Ala932, Gly935, Lys936, Ile939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of Schistosoma mansoni and the chosen drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Interacting residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>Nifurtimox</td>
<td>Asn733, Asn734, Gln849, Asn850, Arg1056, Lys1057, Ile1058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benznidazole</td>
<td>Asn4, Gly5, Ser6, Leu7, Thr49, Val56, Arg205, Thr381, Gly384, Ala385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cladosporin</td>
<td>Tyr35, Glu36, Ile40, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tipifarnib</td>
<td>Gly373, Asp38, Ile40, Asp41, Ser42, Asn43, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ser856, Thr857, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jaspamide</td>
<td>Gln913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gln917, Arg918, Arg919, Lys923, Gly1032, Ph1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Phe1038, pro1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fexinidazole</td>
<td>Gly37, Asp38, Ile40, Asp41, Ser42, Asn43, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ser856, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suramin</td>
<td>Lys727, Lys923, Val925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ravaconazole</td>
<td>Ala910, Gln913, Tyr914, Gly917, Arg919, Gly1032, Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posaconazole</td>
<td>Glu33, Leu161, Gly917, Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923, Asn924, Glu975, Ala976, Gln979, Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Schistosomiasis: Cladosporin (−2.24 kcal/mol) and Jaspamide (−2.23 kcal/mol)

4. Onchocerciasis: Praziquantel (−4.81 kcal/mol) and Moxidectin (−4.18 kcal/mol)

3.8 Analysis of interacting residues in each docked complex

The best pose of each docked complex was viewed using RasMol 2.1, and all interacting residues within a radius of 4.5 Å of the ligand were restricted and analyzed. The results are summarized in Tables 13–16.

The interacting residues are shown and the binding pockets found in each protein sequence with respect to different drugs are highlighted. Analysis of the interacting residues showed certain binding pockets in each efflux pump protein studied. Certain residues were found to be preferred over others, for drug binding. These preferred binding pockets are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Interacting residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4F4C</td>
<td>Praziquantel</td>
<td>Asn505, Arg551, Asp933, Lys936, Ile937, Ile939, Glu940, Glu943, Asn944, Lys964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mebendazole</td>
<td>Arg12, Thr197, Phe200, Asp201, Glu204, Lys207, Asn924, Ala928, Phe931, Ala932, Gly935, Ile939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxamniquine</td>
<td>Ile40, Asp41, Ser42, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ser856, Ala860, Pro864, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Albendazole</td>
<td>Ser42, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cladosporin</td>
<td>Tyr35, Glu36, Gly37, Ile40, Phe263, Ala264, Ile265, Gly267, Thr268, Tyr271, Lys315, Arg830, Thr861, Asn865, Arg867, Thr868, Glu1040, Lys1043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jaspamide</td>
<td>Leu161, Lys207, Glu208, Gly211, Asp212, Lys213, Gly917, Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923, Asn924, Gly979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niclosamide</td>
<td>Lys26, Lys30, Ala910, Glu913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gly917, Lys1031, Gly1032, Phe1033, Thr1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nifurtimox</td>
<td>Tyr35, Glu36, Gly37, Ile40, Phe263, Ala264, Glu267, Thr268, Lys315, Pro864, Asn865, Arg867, Thr868, Glu1040, Lys1043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>Arg8, Leu11, Arg12, Asp15, Lys26, Lys30, Leu371, Pro374, Arg916, Phe1033, Thr1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benznidazole</td>
<td>Asn505, Arg551, Asp933, Lys936, Ile937, Glu940, Lys964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tipifarnib</td>
<td>Leu161, Glu204, Lys207, Glu208, Gly211, Asp212, Lys213, Val378, Asn924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>Ser42, Asn43, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Furozan</td>
<td>Ala910, Glu913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gly917, Arg919, Lys923, Gly1032, Thr1035, Ser1036, Phe1038, Pro1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suramin</td>
<td>Asn4, Arg8, Asp51, Glu55, Thr194, Asp201, Asn202, Arg205, Glu716, Gly719, Lys270, Asp721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metrifonate</td>
<td>Ile40, Phe263, Ala264, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala308, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Pro864, Arg867</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16.
Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of Trypanosoma cruzi and the chosen drugs.
1. P-glycoprotein (Leishmania major): (Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Ile897, Glu898, Asn899, Phe900, Arg901, Thr902, Ser905)

2. P-glycoprotein (Onchocerca volvulus): (Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867)

3. P-glycoprotein (Schistosoma mansoni): (Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276)

4. P-glycoprotein (Trypanosoma cruzi): (Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867); (Gly917, Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923); (Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039)

3.9 P-glycoprotein in E. coli

A PSI-BLAST was performed to search for P-glycoprotein homologs in E. coli using hPGP as the query. The top BLAST hits showed low percentage identity (<30%) and low score and were not annotated as bacterial P-glycoprotein. Though we could not reliably ascertain P-glycoprotein homologs in E. coli, there exist other mechanisms that could potentially lead to multidrug resistance phenotypes in E. coli. Multidrug efflux systems are of five types, namely the super-families ATP Binding Cassette (ABC) and Major Facilitator Super-family (MFS), Small Multidrug Resistance (SMR), Resistance, Nodulation, Division (RND) and Multidrug and Toxic Compound Extrusion (MATE). In E. coli, the examples for various systems include: MFS system Bcr, EmrB and EmrD; SMR family EmrE; RND family AcrB; and Mate family YdhE9 [39]. E. coli contains five putative ABC-type MDR-like transporters. These systems were all cloned and expressed in a drug-sensitive E. coli strain, and the drug resistance phenotypes were investigated. None of these systems provided an appreciable drug resistance to E. coli, except for YbjYZ, which conferred resistance to erythromycin [40]. The AcrAB-TolC system of E. coli is one of the best-characterized MDR transporters that is responsible for the acquisition of multiple antimicrobial resistance of the mar mutants, including resistance to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, ampicillin, nalidixic, and rifampicin [41, 42]. E. coli infections could modulate the pharmacokinetics of the drug enrofloxacin by altering the expression of intestinal P-glycoprotein in broilers [43].

4. Conclusions

The study of the human P-glycoprotein homologs, namely the P-glycoproteins of Leishmania major, Onchocerca volvulus, Schistosoma mansoni, and Trypanosoma cruzi has provided an insight into their drug resistance mechanisms. The investigational drugs such as cladosporin, jaspamide, nifurtimox, and tipifarnib are strong contenders for novel antihelminthic treatment. Known drugs such as praziquantel and moxidectin have shown great promise for use as treatment against other helminthic diseases.
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Chapter 8
Effects of UV-LED Irradiation on E. coli in Water Disinfection
Paul Onkundi Nyangaresi, Baoping Zhang and Liang Shen

Abstract
Ultraviolet light-emitting diode (UV-LED) is a newly emerging UV light source with a potential of replacing the conventional chemical methods, mercury UV lamps and xenon lamps in water disinfection applications. In this chapter, we will first give a general description on the status of E. coli disinfection in water by UV-LEDs. Then the main text will concentrate on our experimental studies. We will discuss the effects of single and combined UV-LED irradiation on E. coli in water, including the inactivation efficiency, the recover percentage after the UV-LED irradiation, the optimal wavelength for low energy consumption, differences in pulsed and continuous operations of UV-LEDs, effect of UVA-LED followed by UVC-LED irradiation and vice versa, and finally the effect of TiO2-assisted photocatalytic disinfection.

Keywords: UV-LED, disinfection, E. coli, water

1. Introduction
Millions of people including children die every year from infectious diseases caused by various waterborne pathogens [1]. Among the pathogens, a group of bacteria called Escherichia coli (E. coli) is one of the known carrier of the diseases such as diarrhea, urinary tract infections, respiratory illness and pneumonia [2]. Since E. coli are typically found in the environment, foods and intestines of humans and animals, they have been widely used as fecal indicator bacteria in water quality analysis [3]. Numerous countries and world organizations put a limit count of zero per 100 ml E. coli for drinking water. Passing this limit, it is an indication of the presence of faecally related pathogens in water, and hence a potential risk of high level of microbial waterborne disease outbreak [4]. Therefore, different water disinfection methods have been employed using E. coli as an inactivation target either in laboratory tests or in water disinfection plants. Among the different methods, the conventional use of chemicals such as chlorine can lead to introduction of disinfectant-resistance to bacteria [5], change of water taste and production of odor [6] and harmful disinfection by-products (DBPs) such as trihalomethane (THM) compounds, and haloacetic acids (HAAs) that are carcinogenic, mutagenic and reproductive toxicants [7]. Ozone is reported as an effective alternative disinfectant to chlorine due to its ability of reducing microbiological challenge to downstream disinfection. However, the ozone is also known in forming DBPs, particularly...
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1. Introduction

Millions of people including children die every year from infectious diseases caused by various waterborne pathogens [1]. Among the pathogens, a group of bacteria called *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) is one of the known carrier of the diseases such as diarrhea, urinary tract infections, respiratory illness and pneumonia [2]. Since *E. coli* are typically found in the environment, foods and intestines of humans and animals, they have been widely used as fecal indicator bacteria in water quality analysis [3]. Numerous countries and world organizations put a limit count of zero per 100 ml *E. coli* for drinking water. Passing this limit, it is an indication of the presence of faecally related pathogens in water, and hence a potential risk of high level of microbial waterborne disease outbreak [4]. Therefore, different water disinfection methods have been employed using *E. coli* as an inactivation target either in laboratory tests or in water disinfection plants. Among the different methods, the conventional use of chemicals such as chlorine can lead to introduction of disinfectant-resistance to bacteria [5], change of water taste and production of odor [6] and harmful disinfection by-products (DBPs) such as trihalomethane (THM) compounds, and haloacetic acids (HAAs) that are carcinogenic, mutagenic and reproductive toxicants [7]. Ozone is reported as an effective alternative disinfectant to chlorine due to its ability of reducing microbiological challenge to downstream disinfection. However, the ozone is also known in forming DBPs, particularly
bromate [4], that can cause irreversible effects on humans such as renal failure and deafness [8]. The latest water disinfection method employs the use of ultraviolet (UV) light irradiation whose wavelength ranges from 100 to 400 nm. The UV light irradiation is currently attracting extensive attention in water and wastewater disinfection because of its DBPs-free, and no need of chemicals that can cause ecological problems [9].

UV light is usually divided into four regions: vacuum (V) UV (100–200 nm), UV-C (200–280 nm), UV-B (280–315 nm) and UV-A (315–400 nm) [10]. Note that, water and air absorb all wavelengths below 190 nm. Therefore, only the wavelengths between 190 and 380 nm can cause biological effects [11]. Absorption of UV light by deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)/ and ribonucleic acid (RNA) of a microorganism or virus inhibits its normal replication leading to cell death [12]. However, the UV damaged DNA of some microorganisms particularly E. coli is known to undergo repair by mechanisms such as photo-repair that requires light in the wavelength range of 300-500 nm to activate a photolyase enzyme and dark repair that is light independent [13, 14]. This can greatly decrease the UV light disinfection efficiency hence posing a great chance of health risks of infection. The common UV light sources include: the sun, mercury pressure lamps, xenon lamps and newly emerging UV-light emitting diodes (UV-LEDs). Although the sun gives a cheap and green natural source of light, it is mostly unreliable and only UVA, and approximately 10% of UVB light reaches the earth’s surface [15]. Mercury pressure lamps which exists in two types: low pressure (LP) and medium pressure (MP) mercury lamps emitting a monochromatic light at a wavelength of 254 nm and polychromatic light at a broad range of 185–600 nm respectively [12], are the commonly used UV light sources in the current water disinfection systems [16]. However, these lamps are usually characterized with fixed wavelengths and limitations like short bulb lifetime, low energy efficiency, high operating temperatures and environmental pollution due to mercury [17]. On the other hand, xenon lamps are characterized by a broad range of wavelength (200–1100 nm), with 40% being UV consisting of UVC, UVB and UVA in ratio about 20%, 8% and 12%, respectively [18]. Therefore, the xenon lamp can exhibit both photochemical effect due to the effect of the UV light, photophysical and photothermal effects due to its high intense pulses [19]. The three multi-target effects can lead to complete destruction of the cell wall and the nucleic acid structure of a microorganism [20]. In addition, the xenon lamps have high penetration, high energy conversion, no pre-heating is needed, faster start-up and no ozone generation [21]. Although the xenon lamp exhibits the above mentioned advantages over the sun and mercury pressure lamps, they have a high energy demand which is un-preferable especially in developing countries. The lamps are also limited in adjusting the duty rates and pulse frequency due to overheating that will affect disinfection efficiency [22]. The newly emerging UV-LEDs are characterized with diversity in wavelengths within the UV range and have advantages such as environmental friendly (no mercury), compact and durable, faster start-up, potential to minimize energy consumption, longer lifetime, and a high frequency switching [23–25].

Therefore, due to their characteristics and advantages, the UV-LEDs have arisen as a very promising UV light sources in water disinfection applications as demonstrated in literature [23, 26–28]. Especially, UV-LED reactors can be utilized in small scale since they can be photovoltaic powered, which is convenient in remote areas since they can be photovoltaic powered [29–31]. Although the wall plug efficiency (WPE) of UV mercury lamps (15–35%) is higher than that of UV-LEDs ( < 10%), the latter is expected to be improved significantly, being similar to the case seen in visible LEDs whose WPE is currently around 80% [32, 33]. In water disinfection, the UV-LED irradiation can be applied in two modes: (i) pulsed light
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(PL) and (ii) continuous wave (CW) mode. Whereas PL irradiation is a fast non-thermal technology for decontamination based on the application of short pulses of high intensity of light [22], CW application on the other hand is based on the application of low light intensity [34]. Furthermore, the diverse nature of the UV-LED wavelengths allows for tailored irradiation in which the wavelengths can be irradiated at the same time (simultaneous) or one after the other (sequential). During the disinfection applications, the mechanism of the two irradiation modes can either be photolytic or photocatalytic. In photolytic disinfection, only UV light is involved such that the absorbed photons inactivate the pathogen [12]. Meanwhile, photocatalytic disinfection involves combining UV light and a photocatalyst such as TiO$_2$, that has the ability to absorb UV light of appropriate photon energy (Eq. (1)), and in an air-saturated or water environment, radicals such as OH$^\bullet$ and O$_2$ $^{•-}$ that are highly destructive towards microorganisms are produced [35]. Therefore, this chapter discusses effects of UV-LED irradiation on E. coli in water, including inactivation efficiency, recover percentage after the UV-LED irradiation, and energy consumption, in terms of single and combined wavelength, PL and CW operations, simultaneous and sequential modes, and finally the effect of TiO$_2$-assisted photocatalysis.

\[
E = \frac{hc}{\lambda}
\]  

where $E$ is the photon energy, $h$ is the plank’s constant $=6.63 \times 10^{-34}$ J s, $c$ is the speed of light in a vacuum $=3.0 \times 10^8$ m/s and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the UV light (m).

2. Indices of inactivation and repair performance for UV-LED disinfection

2.1 Evaluation of inactivation

2.1.1 Inactivation efficiencies

The inactivation efficiency of E. coli was analyzed by calculating log inactivation using Eq. (2).

\[
\text{Log inactivation} = \log \left( \frac{N_0}{N} \right)
\]  

where $N_0$ and $N$ are the colony count (CFU/mL) before and immediately after inactivation, respectively.

2.1.2 Synergistic inactivation efficiencies

Synergistic effect of combined wavelengths on the E. coli inactivation is compared from the results of log inactivation by combined UV-LEDs and the results from the sum of log inactivation by individual UV-LEDs. Therefore, the synergy values were calculated using the relation:

Synergy (Log units) = Log inactivation by combined UV-LEDs – Sum of log inactivation by individual UV-LEDs.
2.2 Evaluation of repair

2.2.1 Repair efficiencies

The percentage of repair either due to photo-repair or dark repair was quantified using Eq. (3) [36].

\[
\text{Percentage of repair (\%) = } \frac{N_t - N}{N_0 - N} \cdot 100\% \quad (3)
\]

where \(N_0\) is the cell number before UV irradiation (CFU/mL), \(N\) is the immediate cell number after UV irradiation (CFU/mL), \(N_t\) is the cell number after repair for a period of time, \(t\) (CFU/mL).

In addition, the repair can be expressed as a function of the survival ratio (Eq. (4)) in respect of the initial microorganism concentration before the inactivation process [37].

\[
S = \frac{N_t}{N_0} \cdot 100\% \quad (4)
\]

where \(S\) is the survival ratio at time \(t\) (%); \(N_0\) and \(N_t\) have the same meaning as above.

2.2.2 Repair kinetics

2.2.2.1 Modeling photo-repair

A non-linear regression model was used to model photo-repair (Eq. (5)) [38, 39].

\[
S = \frac{S_m}{1 + \left(\frac{S_m}{N_0} - 1\right) \cdot e^{-k_2 S_m t}} \quad (5)
\]

where \(S_m\) is the maximum limit of the microorganisms’ survival by repair and \(S_0\) is the survival ratio immediately after UV irradiation, \(k_2\) is the growth second-order repair rate constant.

Note that \(k_2\) is not a pure repair rate constant, it is rather a model parameter that is adjusted to predict the experimental data whose physical meaning is related to the time required to reach \(S_m\) and then the stabilization phase [38, 39]. Therefore, a pure repair rate constant, \(K\) (Eq. (6)) can be obtained from the derivatives of Eq. (5) and its maximum value, \(K_{\text{max}}\) (Eq. (7)) is obtained when \(S\) reaches half of \(S_m\) [40].

\[
K = \frac{ds}{dt} = k_2(S_m - S) \cdot S \quad (6)
\]

\[
K_{\text{max}} = \frac{k_2(S_m)^2}{4} \quad (7)
\]

2.2.2.2 Modeling dark repair

A model that considers a low and brief repair period and a decay phase was used in modeling dark repair (Eq. (8)) [38, 39].
2.2 Evaluation of repair

2.2.1 Repair efficiencies

The percentage of repair either due to photo-repair or dark repair was quantified using Eq. (3) [36].

\[
\text{Percentage of repair} \% = \frac{N_t}{N_0} - \frac{N}{N_0} \times 100\% \quad (3)
\]

where \(N_0\) is the cell number before UV irradiation (CFU/mL), \(N\) is the immediate cell number after UV irradiation (CFU/mL), \(N_t\) is the cell number after repair for a period of time, \(t\) (CFU/mL).

In addition, the repair can be expressed as a function of the survival ratio (Eq. (4)) in respect of the initial microorganism concentration before the inactivation process [37].

\[
S = \frac{S_m}{1 + \left(\frac{S_m}{S_0} - 1\right) \cdot e^{-k_2 S_m t}} - M \cdot t \quad (4)
\]

where \(M\) is the mortality, a zero-order decay rate constant, while the other parameters have the same meaning as in Eq. (5). Note that, \(S, S_m, S_0, k_2, M\) and \(t\) in Eqs. (5) and (8) have a clear physical significance.

2.2.2 Repair kinetics

2.2.2.1 Modeling photo-repair

A non-linear regression model was used to model photo-repair (Eq. (5)) [38, 39].

\[
S = \frac{S_m}{1 + \left(\frac{S_m}{S_0} - 1\right) \cdot e^{-k_2 S_m t}} - M \cdot t \quad (5)
\]

where \(S_m\) is the maximum limit of the microorganisms’ survival by repair and \(S_0\) is the survival ratio immediately after UV irradiation, \(k_2\) is the growth second-order repair rate constant.

Note that \(k_2\) is not a pure repair rate constant, it is rather a model parameter that is adjusted to predict the experimental data whose physical meaning is related to the time required to reach \(S_m\) and then the stabilization phase [38, 39]. Therefore, a pure repair rate constant, \(K\) (Eq. (6)) can be obtained from the derivatives of Eq. (5) and its maximum value, \(K_{\text{max}}\) (Eq. (7)) is obtained when \(S\) reaches half of \(S_m\) [40].

\[
K = \frac{ds}{dt} = k_2 S_m - S \quad (6)
\]

\[
K_{\text{max}} = \frac{k_2 S_m}{\left(\frac{S_m}{S_0} - 1\right)^2} \quad (7)
\]

2.2.2.2 Modeling dark repair

A model that considers a low and brief repair period and a decay phase was used in modeling dark repair (Eq. (8)) [38, 39].

\[
S = \frac{S_m}{1 + \left(\frac{S_m}{S_0} - 1\right) \cdot e^{-k_2 S_m t}} - M \cdot t \quad (8)
\]

where \(M\) is the mortality, a zero-order decay rate constant, while the other parameters have the same meaning as in Eq. (5). Note that, \(S, S_m, S_0, k_2, M\) and \(t\) in Eqs. (5) and (8) have a clear physical significance.

2.3 UV-LED technical parameters

2.3.1 Emission spectrum and optical power

The action spectrum of a microorganism is directly related to the LED emission spectrum i.e., the wavelength and the full width at half maximum [41–44]. Therefore, the determination of the LED emission spectrum before any experimental study is crucial. In this chapter, UV-LEDs with emissions at 265, 280, 310 and 365 nm, optical power of 1.8, 1.6, 1.3, 100 mW respectively at current of 20, 20, 20, 350 mA achieved at voltages of 6.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 4.0 V respectively (Great Bright Company, China) were used. The optical power was measured by an integrating sphere. Meanwhile the emission spectra measured with Spectro 320 Optical Scanning Spectrometer exhibited peak wavelengths at 267, 275, 310 and 370 nm with full widths at half-maximum of about 12, 10, 9 and 8 nm respectively (Figure 1).

2.3.2 Fluence measurement

The log inactivation of most pathogens is proportional to the applied UV light fluence as given in Eq. (9), where \(k\) is the inactivation rate constant that varies from one microorganism to another.

\[
\text{Log inactivation} = k \cdot \text{Fluence} \quad (9)
\]

Therefore, determining of fluence is critical for UV-LED disinfection applications. The common UV fluence determination methods include: Radiometry and chemical actinometry (iodide-iodate (KI) and ferrioxalate (FeOx) actinometry). For UV-LEDs, fluence determination protocol employing the two methods for pathogen

![Emission spectra](Figure 1). Emmission spectra from the (a) 267, 275, 310 and (b) 370 nm UV-LEDs.
inactivation is well described in [45]. Therefore, this chapter employed radiometry only in the UV-LED fluence determination.

Average incident irradiance, $E_0$ (mW/cm$^2$) was first determined using IL-1700 radiometer with a SED 270 detector (International Light, USA), at the same distance as the water surface level from the UV-LEDs (L cm). Average fluence $F_0$ (mJ/cm$^2$) inside the Petri dish was then calculated using Eq. (10) [45].

$$F_0 = \frac{E_0 \cdot PF \cdot DF \cdot WF \cdot RF \cdot t}{CF}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

where PF the petri factor, DF the divergence factor calculated using Eq. (11), WF the water factor calculated using Eq. (12), RF the reflection factor taken to be 0.975 [46], $t$ (s) the exposure time and CF is the collimation factor which was taken to be 1.

$$DF = \frac{L}{L + D}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

where $L$ (cm) is the distance between microbial suspension surface and the UV-LED and $D$ (cm) the microbial suspension depth (Figure 2).

$$WF = \frac{I_\lambda \cdot (1 - 10^{-\alpha_\lambda \cdot D})}{I_\lambda \cdot \alpha_\lambda \cdot D \cdot \ln(10)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $I$ (mW/cm$^2$) and $I_\lambda$ (mW/cm$^2$/nm) are the total radiant power of the UV-LED and the radiant power at $\lambda$ of the UV-LED, respectively, $\alpha_\lambda$ (cm$^{-1}$) is the decadic absorption coefficient of the microbial suspension at $\lambda$, and $D$ (cm) is the microbial suspension depth. The decadic absorption coefficient is the absorbance for 1 cm path length.

2.3.3 Electrical energy determination

The electrical energy ($E_{E,N}$) for a specific N-log inactivation of microorganisms can be determined using Eq. (13).

$$E_{E,N} = \frac{\pi d^2}{3.6 \cdot 10^3} \cdot F_N \cdot V \cdot C \cdot WF$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

![Figure 2. Set-up of a batch disinfection reactor.](image-url)
where $E_{E,N}$ is the electrical energy for a specific $N$-log reduction of each sample, (in kWh/m$^3$), $d$ (cm) is the internal diameter of the Petri dish (Figure 2) and $F_N$ is the fluence required for $N$-log inactivation (mJ/cm$^2$). The value of 3.6 $\times 10^3$ is a unit conversion constant for W and kW, $s$ and $h$, mL and m$^3$. $V$ is the volume of the sample (mL). $C$ is the wall plug efficiency calculated using Eq. (14) [47] and $WF$ is the water factor calculated using Eq. (12) [46].

\[
C = \frac{P_{output}}{P_{input}} = \frac{F_A}{I_A \cdot V_A}
\] (14)

where $P_{output}$ is optical power (mW) of the UV-LEDs, $P_{input}$ is the applied electrical power (mW), $I_A$ is the applied current (mA), $V_A$ is the applied voltage (V), and $F_A$ is the radiant flux (mW).

### 3. Disinfection performance of UV-LED

The UV-LED disinfection efficiencies were discussed in four parts: (i) inactivation; (ii) repair; (iii) synergistic effect; and (iv) electrical energy efficiency.

#### 3.1 E. coli inactivation efficiency

Comparative experiments with or without TiO$_2$ confirmed that, after 40 min of stirring in the dark, no inactivation occurred (data not shown). This indicates that UV light is the key requirement in both photolytic and photocatalytic inactivation. In both the photolytic and photocatalytic experiments, lower wavelengths were found to have a higher inactivation efficiency than longer wavelengths (267 > 275 > 310 > 370 nm) [48]. Specifically, in photolytic inactivation, an average fluence of 5, 7, 800 and 900 mJ/cm$^2$ was required by the 267, 275, 310 and 370 nm UV-LEDs, respectively per order of log inactivation. Note that, a 4-log inactivation is required especially in Austria and Germany [12] in the inactivation of most microorganisms. Therefore, the 267 and 275 nm UV-LEDs required an average fluence of 12 and 15 mJ/cm$^2$, respectively for the 4-log to be achieved in E. coli inactivation. Meanwhile the other UV-LEDs required a relatively higher fluence for the same 4-log inactivation to be achieved [48, 49]. This finding indicated that UVC wavelengths have a higher germicidal effect in the inactivation of E. coli as also confirmed by their relatively higher average inactivation rate constant ($k$) of 0.4 and 0.3 for the 267 and 275 nm UV-LEDs, respectively compared to insignificant <0.03 for the 310 and 365 nm UV-LEDs. The finding was also consisted with the other studies in literature as reviewed in Ref. [50]. The DNA of most microorganisms is believed to have an absorption maximum of light between 260 and 270 nm [51], hence confirming the findings.

In photocatalytic disinfection, addition of TiO$_2$ (1.0 g/L) resulted an interesting finding. Whereas the inactivation efficiency was increased in both the 310 and 370 nm UV-LEDs by the addition of TiO$_2$, that for the 267 and 275 nm UV-LEDs was drastically decreased [48]. Note that, anatase phase of TiO$_2$ that was used in our work has a bandgap of around 3.20 eV [52]. Therefore, in an air saturated or water environment, UV photon energy, $E \sim 5.12 \times 10^{-19}$ J is required to induce the generation of the reactive OH$^\bullet$ radicals from the TiO$_2$ surface. The photon energy from the 267, 275, 310 and 370 nm UV-LEDs was calculated and found to be 7.45 $\times 10^{-19}$, 6.87 $\times 10^{-19}$, 6.42 $\times 10^{-19}$, and 5.11 $\times 10^{-19}$ J, respectively. This indicates that, UVA wavelength is the most appropriate in photocatalytic disinfection as was...
confirmed by a significant enhanced inactivation efficiency by the 370 nm UV-LED when anatase phase of TiO₂ was added in the E. coli suspension [48]. The enhanced inactivation efficiency by the 370 nm UV-LED with TiO₂ is therefore attributable to the huddle effect of the UV photons and OH⁺ radicals. Other than their lower capability of radical production from the TiO₂ surface due to UV photon energy not within the optimum, the inactivation efficiency by the 267 and 275 nm UV-LEDs decreased with addition of the TiO₂ due to a screening effect by the TiO₂ which protected the E. coli against the strong UV photon of the UV-LEDs [53].

In another experiment, PL and CW UV-LED irradiation showed similar inactivation efficiency at equivalent average fluence [54]. Meanwhile, 267 nm UV-LED still had a slightly higher inactivation efficiency than the 275 nm UV-LED (Figure 3), which is in agreement with previous findings explained in the preceding paragraphs and also confirmed by reports in Ref. [51]. Although different UV-LEDs were employed, similar findings were also reported in other studies reported in literature [55–57]. However, an enhanced inactivation efficiency by PL over CW UV-LED irradiation is reported [58–61]. These discrepancies could be attributed mainly to unequal fluences between the PL and CW UV-LEDs, which is key in microbial inactivation. PL from xenon lamps is reported to cause enhanced inactivation efficiency than CW UV irradiation by mercury lamps [62]. The finding is due to xenon lamps’ broad-spectrum UV content, short duration intense pulses and the high peak power which can lead to three multi-target mechanisms (photophysical, photothermal) [63]. It should be noted that, the PL irradiation produced by xenon lamps is much different from that of the UV-LEDs in terms of emission spectrum, intensity, frequency switching. Therefore, the inactivation mechanisms of the PL xenon lamp may not apply to the UV-LEDs whose wavelengths are just within 200–400 nm and if a single UV-LED is used, almost a monochromatic wavelength is obtained compared with the broad range (200–1100 nm) from the xenon lamp. In addition, the current peak power of the UV-LEDs is still low (mW) which requires more improvements [64], compared to that of xenon lamps which is relatively high (kW) [65]. Unless the optical power is significantly improved, the E. coli inactivation efficiency by PL and CW UV-LED will still be equivalent. The only significant advantage of PL over CW UV-LED is its ability to suppress the heat generated during the UV-LED operation [54, 56]. This is due to the PL irradiation’s ability to generate heat only during the short pulse and a

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.**
Log inactivation at equivalent fluence of 17.3 mJ/cm² on E. coli inactivation by the PL and CW UVC-LED irradiation after (a) varying frequency at 50% pulse rate and (b) varying duty rate at frequency = 1 kHz. Error bars represent standard deviation from triplicate experimental data.
Effects of UV-LED Irradiation on E. coli in Water Disinfection
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91027

cooling period can occur between each pulse. This ability was clearly observed when the PL showed a lower solder temperature as compared to the CW UV-LED at similar driving currents and ambient temperature (Figure 4).

Diversity of UV-LED wavelengths allows UV-LED for tailored irradiation like involving 2 or more wavelengths either in simultaneous or sequential manner. It is well known that, irradiation by UVC and UVB can induce lesion formation in the genomic DNA of a microorganism [66–68]. Meanwhile, irradiation by UVA causes formation of active substances such as reactive oxygen species that have lethal effects to a microorganism [69]. Due to their different inactivation mechanisms, this part of the chapter therefore concentrated only on simultaneous and sequential irradiation involving a combination of UVC(or UVB) and UVA wavelengths. Note that, “UVC(B)” used here and henceforth in this chapter stands for UVC or UVB. Compared to sum of corresponding single wavelength, simultaneous irradiation of 267, 275 or 310 with 370 nm UV-LED led to lower log inactivation values of 1.27, 1.23 and 0.64, respectively. Similarly, lower log inactivation of 0.92, 0.90 and 0.63 was also obtained in sequential irradiation of 267, 275 and 310 nm followed by the 370 nm UV-LED, respectively (Figure 5). These results indicate that the 370 nm UV-LED irradiation could have functioned in repairing the already UV damaged DNA, rather than further damaging it [70, 71]. This assumption could be possible since the 370 nm is within the range of photo-repair light, 300–480 nm [13, 14]. On the other hand, higher log inactivation of 2.15 and 2.13 were achieved in sequential irradiation of 370 nm followed by 267 or 275 nm UV-LEDs, respectively. This log inactivation was also higher than that from the sum of corresponding single wavelength UV-LED irrations, except for sequential irradiation of 370 nm followed by 310 nm UV-LEDs which achieved 0.98 log inactivation (Figure 5). Although the 370 nm (UVA) radiation can repair an already UV damaged DNA, the radiation on the other hand has an adverse effect when irradiated on un UV damaged DNA [72]. This phenomenon is known as concomitant photo-repair phenomenon in which inactivating light itself has the potential to photo-repair the UV-injured DNA [66]. Note also that, the 310 nm (UVB) is within the photo-repair light (300–480 nm), the 310 nm could have a concomitant photo-repair phenomenon similar to the 370 nm wavelength. While, 310 nm is still found to produce lesions in DNA that damage microorganisms. These findings are consistent with the other studies in literature [71, 73].

Figure 4.
Solder temperature as a function of operation period of the UVC-LEDs when operating in PL and CW mode; an ambient temperature of ~25°C, 50% duty rate at a frequency = 1 kHz for 267 nm (a) and 275 nm (b). Error bars represent standard deviation from triplicate experimental data.
3.2 E. coli repair efficiency

As mentioned earlier in the introduction section, E. coli has the ability to undergo repair after damage from UV light irradiation. In all the experiments conducted, photo-repair was more dominant with an average of above 5% of photo-repair and negligible or no dark repair occurred [48, 49], demonstrating that photo-effect is the dominant mechanism of E. coli repair. The dominance of photo-effect in E. coli repair was also reported in other studies in Refs. [40, 74, 75]. Considering the 267, 275 nm UV-LED, the same observation was confirmed by the highest rate of photo-repair was also reported in other studies in Refs. [40, 74, 75]. Considering the 267, 275 nm UV-LED, the same observation was confirmed by the highest rate of photo-repair was also reported in other studies in Refs. [40, 74, 75]. Considering the 267, 275 nm UV-LED, the same observation was confirmed by the highest rate of photo-repair was also reported in other studies in Refs. [40, 74, 75].

By analyzing the photo-repair after photolytic inactivation, 275 and 370 nm wavelengths were found to be appropriate in suppressing the photo-repair. In addition, when the same wavelengths were applied, 275 nm followed after the 370 nm UV-LED irradiation has a much lower percentage of photo-repair compared to the simultaneous irradiation of 275 nm and 370 nm. This observation is attributed mostly to the damage of E. coli’s membrane at 370 nm [76], and as well as both DNA and proteins at 275 nm [77]. Note that, no significant difference was observed in the percentage of photo-repair for PL and CW UV-LED irradiation [54]. However, the addition of TiO₂ led to an insignificant % of E. coli photo-repair (<1%) and for dark repair, mortality was registered [48]. The observation is attributed to the concomitant effect of the photons from the UV-LEDs and the OH⁻ radicals generated from the surface of UV irradiated TiO₂ that led to more damage to the E. coli. In addition, the mortality in the dark repair is attributed to a residual disinfecting effect of the OH⁻ [78].

3.3 Synergistic effect

During the E. coli inactivation, different wavelengths were combined and their synergistic effect was evaluated. The irradiations were performed in both simultaneous and sequential manner. From the results obtained, simultaneous irradiation involving 267/275, 267/310 and 275/310 wavelength combinations from the UV-LEDs did not yield synergy in E. coli inactivation [49]. Note that, the 267 and
275 nm belong to the UVC, meanwhile the 310 nm belong to the UVB. The UVC and UVB have similar inactivation mechanism [66–68], which explains the absence of synergy in this case. Although UVC(B) and UVA wavelengths are reported to have different disinfection mechanisms as highlighted in the introduction section, interesting findings were found both in simultaneous and sequential irradiation on E. coli inactivation. Simultaneous irradiation of 267, 275, 310 nm and their combination with 370 nm UV-LED led to lower log inactivation compared to the sum of log inactivation of the corresponding single wavelengths. Similarly, lower log inactivation was achieved for 267, 275 and 310 nm followed by 370 nm UV-LED irradiation (Figure 6). These findings highlighted the concomitant photo-repair phenomenon of the 370 nm UV-LED. It should be noted that, the 370 nm is within the range of photo-repair light (300–500 nm). Therefore, other than damaging the E. coli bacteria, the 370 nm light could have performed the role of photo-repair as also discussed in previous studies [71, 72]. No synergy was found for 370 nm followed by 310 nm UV-LED irradiation. However, synergistic effect was found for 370 nm followed by 267 or 275 nm UV-LED (Figure 6). Because the 370 nm light can cause cell membrane damage, when irradiated with UVA first then followed by the UVC wavelengths, more damage was realized, leading to the synergy ultimately. However, irradiating 310 nm UV-LED after the 370 nm could have resulted to the repair of the E. coli since the 310 nm UV-LE is within the photo-repair light, hence absence of synergy in that case.

### 3.4 Electrical energy efficiency

To make a viable decision in choosing an appropriate UV-LED to be applied in disinfection applications, it is necessary to determine the electrical energy efficiency (E_{E,N}) of the UV-LEDs for microorganism inactivation in water. For the combined wavelengths, the sequential irradiation of UVA followed by UVC-LED showed higher inactivation and repair repression efficiencies of E. coli compared to the other combinations. Therefore, the electrical energy efficiency per order of magnitude (E_{E,O}) was determined only for single wavelength irradiation (in both photolytic and photocatalytic) and UVA followed by UVC(B)-LED irradiation on the
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**Figure 6.**

Synergy from the combined UV-LEDs. The 267, 275, 310 and 370 nm UV-LEDs provided an average fluence of 2.6, 2.6, 511.3 and 539.6 mJ/cm², respectively. Error bars represent standard deviation from 3 experimental data.
E. coli in water (Table 1). In both photolytic and photocatalytic disinfection, the 275 nm UV-LED required lower \( E_{E,O} \). Although the addition of TiO\(_2\) to the E. coli suspension led to an increase in the \( E_{E,O} \) for the 267 and 275 nm UV-LEDs, that for the 310 and 370 nm UV-LEDs decreased. Meanwhile, for the 370 nm followed by 275 nm UV-LED irradiation, it required lower \( E_{E,O} \) than the other combination manners. The lower \( E_{E,O} \) for the 275 nm UV-LED, and 370 nm followed by 275 nm UV-LED irradiation is mainly attributed to the higher wall plug efficiencies of these two kinds of UV-LEDs [48, 49]. A similar finding has also been reported in Ref. [79]. Note that, the decrease in \( E_{E,O} \) for mostly the 370 nm UV-LED in photocatalytic disinfection is attributed two things: (i) its higher wall plug efficiency; and (ii) its photon energy being within the required to induce radicals on TiO\(_2\) surface.

### Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of irradiation</th>
<th>Photolytic/photocatalytic inactivation</th>
<th>UV-LED wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>( E_{E,O} ) (kWh/m(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single wavelength</td>
<td>Photolytic</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>310</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>365</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Photocatalytic</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>310</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined wavelength (UVA followed by UVC)</td>
<td>Photolytic</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>370 followed by 267</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>370 followed by 275</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>370 followed by 310</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this chapter, recent achievements about E. coli disinfection in water by UV-LEDs has been highlighted, as well as a general description on UV-LEDs. The main text concentrated more on our experimental studies in which the effects of single and combined UV-LED irradiation on E. coli in water, including the inactivation efficiency, the recover percentage after the UV-LED irradiation, the best wavelength for low energy consumption, differences in PL and CW operations of UV-LEDs, combination with UVA-LED followed by UVC-LED irradiation and vice versa, and finally the effect of TiO\(_2\) photo-catalyst, were discussed. Whereas the 267 nm UV-LED showed higher inactivation efficiency, the 275 nm UV-LED was more competitive with comprehensive consideration of higher repressive ability on E. coli repair and higher electrical energy efficiency. For photocatalytic disinfection, the 370 nm UV-LED was the most appropriate. Although PL UV-LED was found to be effective in suppressing temperature rising than CW operation, the two modes showed insignificant difference in E. coli inactivation and repair efficiency. For the...
combined wavelengths, UVA (370 nm) followed by UVC (275 nm) irradiation was effective in all aspects of inactivation, repair and electrical energy efficiencies.
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Chapter 9
Antimicrobial Resistance in
Escherichia coli
Mario Galindo-Méndez

Abstract

In the last decades, antimicrobial resistance has become a global threat to public health systems worldwide. Among those bacteria that pose the greatest threat to human health because of its growing resistance to antibiotics are the members of the Enterobacteriaceae family, particularly Escherichia coli and Klebsiella spp. Among the different antibiotic-resistant mechanisms developed by bacteria, the ones found in Enterobacteriaceae are more diverse than those in other families and include resistance to different antibiotic groups, advantages that partially explain why these microorganisms are among the most common causes of antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections in humans. Due to the continuously increasing number of infections caused by multidrug-resistant E. coli due to its ease of transmission via the fecal-oral route among humans and from environmental sources, the understanding of the epidemiology of these strains and their mechanisms of resistance are key components in the fight against these infections.
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1. Introduction

Escherichia coli is one of the most studied bacteria in the world and is arguably the best understood of all model microorganisms [1]. In the context of human and animal ecology, this microorganism participates as both a commensal of the gut, being one the first bacterial species to colonize it right after birth [2], and one of the most important human and animal pathogens, being able to cause intestinal and extra-intestinal infections. In humans, E. coli is the most frequent cause of urinary tract infections and has been identified as the causative agent of disease in practically every anatomical site of the human body, causing appendicitis, pneumonia, bloodstream, gastrointestinal infections, skin abscesses, intra-amniotic and puerperal infection in pregnant women, meningitis and endocarditis. Furthermore, E. coli can cause both community-acquired infections and health care-related infections, and is able to cause disease in all age groups.

Since the introduction of penicillin in the 1940s, which started the era of antibiotics, these agents have been recognized as one of the greatest advances in modern medicine and a turning point in human history. In 1900, infectious disease was a leading cause of death; in 2000, infectious diseases were responsible for only a small percentage of deaths in developed nations [3]. Unfortunately for humans, bacteria have evolved different mechanisms that have rendered them resistant to...
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1. Introduction

*Escherichia coli* is one of the most studied bacteria in the world and is arguably the best understood of all model microorganisms [1]. In the context of human and animal ecology, this microorganism participates as both a commensal of the gut, being one the first bacterial species to colonize it right after birth [2], and one of the most important human and animal pathogens, being able to cause intestinal and extra-intestinal infections. In humans, *E. coli* is the most frequent cause of urinary tract infections and has been identified as the causative agent of disease in practically every anatomical site of the human body, causing appendicitis, pneumonia, bloodstream, gastrointestinal infections, skin abscesses, intra-ampiotic and puerperal infection in pregnant women, meningitis and endocarditis. Furthermore, *E. coli* can cause both community-acquired infections and health care-related infections, and is able to cause disease in all age groups.

Since the introduction of penicillin in the 1940s, which started the era of antibiotics, these agents have been recognized as one of the greatest advances in modern medicine and a turning point in human history. In 1900, infectious disease was a leading cause of death; in 2000, infectious diseases were responsible for only a small percentage of deaths in developed nations [3]. Unfortunately for humans, bacteria have evolved different mechanisms that have rendered them resistant to
antibiotics, to the point that since not long ago antimicrobial resistance has become a global threat to public health systems worldwide.

The ability of bacteria to develop resistance against antibiotics began soon after their introduction, as penicillin resistance by S. aureus was identified just a few years after its introduction in hospitalized patients [4]. In the case of E. coli, resistance against antibiotics has been steadily increasing since the first reported cases and, due to its impact in human health, is now included, along with the rest of the Enterobacteriaceae family, in the World Health Organization's (WHO) list of the 12 families of bacteria that pose the greatest threat to human health [5].

The contribution of E. coli to the antimicrobial resistance phenomenon should be analyzed under two different, but complementary, contexts that at some point meet in one common issue: a broad impact on human health. These two perspectives include the increasing number of infections worldwide caused by multidrug-resistant E. coli strains per se and the ability of this bacterium to transmit its genetic-resistant traits to other bacteria. E. coli has evolved these two attributes that have made this microorganism such a key player in the antibiotic resistance pandemic due to its ease of transmission among humans and from animals to humans via the fecal-oral route. Secondly, the microorganism's ability to colonize the gut of humans and animals allow it to be in close interaction with an abounding number of different bacteria, interaction that grant E. coli the duality to behave as a donor of genetic material to other bacteria and the ability to acquire resistance genes from other microorganisms.

This chapter describes the human actions that have contributed to the development of E. coli resistance to antibiotics, including the major impact of hygiene on the transmission and maintenance of its multidrug-resistant strains, and the known mechanisms developed by this organism to resist the actions of commonly used antibiotics.

2. Onset and spread of E. coli resistance to antibiotics

The emergence of antibacterial resistance in E. coli and other bacteria is multifactorial, but has paralleled the incorporation of these agents into the therapeutic arsenal in human and veterinary medicine. Data show that E. coli present the highest rates of resistance against those antibiotics that have been in use the longest time [6], as is evidenced by the high resistance rate worldwide against sulfonamides [7], whose use in humans started in the 1930s and its first E. coli-resistant clones were identified as early as 1950 [6]. Additionally, it is of no coincidence that those regions of the world with the highest consumption of antibiotics are low- to mid-income countries (Table 1), whose antibiotic-resistant rates are higher than those found in high-income nations.

Antibiotic resistance (AR) is largely believed to be the sole result of human activity and antibiotic chemotherapy; however, genomic studies of human bacterial commensals and environmental bacteria have revealed the presence of considerable numbers of resistance determinants within their genomes [9] that were not acquired from horizontal transmission and predated the clinical introduction of antibiotics. This type of AR is known as intrinsic resistance and provides a selective benefit for the producing strains by inhibiting or eliminating other bacteria competing for resources. Intrinsic resistance differentiates from the newly developed extrinsic antibiotic resistance in that in the former there is no contribution of human activities and the latter is mainly driven by antibiotic selection pressure [10]. In the current era of increasing AR and lack of new antibacterial agents, the study of intrinsic resistance becomes highly attractive as a new mechanism to counteract
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Daily doses per 1000 inhabitants/day (% of total) [8]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Countries with the highest antibiotic consumption in the world.

bacterial resistance, as inhibition of elements that comprise the intrinsic resistome renders bacteria hyper-susceptible to antibiotics [11]. In the case of Gram-negative bacteria, like *E. coli*, two major contributors to the bacterium intrinsic resistance are its outer membrane, which is impermeable to many molecules, and its expression of numerous efflux pumps, that effectively reduce the intracellular concentration of certain antibiotics [12].

The acquired, or extrinsic, and continuously increasing resistance of *E. coli* to antibiotics is already considered a major public health problem around the world. In 2018, more than half of the *Escherichia coli* isolates reported to the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control were resistant to at least one antimicrobial group under surveillance, and combined resistance to several antimicrobial groups was frequent [13]; in the United States in 2017, the national prevalence of extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL)-producing *E. coli* strains isolated from urinary tract infections (UTI) was 15.7%, whereas levofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole-resistant rates were ≥24% among all isolates [14]. In developing countries the situation worsens, as reported by national surveillance data from Mexico, China and Turkey, where *E. coli*-resistant strains has been shown to have a prevalence >40% to cephalosporins, quinolones and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TSX), drugs widely used around the world to empirically treat bacterial infections (Table 2).

During 1945, just a few years after the introduction into clinical practice of penicillin, Alexander Fleming warned the world about antibiotic overuse, warning that became reality a few years later when the first *S. aureus* strain was reported to be resistant to penicillin. Several human activities have been identified as key drivers of the current AR crisis, but it has been demonstrated that the overuse of antibiotics clearly influences the evolution of resistance [18]. The reported actions that have led to the overuse of antibiotics are multifactorial and include different players in different industries such as the health, the livestock and the pharmaceutical industries. Examples of these actions comprise inappropriate prescription of antibiotics by healthcare providers, extensive use of antibiotics in livestock and fish farming, patients not following antibiotic treatment regimes, poor hygiene, bacterial mutations and lack of new antibiotics developed [19].

2.1 Overuse/inappropriate prescribing

One of the most significant factors that have contributed to the current antibacterial resistance crisis is the rapid evolution of bacteria under selective antibiotic pressure, since a continuous interaction between any given antibiotic and bacteria is an important aspect for the increase in multidrug-resistant strains [20].
Unfortunately, overuse and inappropriate prescription of these drugs are two large contributors to such issue. In any given antibiotic treatment against a bacterial infection, susceptible bacteria will be killed; if properly targeted, the pathogenic microorganism will be eradicated; however, along infecting bacteria, those members of the individual's microbiota, sensitive to the antibiotic in use, will also be wiped out. In case resistant microorganisms exist, either belonging to the normal microbiota or the pathogenic microorganisms being targeted, these survivors will replicate and will become the prevailing strain within the respective anatomical site.

The discovery and use of antibiotics have revolutionized the field of medicine and saved millions of lives each year; unfortunately, seen as the “miracle drug,” healthcare providers and patients around the world have abused their use. Despite the marked increase of infections caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria around the world, the global response to this crisis has been inadequate, as people not only continue to misuse antibiotics but have continuously increased their abuse. Using a global database of antibiotic sales, Klein et al. [21] found that the antibiotic consumption rate around the world increased dramatically from 11.3 daily doses/1000 inhabitants per day to 15.7, an increase of 39%, between 2000 and 2015. In this same study, it was reported that the mean antibiotic consumption rate was primarily driven by the consumption in low- and mid-income countries, as no coincidence present the highest prevalence of multi drug-resistant bacteria-related infections. To make matters worse, the consumption of last-resort antibiotics such as carbapenems and colistin is also on the rise [21], situation that is consistent with the appearance of E. coli-resistant strains to these agents. To date, resistance of this organism to carbapenems is rare, with its prevalence depending on the area of the world under study, but not exceeding 3% [22]. However, in the future, an increase of resistance to this agent might be seen in E. coli, as the enzymes responsible for its hydrolysis, and thus inactivation, carbapenemases, are encoded mainly on plasmids, and are highly transmissible [23].

A key contributor to the increasing selective pressure of antibiotics is their overprescription. Recent data indicates that over 70% of prescribed antibiotics by primary care providers in the United States are inappropriate, the majority of which are for acute respiratory tract infections [24]; unfortunately, this rate of antibiotic misuse is probably a situation found in most countries. Coincidentally, ciprofloxacin, one of the two most likely antibiotic to be prescribed inappropriately [24] is one to which E. coli present the highest rates of resistance around the world [15–17].

In addition to the contribution of the abuse of antibiotics to the selection of resistance, Zhang et al. [25] found epidemiological evidence that antibiotic resistance and E. coli diarrheagenic virulence phenotypes might be partially linked. They found that subjects with diarrhea had more frequent use of antibiotics before their onset of symptoms, linkage that might be explained as antibiotics might disrupt the intestinal microbiota, allowing overgrowth of resistant pathogens [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Cephalosporins</th>
<th>Quinolones</th>
<th>TSX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexico [15]</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China [16]</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey [17]</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ND: not done.*

Table 2. Escherichia coli antibiotic resistance rates to different antibiotics.
2.2 Use of antibiotics in livestock

Antibiotics are used in livestock to treat clinical disease, to prevent and control common disease events, and to enhance animal growth [26]. Unfortunately, this use of antibiotics has favored spread and persistence of resistant bacteria in humans by means of two different mechanisms: (a) human ingestion of antibiotics by means of the antibiotic-contaminated meat that enters the body and induces selective pressure on the host’s microbiota and (b) resistant bacteria found in the gut of food animals are transmitted to humans via contaminated meat.

When livestock are treated or are provided with antibiotics, these agents exercise the same selective pressure on their microbiota as when humans ingest these drugs; thus, overuse of antibiotics on food animals has led to a high colonization rate of intestinal bacteria, including members of the Enterobacteriaceae family, such as E. coli and Klebsiella spp., that become resistant to different antimicrobials. Different studies around the world have shown that ready-to-eat animal products are contaminated with E. coli strains resistant to different kinds of antibiotics, mainly to β-lactams by means of the bacterial production of extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) [27, 28]. These studies show that animal meat contaminated with E. coli-resistant strains is far more prevalent in developing than in developed nations, probably due to different hygiene habits; German studies have reported a prevalence of ESBL-contaminated meat of 24.1% [27], whereas in Mexico this prevalence has been reported to be above 60.0% [28]. E. coli strains isolated in meat have also shown resistance to other antibiotics, including to last-resort ones such as carbapenems [29] and colistin [30]. If this contaminated meat is ingested undercooked by humans, gut colonization is likely, establishing a reservoir for future antibiotic-resistant infections, as Ruppé et al. have shown that people with high gut colonization rates of ESBL-producing E. coli strains present higher risk to develop urinary tract infections with these clones than patients with no ESBL gut colonization [31].

Figure 1 shows a resumed representation of the main reservoirs, including livestock, of antibiotic-resistant E. coli and their interaction with humans.

2.3 Hygiene/fecal colonization

Higher consumption of antibiotics in unprivileged areas of the world plays a key role in the emergence and maintenance of antimicrobial resistance due to selective pressure by these agents on resident microbiota. However, studies have shown that inhabitants of these areas can be highly colonized with antibiotic-resistant E. coli strains despite not being in contact with antibiotics for 3–6 months [28], indicating that additional factors play important roles in the increased prevalence of AR worldwide. Global evidence suggests that elements in people’s environment such as poor waste, non-potable drinking water, housing overcrowding and lack of hygiene facilitate the development and transmission of resistant bacteria [32].

The ability of E. coli to colonize different environments, including the gut of humans and animals, has provided this organism with the evolutionary advantage to acquire antibiotic resistance traits from other bacteria within its environment, as well as to be easily transmitted via the fecal-oral route. The gut microbiota of humans can harbor more than 1000 different antibiotic-resistant genes [33] and transmission of these traits among gut commensals is a constant phenomenon. Major examples of the transference of resistance genes between environmental bacteria, including gut commensals, and human pathogens, are the blaCTX-M genes, which is the most prevalent ESBL gene in E. coli and Klebsiella spp., and
largely accepted as one of the major drivers of AR development; however, the high risk factor of developing urinary tract infections by a factor of 13.0 [31].

The mid-2000s and the situation has worsened as fecal colonization by strains resistant to antibiotics such as tetracycline, ampicillin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole exceeds 50% [39]. Fecal strains resistant to antibiotics colonizing the gastrointestinal system of neonates is low [38], in low-income countries the prevalence of E. coli strains resistant to antibiotics such as tetracycline, ampicillin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole exceeds 50% [39]. Fecal colonization of humans by resistant E. coli is on the rise around the world since the mid-2000s and the situation has worsened as fecal colonization by strains resistant to last-resource antibiotics, such as colistin, has been recently reported in different countries [40, 41]. As the prevalence of fecal colonization by these E. coli strains increase, so will the number of human infections caused by them, as it has been previously shown that fecal colonization with resistant microorganisms increases the risk factor of developing urinary tract infections by a factor of 13.0 [31].

Antibiotic consumption has contributed to the selection of resistance and is largely accepted as one of the major drivers of AR development; however, the high....

Figure 1.
Reservoirs of antibiotic-resistant E. coli and their interaction with humans. Arrows show the E. coli flux from the different reservoirs.

...and shedding of these strains through the feces of colonized individuals, livestock and domestic animals allows them to reach humans via contaminated water and food (see Figure 1). Human fecal colonization by antibiotic-resistant E. coli strains present the highest rates in deprived areas of the world, situation that begins since birth. Whereas in high-income nations the prevalence of E. coli strains resistant to antibiotics colonizing the gastrointestinal system of neonates is low [38], in low-income countries the prevalence of E. coli strains resistant to antibiotics such as tetracycline, ampicillin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole exceeds 50% [39]. Fecal colonization of humans by resistant E. coli is on the rise around the world since the mid-2000s and the situation has worsened as fecal colonization by strains resistant to last-resource antibiotics, such as colistin, has been recently reported in different countries [40, 41]. As the prevalence of fecal colonization by these E. coli strains increase, so will the number of human infections caused by them, as it has been previously shown that fecal colonization with resistant microorganisms increases the risk factor of developing urinary tract infections by a factor of 13.0 [31].

Antibiotic consumption has contributed to the selection of resistance and is largely accepted as one of the major drivers of AR development; however, the high...
prevalence of antibiotic resistance around the world, especially in low- and mid-income countries, can be more likely attributed to the dissemination and maintenance of resistant clones via poor sanitation and lack of hygiene habits [32]. Ingestion of contaminated food and water, close contact with colonized animals and household members and abundance of flies are factors that contribute to the transmission of kl E. coli strains. As these conditions are considerably less frequent in developed areas of the world, this situation partially explains the reduced prevalence of these strains in these nations. However, due to the current globalization, resistant strains can easily be transmitted from one country to another. In a large cohort study of Dutch travelers to regions of the world with high prevalence of ESBL-producing bacteria, 34.3% subjects who were ESBL negative before travel had acquired these clones during their time abroad, with the highest number of acquisitions being among those who traveled to southern Asia, and remained colonized at 12 months after return [42]. Additionally, this same study showed that the estimated probability of onward transmission within households was 12%. Similar results were reported in a study in Spain, in which up to 66% of the isolates from patients with ESBL-producing E. coli infections were indistinguishable from those isolated from fecal samples from their household members [43]. These results indicate that acquisition of E. coli-resistant clones during travel is high and that transmission between household members can maintain such clones in the community for long periods of time.

As anthropogenic activities largely shape the resistome of different environments, transmission of resistant genes between bacteria in a community can be influenced by its contamination with human and animal feces and its impact is largely driven not by the presence of resistant bacteria but rather from the presence of human-related mobile resistance genes [44]. If poor sanitation, manifested by fecal contamination, of a given community is the key to transmit and maintain resistant clones, the reduction of antibiotic consumption will not be sufficient to control antimicrobial resistance. Thus, strategies to control the AR pandemic should also include improving sanitation conditions in all parts of the world.

3. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms

Few microorganisms have shown the ability to develop resistance to as many classes of antibiotics as the Enterobacteriaceae. Of the large list of bacterial genus that belong to this family, E. coli is only surpassed by Klebsiella in the number of human infections associated to multidrug-resistant bacteria [15–17, 27] and the past two decades have witnessed major increases in the emergence and spread of E. coli resistance strains to major classes of antibiotics such as β-lactams, quinolones, aminoglycosides, sulfonamides and fosfomycin. Unfortunately, this resistance has spread to last resource antibiotic classes such as the polymyxins and carbapenems. The following sections will briefly described the resistance mechanisms developed by E. coli against one of the major antibiotic groups currently used in the treatment against this organism: the β-lactams.

3.1 Resistance to β-lactams

Antibiotics belonging to the β-lactams class share a common feature: a three-carbon and one-nitrogen ring (beta-lactam ring), which is the molecular constituent responsible for the bacteriolytic mechanism of action of these agents against bacteria. β-Lactams act by inhibiting the bacterial synthesis of peptidoglycan, a vital constituent of the microorganism cell wall. The targets for the actions of beta-lactam antibiotics are known as penicillin-binding proteins (PBP).
Bacteria have evolved different mechanisms of resistance against β-lactams: (a) Inactivation of these agents by the production of beta-lactamases; (b) decreased penetration of the antibiotic to the target site; (c) alteration of target site PBPs; and (d) efflux from the periplasmic space through specific pumping mechanism. However, in the case of *E. coli*, resistance to these antibiotics is mediated by the production of a group of enzymes referred as the “β-lactamases.” These enzymes are ancient compounds, currently exceeding 2800 unique proteins, which emerged from environmental sources [45].

To date, β-lactamases are usually classified based on functional or structural criteria. Currently, the most widely used classification for these enzymes is the Ambler structural classification, which is based on sequence similarity, and separates these proteins into four classes: the classes A, C, and D of serine-β-lactamases and the class B of metallo-β-lactamases [46].

Gram-negative bacteria have evolved the production of different β-lactamases; in the case of *E. coli*, the most important ones from the medical point of view are the extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL), AmpC β-lactamases (AmpC) and the carbapenemases. Each of these groups of enzymes presents different spectrum of hydrolytic activity, thus presenting resistance to different types of β-lactams, as shown in Table 3.

### 3.1.1 Extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL)

Among the β-lactamases, ESBL are worthy of the attention of the scientific and medical community over the last decades because of their increasing prevalence as cause of antibiotic-resistant infections around the world. These enzymes can be produced by any member of the *Enterobacteriaceae*, but *Klebsiella* spp. and *E. coli* are the predominant ESBL-producing genus.

ESBL belong mostly to class A of the Ambler classification, are generally plasmid encoded and confer resistance to those bacteria that produce them to penicillins, first-, second-, and third-generation cephalosporins and monobactams (e.g., aztreonam), but cannot hydrolyze cephamycins (cefoxitin) or carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem), and are inhibited by β-lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanic acid, tazobactam and sulbactam [47].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>β-Lactamase</th>
<th>Spectrum of activity</th>
<th>Inhibition by β-lactamase inhibitors</th>
<th>Activity against broad-spectrum cephalosporins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESBL</td>
<td>Penicillins</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First to third generation cephalosporins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monobactams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmpC</td>
<td>Penicillins</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First to third generation cephalosporins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monobactams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenemases</td>
<td>All β-lactams except aztreonam</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenem-hydrolyzing oxacillinase-48</td>
<td>All β-lactams except broad spectrum cephalosporins</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Spectrum of activity of the major types of β-lactamases produced by Escherichia coli.
When ESBL were first identified, most ESBL-related infections were caused by strains producing the TEM and SHV types. However, since then, ESBL CTX-M has emerged as the predominant type, both in humans and animals, in commensal organisms and in pathogenic strains and in community and healthcare-associated infections. Since the first isolation of SHV- and TEM-producing strains, more than 100 different variants of each type have been described and all have arisen from the original strains; contrary to the SHV and TEM types, CTX-M groups seem to have originated from the chromosomally encoded ESBL genes from different *Klebsiella* species [48].

HSV, TEM and CTX-M show different hydrolytic activities against different β-lactams. When first identified, SHV β-lactamases proved its activity against penicillins and first generation cephalosporins; as of today, the three sub-groups used to classify this group of enzymes present different antibiotic resistance phenotypes: (a) subgroup 2b hydrolyze penicillins and early cephalosporins (cephaloridine and cephalothin) and are strongly inhibited by clavulanic acid and tazobactam; (b) subgroup 2br are broad-spectrum β-lactamases that acquired resistance to clavulanic acid; and (c) subgroup 2be comprises ESBL that can also hydrolyze one or more oxyimino β-lactams (cefotaxime, ceftazidime, and aztreonam) [49]. In the case of TEM β-lactamases, the bacteria carrying these genes are able to hydrolyze penicillin and first generation cephalosporins such as cephalaridine; furthermore, TEM-1 is able to hydrolyze ampicillin at a greater rate than carbenicillin, oxacillin, or cephalothin, and has negligible activity against extended-spectrum cephalosporins [50]. Finally, CTX-M enzymes have the property of having potent hydrolytic activity against cefotaxime, with CTX-M-producing microorganisms showing cefotaxime MICs in the resistant range (>64 μg/ml), while ceftazidime MICs are usually in the apparently susceptible range (2 to 8 μg/ml); however, some CTX-M-type ESBLs may actually hydrolyze ceftazidime and confer resistance to this cephalosporin; aztreonam MICs are variable. CTX-M-type β-lactamases hydrolyze cefepime with high efficiency [50].

The exponential global increase in the number of infections caused by ESBL-producing strains has coincided with the appearance of the CTX-M genes. When originally reported, these strains were predominantly found in three geographic areas: South America, the Far East, and Eastern Europe. However, due to the extremely transferable plasmids which harbor blaCTX-M genes [49], with a frequency of transmission from $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-2}$ per donor cell [48], these strains are now increasingly reported as cause of human infections in every continent, to the point that it could be speculated that CTX-M-type ESBLs are now the most frequent ESBL type worldwide [50]. An additional factor that has been suggested as a key contributor to the dissemination of these clones is the frequent co-existence of blaCTX-M with genes conferring resistance to other classes of antibiotics like fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides, situation that might lead to high rates of co-selection [51].

To date, over 150 CTX-M types have been identified and described (https://www.lahey.org/studies/other.asp). These ESBL types have been grouped into five clusters (CTX-M-1, CTX-M-2, CTX-M-8, CTX-M-9, and CTX-M-25) [52], with each cluster presenting different variants, and more variants constantly being described, as shown by the recent discovery of two novel ones, named blaCTX-M-14 and blaCTX-M-15. Out of the different CTX-M variants, different reports in different continents indicate that blaCTX-M-15, belonging to cluster CTX-M-1, is now the most prevalent ESBL in *E. coli* around the world [54]. The increasing predominance of the blaCTX-M-15 allele might be due to the powerful ability of this enzyme to hydrolyze different β-lactams, which probably offers the producing bacteria a selective advantage, especially when multiple antibiotics are concomitantly or consecutively prescribed [53].
One of the key players in the global dissemination of CTX-M-15-producing *E. coli* strains is clone ST131. A study performed in *E. coli* ST131 strains isolated between 2002 and 2004, before the ESBL pandemic, showed that only 2% of those strains carried the *CTX-M-15* gene [55]; almost two decades later, ST131 is one of the main clones isolated in the worldwide spread of ESBL-producing *E. coli* [56], particularly subclone H30Rx [57]. How this *E. coli* clone went from being a non-factor in the global ESBL transmission to a key player is probably multifactorial. Although ST131 strains are not considered hypervirulent, most of them show the presence of fluoroquinolone-resistant genes, they have the ability to be persistent gut colonizers even in the absence of antibiotic exposure, a condition that precedes some infections such as those in the urinary tract, and can be easily transmitted between people of all ages [58]. All of these factors have allowed this clone to be a successful human pathogen, even before the spread of the ESBL genes; however, the acquisition by ST131 strains of the CTX-M-15 plasmid has made this *E. coli* lineage an even more successful pathogen and has probably exasperated the spread of such clone [59] and the rapid global spread of CTX-M-15-producing *E. coli*.

### 3.1.2 AmpC β-lactamases (AmpC)

Although the production of class A extended spectrum β-lactamases is the most common mechanism of resistance in *E. coli* against β-lactam agents, class C β-lactamases, or AmpC, can also confer those strains that produce them the ability to inactivate some of these compounds. Similar to ESBL, AmpC-producing organisms hydrolyze amino- and ureidopenicillins, oxyimino-β-lactams such as ceftazidime, ceftiofur, and aztreonam, but contrary to the former enzymes, AmpC also inactivates broad and extended-spectrum cephalosporins such as cephaporphins (cefoxitin) and are not inhibited by β-lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanic acid. Neither ESBL nor AmpC confer bacteria resistance to carbapenems.

Originally, AmpC were described as chromosomally encoded enzymes and were detected in a few bacterial species such as *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Acinetobacter* spp., *Aeromonas* spp. and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [60]. As the use of β-lactamase inhibitors increased among the population, dissemination of AmpC genes among bacterial species began by means of horizontal travel through plasmids, phenomenon that led to the appearance of AmpC-resistant traits in bacteria that previously lacked such genes or expressed them at low levels, such as *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. [60].

In *E. coli*, the subject of this chapter, resistance by AmpC can be plasmid encoded or due to the overexpression of the chromosomal AmpC genes. Contrary to the AmpC enzymes of other members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*, such as *Enterobacter* spp. and *Citrobacter freundii*, that of *E. coli* exhibits a non-inducible phenotype that is constitutive and its production depends on either the strength of the *ampC* promoter [61], the presence of >1 copy of the *ampC* gene, the incorporation of a stronger promoter sequence as part of an insertion element or by the acquisition of a strong promoter of other bacterial species [62]. As stated before, this organism can carry *ampC* genes either chromosomally or in plasmids; however, the latter is being recognized as the major threat since plasmid-encoded AmpC are easily transferable between bacterial species, can cause nosocomial outbreaks, is associated with multidrug resistance and, in combination with porin loss, may lead to resistance to carbapenems [63].

Bacterial resistance to β-lactams is a major public health problem around the world. Although ESBL production clearly exceeds AmpC production as the major cause of β-lactam resistance, the later enzymes are now being recognized as a growing problem in different members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*, including *E. coli*, as
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evidenced by the increasing number of these strains being reported across the globe. Sources of AmpC-producing E. coli strains include livestock [64], the environment [65], as colonizers of the human gut [66] and as cause of human infections. The prevalence of these strains isolated as causative agents of human infections varies, ranging from 2.0% reported in a Portuguese hospital [67] to 16.7% from three university hospitals in Iran [68] to 29.0% from five referral hospitals in Sudan [69].

When comparing the epidemiology of today’s AmpC-producing E. coli to that of ESBL-producing bacteria of two decades ago, they present several common features: high gut colonization in both animals and humans, reduced prevalence as cause of human infections, environmental contamination by these multidrug-resistant strains, higher isolation of both types of β-lactamase-producing strains in developing countries and their ability to be transmitted via plasmids among different bacterial species. As these two types of β-lactamase-producing strains behave similarly, it would be of no surprise to witness in the near future a booming increase of reports of infections caused by AmpC-producing strains, as witness two decades ago with ESBL. To make matter worse, infectious disease specialists are starting to see an increase of cases of E. coli strains that co-express ESBL and AmpC genes, complicating antimicrobial treatment even further. Different reports in India [70, 71] have shown that co-expression of blaESBL and blaAmpC genes by E. coli strains isolated from different human infections is not uncommon, thus continuous monitoring of these resistance patterns is a necessity that will help prevent the further spread of these multidrug-resistant microorganisms.

3.1.3 Carbapenemases

Since ESBL- and AmpC-producing E. coli are increasingly being reported as cause of severe infections, carbapenems represent in many cases the last option for effective treatment against these infections. Nevertheless, with an increasing consumption of these agents, carbapenem-resistant strains, particularly Klebsiella spp. and in a lesser degree E. coli, have become a public health concern, particularly in the hospital setting. Carbapenems bind to penicillin-binding proteins and induce spheroplast formation and cell lysis without filament formation. The carbapenems include four agents: imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem and doripenem.

As in the case of ESBL- and AmpC-producing Enterobacteriaceae, reports from different countries show that resistance to carbapenems has been constantly increasing in the last few years, becoming a public health problem. In Europe, 11 countries have reported an increase in the number of infections caused by carbapenem-producing Enterobacteriaceae in the period from 2015 to 2018 [72] and in China, Tian et al. [73] have reported an increase in the prevalence of carbapenem-producing E. coli from 0% in 2011 to 1.9% in 2017.

The reported carbapenemases in E. coli primarily include Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemases (KPC), metallo-β-lactamases (MBL), including the VIM, IMP, GIM and NDM type, and oxacillin-hydrolyzing metallo-β-lactamases (OXA) [74]; however, different reports around the world have shown that the predominant types in E. coli are of the New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM-1) and carbapenem-hydrolyzing oxacillinase-48 (OXA-48) types [73, 75, 76].

3.1.3.1 New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase

The New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM-1) and closely related enzymes are a group of zinc-requiring metallo-β-lactamases capable of hydrolyzing a broad range of β-lactams including all penicillins, cephalosporins and carbapenems, just sparing monobactams, and are among the most recently identified carbapenemases. The
gene encoding these enzymes, \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \), has been identified on bacterial chromosomes and plasmids [77]; however, in the case of \( E. \ coli \), \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) is mainly plasmid encoded with only few strains carrying it chromosomally [78].

NDM-1 was first identified in 2008 in India, a country that has been pointed out as the primary reservoir of NDM strains [77], followed by the Balkan states [79] and the Middle East [80]. From these three spots, \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM-1}} \)-carrying bacterial strains have spread around the world, mainly due to the ability of the carrying microorganisms to horizontally transfer the carbapenemase resistance trait via plasmids. An additional factor that has contributed to the worldwide dissemination of NDM-1-producing strains is the frequent co-existence of the \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene on plasmids carrying additional antibiotic resistance genes, situation that has allowed the plasmid-carrying strains to thrive under environments of antibiotic selective pressure.

Since the first report of NDM-1, over 20 NDM variants have been reported; however, in \( E. \ coli \), NDM-1, followed by NDM-5, are the predominant variants in human infections in different parts of the world [81, 82]. Surprisingly, in a study by Shen et al. [83] published in 2018, the highest prevalence in the human gut and livestock was of the NMD-5 variant, suggesting a possible shift from NDM-1 to NDM-5 in the community in China. An additional, and important finding of this study, was the identification, albeit small, of NDM-5 \( E. \ coli \) strains that co-express colistin resistance genes, \( \text{mcr}-1 \), in the gut of healthy individuals, situation that if not properly controlled might contribute to the future dissemination of \( E. \ coli \) strains that are resistant to last resource antibiotics.

### 3.1.3.2 Carbapenem-hydrolyzing oxacillinase-48 (OXA-48)

As with any other \( \beta \)-lactamase, OXA-48 hydrolyzes \( \beta \)-lactam antibiotics, including carbapenemases, but paradoxically spares broad-spectrum cephalosporins. OXA-48 genes were originally traced to the aquatic bacterium \( \text{Shewanella oneidensis} \), but further studies now trace its origin to \( \text{Shewanella xiamenensis} \) [84]. Since the first description in Europe of OXA-48-carrying \( \text{Enterobacteriaceae} \), several variants have been reported, including OXA-162, OXA-163, OXA-181, OXA-204, OXA-232, OXA244 and OXA-245.

Mainly found in \( \text{Klebsiella} \) species, reports on the detection of \( \text{bla}_{\text{oxa}} \)-carrying \( E. \ coli \) have increased in the last 3 years in different parts of the world, being reported in studies in Myanmar [85], the United States [86] and Thailand [87]. In all three studies, the isolated strains were co-expressing \( \text{bla}_{\text{OXA-48}} \) or its variants and \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \). Oxa-48-carrying \( E. \ coli \) strains have also been isolated in Europe, between January and October 2019, 134 cases of \( E. \ coli \) strains carrying the OXA-48 variant OXA-244 were isolated from clinical samples in Germany; this same variant was further identified in 119 \( E. \ coli \) strains isolated from other European countries [88]. The source and route of transmission of these strains is currently unclear.

As carbapenems are considered in many clinical instances as a last resource antibiotic, worldwide monitoring on the prevalence of \( E. \ coli \) carrying resistant traits against these agents should be continuously performed in order to prevent the spread of these strains, situation that can jeopardize even further the current antibiotic resistance crisis.

### 4. Conclusions

The ability of \( \text{Escherichia coli} \) to colonize the gut of humans and animals, thus facilitating its transmission via the fecal-oral route, and its ability to transmit and
uptake antibiotic resistance genes via plasmids to and from other bacteria have made this organism a key target in the fight against antimicrobial resistance. As discussed in this chapter, *E. coli* has evolved different mechanisms to fight off the action of antibiotics, and in many cases a single strain can carry resistance genes to distinct classes of these agents, thus complicating treatment.

The emergence of antibiotic resistance has been shown to be multifactorial, but all elements coincide in a major topic: antibiotic over abuse, both in human and veterinary medicine. The establishment of antibiotic stewardship programs is a major necessity in all nations as a way to reduced antibiotic resistance. However, as the spread and maintenance of *E. coli*-resistant traits among humans and between animals and humans is driven by additional, and probably more difficult to tackle, social issues such as lack of hygiene, lack of drinking water and house overcrowding, these factors must be taken care of in order to truly impact antibiotic resistance.
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Chapter 10

Antibiotic Resistance among Iraqi Local E. coli Isolates

Hussein O.M. Al-Dahmoshi, Noor S.K. Al-Khafaji and Mohammed H.O. Al-Allak

Abstract

*Escherichia coli* is a famous Gram-negative bacillary bacterium that belongs to Enterobacteriaceae. It is either micro-biota innocent for human or pathogenic with arrays of diseases. The pathogenic *E. coli* can be assigned to intestinal (InPEC) or extraintestinal (ExPEC) with disease ranging from watery diarrhea to pulmonary distress. The most prevalent form of InPEC is enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), while the most prevalent ExPEC is uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC). The other InPEC includes Shiga toxin–producing (STEC), enteroaggregative (EAEC), enterotoxigenic (ETEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), diffusely adherent (DAEC) and adherent invasive *E. coli* (AIEC). ExPEC was implicated in cystitis, pyelonephritis, sepsis, respiratory tract infection, cervicovaginal infection (CVEC), meningitis (NMEC), otitis media, cholecystitis and wound infection. Antibiotic resistance is the challenging in world nowadays. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* has become challenging with existing antibiotic options. *E. coli* pathogens have various virulence factors that determine their pathogenesis and antimicrobial resistance mechanisms. The rapid and ongoing spread of antimicrobial-resistant organisms threatens our ability to successfully treat a growing number of infectious diseases. It is well established that antibiotic use is a significant, and modifiable, driver of antibiotic resistance. The most commonly used antibiotic classes for InPEC and ExPEC were third-generation cephalosporin, carbapenem, fluoroquinolone and aminoglycosides. Actually, the most effective prescribed medication is one of the following: cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, amikacin, gentamycin, levofloxacin and imipenem. Generally, according to our review for more than 100 local Iraqi studies among Iraqi provinces, the results revealed the resistance rate from highest to lowest as follows: cefotaxime (76.5%), ceftriaxone (75.9%), gentamycin (41.65%), ciprofloxacin (32.13%), amikacin (17.3%), levofloxacin (15%) and imipenem (5.14%). The resistance mechanisms may include genes encoding antibiotic-modifying enzymes like those of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases gene comprising: *blaCTX-M, blaTEM, blaSHV, blaOXA, blaPER, blaVIM, blaIMP, blaNDM* and blaAMPc. Efflux pumping includes AcrAB, while resistance to quinolone may be mediated by mutation among qnrA, qnrB, qnrD and qnrS. Resistance to aminoglycosides includes encoding to aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes like *aac(6)-Ib, aph(3)-I, aph(3)-IIa, aph(3)-Ib, ant(3)-I, aac(3)-II* and *aac(3)-IV.*

**Keywords:** InPEC, ExPEC, CVEC, NMEC, DEC, *blaCTX-M, blaTEM, blaSHV*
1. Introduction

*Escherichia coli* is prominent Gammaproteobacteria, Gram-negative bacilli live facultatively. It is the principal non-pathogenic facultative flora of the human intestine with harmless effect in healthy individuals. The virulent pathotypes of *E. coli* strains have the capability to cause a collection of intestinal and extraintestinal diseases, especially in immune-compromised persons [1]. Intestinal disease includes diarrhea or dysentery caused by six pathotypes, while extraintestinal diseases consists of vaginosis, urinary tract infections, respiratory tract infection, otitis media and meningitis [2, 3]. The enteropathogenic or diarrheagenic *E. coli* is an imperative cause of diarrhea in the newborn, immunocompromised and travelers. It can be assigned to one of the seven pathotypes: enteropathogenic (EPEC), Shiga toxin–producing (STEC), enteroaggregative (EAEC), enterotoxigenic (ETEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), diffusely adherent (DAEC) and adherent invasive *E. coli* (AIEC) [4–6].

Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) strains are the most significant causative agents of UTIs in humans. The total prevalence of UTIs caused by the UPEC strains is about 30–70% [7]. UPEC is the most common cause of community- and hospital-acquired urinary tract infections (UTIs). Isolates from uncomplicated community-acquired UTIs express a variety of virulence traits that promote the efficient colonization of the urinary tract. In contrast, nosocomial UTIs can be caused by *E. coli* strains that differ in their virulence traits from the community-acquired UTI isolates. UPEC virulence markers are used to distinguish these facultative extraintestinal pathogens, which belong to the intestinal flora of many healthy individuals, from intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (IPEC) [8, 9].

One of the important extraintestinal *E. coli* (ExPEC) infections is nosocomial ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) with the mortality rate reaching 13%. Until the early 2000s, the ExPEC was not considered as a major pathogen responsible for ventilator-assisted pneumonia that may be due to focusing on other bacteria like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [10–12]. Many studies stated the high frequency of ExPEC among VAP even more than *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In developing countries, both hospital- and community-acquired respiratory tract infections (RTIs) are linked with emerging MDR *E. coli* [13–15].

*Escherichia coli* is most commonly associated with bloodstream infections and death due to sepsis. Sepsis is a life-threatening clinical condition affecting more than 40 million worldwide with mortality rate more than 15%. The incidence of sepsis caused by Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), has been steadily increasing since the late 1990s. It ranks as the leading cause of death in intensive care units. *E. coli* accounts for approximately 14.1% of early onset neonatal sepsis and it is the second most common pathogen, along with coagulase negative *Staphylococcus*, after group B Streptococcus (GBS)2 [16–18].

*Escherichia coli* (especially K1) is one of the most common causative pathogens of neonatal meningitis, but the presence of *E. coli* in an immunocompetent adult, causing meningitis, is rare with an annual incidence of less than one case per year. The penetration of *E. coli* through the blood-brain barrier is a key step of the meningitis pathogenesis. Diabetes mellitus, alcoholism, cirrhosis, HIV infection and malignancies are some of the risk factors to develop *E. coli* meningitis. A distant source is usually identified, either from the urinary or the digestive tract [19–22].

According to the World Health Organization, *Enterobacteriaceae*, including *Escherichia coli*, are among the critical priority antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* has been listed as a priority pathogen by the World Health Organization (WHO) due to emerging antimicrobial resistance (AMR) [23, 24].
2. *Escherichia coli* diseases and antibiotic resistance

*E. coli* causes a wide range of diseases that can be assigned to either intestinal caused by intestinal *E. coli* (InPEC) or extraintestinal caused by extraintestinal *E. coli* (ExPEC) [25]. The most important diseases are as follows:

2.1 ExPEC-associated cystitis and antibiotic resistance

Cystitis is a common and expensive condition that impacts humans of different age groups from the neonate till geriatric age group. It is a pathogenic inflammation of the lower urinary tract. Women are more commonly afflicted with UTIs, and they are caused by common pathogens such as *Escherichia coli* (86%) [26]. Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) is significantly associated with cystitis via sets of virulence factors (adhesins, siderophores, toxins, capsule production and protease) that assist its colonization, invasion, and survival within the host urinary system [27, 28]. High recurrence rates and increasing antimicrobial resistance among UPEC threaten to greatly increase the economic burden of these UTIs [5]. The resistance rate of Iraqi local UPEC to different antibiotic classes is summarized in Table 1. The resistance genes are listed in Table 2.

The average resistance rate is as follows: cefotaxime (77%), ceftriaxone (70%), ciprofloxacin (45.47%), amikacin (23.42%), gentamycin (45.69%) and imipenem (6.06%). Resistance to beta-lactams was attributed to many mechanisms, and one of them is to the modifying enzymes especially *blaTEM*, *blaSHV*, *blaCTX-M*, *blaOXA*, *blaPER* and *blaVIM*, while resistance to ciprofloxacin was interpreted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>No. of isolate/study</th>
<th>Resistance %</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>85.13</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[34, 40–43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>Saladin</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89.15</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Zakho</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>234</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Kirkuk</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>381</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>Duhok</td>
<td>[49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Sulemania</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>81.21</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[34, 40, 41, 43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Missan</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>73.15</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Zakho</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>234</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Kirkuk</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>381</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>Duhok</td>
<td>[49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>Sulemania</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1.
Distribution of antibiotic resistance among Iraqi local UPEC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>No. of isolate/ study</th>
<th>Resistance %</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>41.73</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[9, 34, 40, 43, 52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>Saladin</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>381</td>
<td>53.25</td>
<td>Duhok</td>
<td>[49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>Sulemania</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>Missan</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[42, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[29, 34, 40, 41, 51, 52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Sulemania</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>Saladin</td>
<td>[46, 51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>234</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>331</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Kirkuk</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Duhok</td>
<td>[49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Missan</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[29, 34, 40–43, 52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>74.35</td>
<td>Sulemania</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Saladin</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>381</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>Duhok</td>
<td>[49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Missan</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Zakho</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Kirkuk</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>381</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Duhok</td>
<td>[49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Sulemania</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to the presence of \( qnrA \), \( qnrB \), \( qnrD \) and \( qnrS \) genes [9, 29–37]. Resistance to aminoglycosides among UPEC may be mediated by \( aac(6)-Ib \), \( aph(3)-I \), \( aph(3)-IIa \), \( aph(3)-Ib \), \( ant(3)-I \), \( aac(3)-II \) and \( aac(3)-IV \) [31, 39].

2.2 ExPEC-associated sepsis and RTIs antibiotic resistance

Lower respiratory tract infections are a leading cause of morbidity and death worldwide. Optimizing the treatment of respiratory tract infections (RTIs) caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR) \textit{Escherichia coli} has become challenging with existing antibiotic options. \textit{E. coli} pathogens have various virulence factors that determine their pathogenesis and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) mechanisms [38]. The rapid and ongoing spread of antimicrobial-resistant organisms threatens our ability to successfully treat a growing number of infectious diseases. It is well established that antibiotic use is a significant, and modifiable, driver of antibiotic resistance [56, 57]. Physician visits for respiratory tract infections (RTI) commonly result in an antibiotic prescription, despite the fact that most upper RTIs are viral in nature. In these cases, antibiotics provide no benefit; thus, guidelines limit their recommended use to certain situations where the etiology is likely bacterial [58–60].

Over- and inappropriate prescribing of antibiotics is highly prevalent in the primary care setting, especially for upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs). In the outpatient setting, URTIs account for approximately 50–70% of total antibiotic prescriptions, even though most cases are of viral origin [61, 62]. The overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics, such as third-generation cephalosporins, amoxicillin-clavulanate and fluoroquinolones, is strongly associated with the emergence of resistant strains, does not provide better clinical outcomes, and may lead to adverse events as well as unnecessary costs. Reducing unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions and the overuse of broad-spectrum agents may contain antimicrobial resistance and preserve the efficacy of existing antibiotics [63–65].
due to the presence of $qnrA$, $qnrB$, $qnrD$ and $qnrS$ genes [9, 29–37]. Resistance to aminoglycosides among UPEC may be mediated by $aac(6)-Ib$, $aph(3)-I$, $aph(3)-IIa$, $aph(3)-IIb$, $ant(3)-I$, $aac(3)-II$ and $aac(3)-IV$ [31, 39].

### 2.2 ExPEC-associated sepsis and RTIs antibiotic resistance

Lower respiratory tract infections are a leading cause of morbidity and death worldwide. Optimizing the treatment of respiratory tract infections (RTIs) caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* has become challenging with existing antibiotic options. *E. coli* pathogens have various virulence factors that determine their pathogenesis and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) mechanisms [38]. The rapid and ongoing spread of antimicrobial-resistant organisms threatens our ability to successfully treat a growing number of infectious diseases. It is well established that antibiotic use is a significant, and modifiable, driver of antibiotic resistance [56, 57]. Physician visits for respiratory tract infections (RTI) commonly result in an antibiotic prescription, despite the fact that most upper RTIs are viral in nature. In these cases, antibiotics provide no benefit; thus, guidelines limit their recommended use to certain situations where the etiology is likely bacterial [58–60].

Over- and inappropriate prescribing of antibiotics is highly prevalent in the primary care setting, especially for upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs). In the outpatient setting, URTIs account for approximately 50–70% of total antibiotic prescriptions, even though most cases are of viral origin [61, 62]. The overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics, such as third-generation cephalosporins, amoxicillin-clavulanate and fluoroquinolones, is strongly associated with the emergence of resistant strains, does not provide better clinical outcomes, and may lead to adverse events as well as unnecessary costs. Reducing unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions and the overuse of broad-spectrum agents may contain antimicrobial resistance and preserve the efficacy of existing antibiotics [63–65].

The Iraqi studies dealing with antibiotic resistance among sepsis-associated *E. coli* are summarized in Table 3. Most *E. coli* strains isolated from bloodstream...
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were resistant to most antimicrobials particularly β-lactam antibiotics and third-generation cephalosporins. It might be that long-term exposure to these antimicrobials by patients infected with bacteremia leads to horizontal transfer of plasmid-resistant antimicrobial genes between different strains of bacteria [66].

The average resistance rate is as follows: cefotaxime (79%), ceftriaxone (76.7%), ciprofloxacin (23%), amikacin (21.95%), gentamycin (43.7%) and imipenem (3.5%). The third-generation cephalosporins were the most commonly prescribed antibiotics compiling 54.3% followed by quinolones 7.5% of all prescribed antibiotics. Cefotaxime and ceftriaxone seem to be the preferred prescribed antibiotic for both surgical and medical wards [32].

2.3 InPEC-associated diarrheagenic infection and antibiotic resistance

Diarrhea is one of the major causes of serious issues among children in the developing world. More than 4 million children die annually from diarrhea in developing world. Diarrheagenic E. coli (DEC) is the most common cause of bacterial diarrhea in children worldwide and responsible for about 600,000 deaths per year [73, 74]. Diarrheagenic E. coli infection manifests as watery or bloody diarrhea accompanied by mild-to-severe dehydration. Beta-lactamases are a big problem when produced by DEC rendering the infection hard to be treated or untreatable. The arising of resistance toward extended-spectrum cephalosporins is most often due to hydrolyzing them by extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) or due to AmpC. AmpC β-lactamases can prompt resistance to cephalothin, cefoxitin, cefazolin, most
penicillins and beta-lactamase inhibitor-beta-lactam combinations. *Escherichia coli* isolates with CTX-M ESBLs are spreading multiresistance in the community and in hospitals [75, 76]. The resistance rate of Iraqi local diarrheagenic *E. coli* to different antibiotic classes is summarized in Table 4. The resistance genes are listed in Table 5.

The average resistance rate is as follows: cefotaxime (76.34%), ceftriaxone (79.87%), ciprofloxacin (26.3%), amikacin (31.21%), gentamycin (35.68%) and imipenem (8.18%). The possible explanation to high level of resistance to this drug may be as a result of it being the most commonly available antibiotic used as a routine therapy among

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>No. of isolate/study</th>
<th>Resistance %</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89, 39, 114</td>
<td>82.9, 89.7, 100</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[76–78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100, 145</td>
<td>71.4, 96.4</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[79, 80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51, 37</td>
<td>4, 54</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[81, 82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89, 39, 114</td>
<td>74.6, 79.5, 100</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[76–78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
<td>76.66</td>
<td>Basra</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89, 39, 114</td>
<td>0.0, 15.8, 72.7</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[76–78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24, 51, 37</td>
<td>0.0, 8, 45.9</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[81, 82, 85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>18, 535</td>
<td>44, 0.0</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[66, 86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89, 39, 114</td>
<td>22.6, 12.8, 36.4</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[76–78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100, 145</td>
<td>71, 50</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[79, 85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24, 51, 37</td>
<td>16.6, 59, 67.5</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[81, 82, 85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>18, 535</td>
<td>46, 9.1</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[66, 86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89, 39, 114</td>
<td>2.8, 51.3, 54.5</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[76–78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24, 51, 37</td>
<td>0.0, 16, 100</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[81, 82, 85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>57.14</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>18, 535</td>
<td>6.0, 0.0</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[66, 86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89, 114</td>
<td>9.5, 36.4</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[76–78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100, 145</td>
<td>0.0, 0.0</td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[79, 80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Basra</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Distribution of antibiotic resistance among Iraqi local diarrheagenic *E. coli*.
2.4 ExPEC-associated vaginosis and antibiotic resistance

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common vaginal infections among women in reproductive age. It is a condition of vaginal flora imbalance, in which the typically plentiful \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-producing lactobacilli are scarce and other bacteria such as \( \text{E. coli} \) are abundant [87, 88]. Multi-drug resistant cervicovaginal \( \text{Escherichia coli} \) (CVEC) infections are a serious health problem. Bacteria use several strategies to avoid the effects of antimicrobial agents and have evolved a highly efficient means for clonal spread and for the dissemination of resistance traits [4]. Extended-spectrum \( \beta \)-lactamases (ESBLs) are capable of hydrolyzing broad-spectrum cephalosporins and monobactams. In addition, ESBL-producing organisms exhibit co-resistance to many other classes of antibiotics resulting in limitation of therapeutic options. Vaginal \( \text{E. coli} \) represents a real threat especially to neonates; however, little information is available regarding its antibiotic resistance [89, 90]. The resistance rate of Iraqi local cervicovaginal \( \text{E. coli} \) to different antibiotic classes is summarized in Table 6. The resistance genes are listed in Table 7.

The average resistance rate is as follows: cefotaxime (75%), ceftriaxone (47.5%), ciprofloxacin (29.4%), gentamycin (25.4%) and imipenem (7.8%).

2.5 ExPEC-associated otitis media, meningitis and cholecystitis infection and antibiotic resistance

Ear infection is a common clinical problem throughout the world and the major cause of preventable hearing loss in the developing world [92]. Its chronic form is a serious problem in all age groups with less chance of recovery. In certain cases,
this condition can lead to serious life-threatening complications, such as hearing impairment, brain abscesses or meningitis, mostly in childhood and late in life [93]. *E. coli* is one of the major causative agents of ear infection. The burden and prevalence of ear infection are more intense in developing countries due to the poor living standard and hygienic conditions along with a lack of proper nutrition. Increased antimicrobial resistance is one of the greatest global public health challenges, which has been accelerated by overprescription of antibiotics worldwide. Infection with antibiotic-resistant bacteria may cause severe illness, increased mortality rates and an increased risk of complications and admission to hospital and longer stay. *E. coli* was the most prevalent multi-antibiotic-resistant pathogenic bacteria isolated from suspected patient ear discharges with otitis media [94–96].

Gram-negative bacillary meningitis continues to be an important cause of mortality and morbidity (15% and 50%, respectively) throughout the world despite advances in antimicrobial chemotherapy and supportive care [97]. *E. coli* is the most common Gram-negative bacillary organism causing meningitis. Recent reports of *E. coli* strains producing CTX-M-type or TEM-type extended-spectrum β-lactamases create a challenge. *E. coli* meningitis follows a high degree of bacteremia and invasion of the blood-brain barrier [21, 98].

Cholecystitis is most often caused by gall stones. Gall stones are one of the most common disorders of the gastrointestinal tract. Bacterial infection accounts for 50–85% of the disease's onset. *Escherichia coli* was the main biliary pathogenic microorganism [99]. It is strongly associated with retrograde bacterial infection and is an inflammatory disease that can be fatal if inappropriately treated [100]. The resistance rate of Iraqi local *E. coli* isolated from otitis media, meningitis and cholecystitis to different antibiotic classes is summarized in Table 8. The resistance genes are listed in Table 9.

The average resistance rate is as follows: cefotaxime (72.57%), ceftriaxone (68.39%), ciprofloxacin (8.5%), gentamycin (42.46%) and imipenem (0%).

### 2.6 ExPEC-associated wound infection and antibiotic resistance

A wound can represent a simple or a severe disorder to an organ (such as the skin) or a tissue and can spread to other tissues and anatomical structures (e.g., subcutaneous tissue, muscles, tendons, nerves, vessels and even to the bone). Among all human body (HB) organs, the skin is without doubt the most exposed to impairment and injury, scratches and burns. By damaging the epithelium and connective structures, the HB's capability to provide protection from the outer environment is weakened [109]. An improper repair process can cause severe damage, like the loss of skin, initiation of an infection, with consequent harms to the subjacent tissues and even systemic ones. The most common and inevitable impediment to wound healing is the installation of an infection [110].

Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) are one of the most common infections in patients of all age groups. The most common causative agents are *Staphylococcus aureus* and aerobic streptococci. However, several reports associating the *Escherichia coli* with SSTI have been published: *E. coli* was found to be the causative agent of neonatal omphalitis, cellulitis localized to lower or upper limbs, necrotizing fasciitis,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic class</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>blaCTX-M, blaSHV, blaOXA</em></td>
<td>Wasit</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Antibiotic resistance genes among Iraqi local cervicovaginal E. coli.
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Cellulitis due to *Escherichia coli* is rare and usually secondary to a cutaneous portal of entry. Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) secondary to *E. coli* bacteremia have been reported exclusively in immunodeficient patients. The resistance rate of Iraqi local *E. coli* isolated from wound infection to different antibiotic classes is summarized in Table 10. The resistance genes are listed in Table 11.

### Table 8.
Distribution of antibiotic resistance among Iraqi local *E. coli* associated with otitis media, meningitis and cholecystitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>No. of isolate/study</th>
<th>Resistance %</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90.57</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Basra</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>98.11</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Basra</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Tikrit</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Basra</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Thi-Qar</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 9.**
Antibiotic resistance genes among Iraqi local *E. coli* associated with otitis media, meningitis and cholecystitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic class</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>acrAB</em></td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinolone</td>
<td><em>gyrA</em>, <em>parC</em></td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>blaCTX-M, blaSHV, blaOXA, blaTEM</em></td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>blaCTX-M, blaSHV, blaOXA, blaTEM</em></td>
<td>Al-Qadisiyah</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

surgical site infections, infections after burn injuries and others [111, 112]. Cellulitis due to *Escherichia coli* is rare and usually secondary to a cutaneous portal of entry. Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) secondary to *E. coli* bacteremia have been reported exclusively in immunodeficient patients. The resistance rate of Iraqi local *E. coli* isolated from wound infection to different antibiotic classes is summarized in Table 10. The resistance genes are listed in Table 11.
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Cellulitis due to *Escherichia coli* is rare and usually secondary to a cutaneous portal of entry. Skins and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) secondary to *E. coli* bacteremia have been reported exclusively in immunodeficient patients. The resistance rate of Iraqi local *E. coli* isolated from wound infection to different antibiotic classes is summarized in Table 10. The resistance genes are listed in Table 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>No. of isolate/study</th>
<th>Resistance %</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaximes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>88.09</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>90.57</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>98.11</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>Diyala</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10.
Distribution of antibiotic resistance among Iraqi local E. coli associated with wound infections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic class</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>blaNDM-1</em></td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenem</td>
<td><em>blaIMP</em></td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>blaNDM-1</em></td>
<td>Basra</td>
<td>[125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>blaCTX-M</em></td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td><em>blaTEM, blaSHV, blaOXA51</em></td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11.
Antibiotic resistance genes among Iraqi local E. coli associated with wound infections.

The average resistance rate is as follows: cefotaximes (81.56%), ceftriaxone (85.62%), ciprofloxacin (60.15%), levofloxacin (39.54%), amikacin (27.26%), gentamycin (56.97%) and imipenem (5.4%).
Antibiotic Resistance among Iraqi Local E. coli Isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>No. of isolate/study</th>
<th>Resistance %</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Anbar</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35.71</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>Najaf</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>Diyala</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Distribution of antibiotic resistance among Iraqi local E. coli associated with wound infections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic class</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td>blaNDM-1</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenem</td>
<td>blaIMP</td>
<td>Baghdad</td>
<td>[124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-lactamases</td>
<td>blaNDM-1</td>
<td>Basra</td>
<td>[125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>blaCTX-M</td>
<td>Erbil</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>blaTEM, blaSHV, blaOXA51</td>
<td>Babylon</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Antibiotic resistance genes among Iraqi local E. coli associated with wound infections.

The average resistance rate is as follows: cefotaximes (81.56%), ceftriaxone (85.62%), ciprofloxacin (60.15%), levofloxacin (39.54%), amikacin (27.26%), gentamycin (56.97%) and imipenem (5.4%).
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Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (E. coli) bacteria are the most numerous commensal aerobic germs located in the human colon. Diarrhea caused by *E. coli* pathogenic strains is a major cause of death in developing countries, especially the sub-Saharan and South Asian areas. Some strains cause diarrhea, and all of them may produce an infectious disease. This book includes ten chapters covering the main aspects of infections related to *E. coli*, their pathogenic mechanisms, treatments, and resistance to diverse antibiotics.